



Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission

DRAFT

2015–2025 Watershed Management Plan

November 2014

BCMWC 2015 Watershed Management Plan

Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	ES-1
1.0 Introduction	1-1
1.1 The Role of Watershed Management Organizations.....	1-1
1.2 BCWMC Location and History	1-2
1.2.1 Location and Boundaries.....	1-2
1.2.2 History.....	1-3
1.3 BCWMC Vision and Purpose.....	1-3
1.3.1 BCWMC Overall Purposes.....	1-3
1.3.2 BCWMC Goals.....	1-3
1.4 Management Structure, Power, and Duties	1-5
1.4.1 Regulatory Environment and Agency Responsibilities.....	1-9
2.0 Land and Water Resource Inventory.....	2-1
2.1 Land Use.....	2-1
2.1.1 Water and Wastewater Service Areas	2-1
2.2 Climate and Precipitation	2-2
2.3 Topography.....	2-5
2.4 Soils	2-5
2.4.1 Hydrologic Soil Groups and Infiltration.....	2-5
2.4.2 Surficial Soils.....	2-7
2.5 Geology and Groundwater Resources.....	2-7
2.5.1 Geology.....	2-7
2.5.2 Groundwater Resources.....	2-8
2.5.2.1 Bedrock Aquifers	2-8
2.5.2.2 Surficial (Quaternary) Aquifers	2-9
2.5.3 Wellhead Protection Areas	2-10
2.6 Surface Water Resources	2-10
2.6.1 MDNR Public Waters	2-12
2.6.2 Public Ditches.....	2-12
2.6.3 Wetlands.....	2-13

2.6.4	Lakes and Ponds	2-13
2.6.4.1	Bassett Creek Park Pond	2-13
2.6.4.2	Crane Lake	2-14
2.6.4.3	Grimes Pond	2-14
2.6.4.4	Lost Lake	2-15
2.6.4.5	Medicine Lake	2-15
2.6.4.6	North Rice Pond	2-16
2.6.4.7	Northwood Lake	2-16
2.6.4.8	Parkers Lake	2-17
2.6.4.9	South Rice Pond	2-18
2.6.4.10	Sweeney Lake	2-18
2.6.4.11	Turtle Lake	2-19
2.6.4.12	Twin Lake	2-19
2.6.4.13	Westwood Lake	2-20
2.6.4.14	Wirth Lake	2-20
2.6.5	Streams and Open Channels	2-21
2.6.5.1	Plymouth Creek	2-21
2.6.5.2	Main Stem of Bassett Creek	2-22
2.6.5.3	North Branch of Bassett Creek	2-22
2.6.5.4	Sweeney Lake Branch of Bassett Creek	2-22
2.7	Water Quality	2-23
2.7.1	Water Quality Monitoring Programs	2-24
2.7.1.1	BCWMC Lake Monitoring	2-25
2.7.1.2	BCWMC Stream Monitoring	2-26
2.7.1.3	Watershed Outlet Monitoring Program	2-28
2.7.1.4	Hennepin County Environmental Services – River Watch	2-28
2.7.1.5	Three Rivers Park District/City of Plymouth	2-29
2.7.1.6	Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board	2-29
2.7.1.7	Metropolitan Council (CAMP)	2-30
2.7.1.8	Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (CLMP)	2-30
2.7.1.9	Member Cities	2-30
2.7.2	Management and Classification	2-30
2.7.2.1	MPCA Impaired Waters	2-30
2.7.2.2	BCWMC Classification Systems	2-32
2.7.3	Water Quality Modeling	2-35

2.7.3.1	Watershed-wide P8 Model	2-35
2.8	Water Quantity and Flooding	2-36
2.8.1	BCWMC Flood Control Project.....	2-36
2.8.1.1	Irving Avenue Dump Site	2-38
2.8.2	Other Watershed Flood Control Projects	2-39
2.8.3	Regulatory Water Levels and Flow Rates.....	2-39
2.8.4	Flood Insurance Studies.....	2-40
2.8.5	Water Quantity Monitoring.....	2-52
2.8.5.1	Lake Levels	2-52
2.8.5.2	Stream Gaging and Flow Data	2-52
2.8.6	Water Quantity Modeling	2-53
2.8.6.1	Watershed-wide XP-SWMM Model.....	2-53
2.9	Natural Communities and Rare Species	2-54
2.10	Fish and Wildlife Habitat.....	2-55
2.10.1	MDNR Fisheries Surveys and Stocking.....	2-55
2.10.1.1	Medicine Lake	2-55
2.10.1.2	Parkers Lake.....	2-55
2.10.1.3	Sweeney Lake and Twin Lake	2-55
2.10.1.4	Wirth Lake	2-56
2.10.2	Aquatic Plant (Macrophyte) Monitoring	2-56
2.10.3	Wetland Health Evaluation Program	2-57
2.11	Pollutant Sources.....	2-58
3.0	Assessment of Issues and Opportunities	3-1
3.1	Water Quality	3-1
3.2	Water Quantity and Flooding	3-3
3.2.1	Floodplain Management	3-5
3.3	Erosion and Sedimentation	3-6
3.4	Streams	3-7
3.5	Wetlands, Habitat, and Shoreland Areas.....	3-10
3.5.1	Wetland and Shoreland Buffers.....	3-12
3.5.2	Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS)	3-13
3.5.3	Member City Wetland Management and Wetland Classification.....	3-14
3.6	Groundwater.....	3-15
3.7	Education and Outreach	3-17
3.8	Implementation and Responsibilities	3-18

3.8.1	Maintenance of Stormwater Systems and Projects	3-19
3.8.2	Public Ditches.....	3-20
3.8.3	Funding and Financing.....	3-20
4.0	Goals and Policies.....	4-1
4.1	BCWMC Goals.....	4-1
4.2	BCWMC Policies.....	4-2
4.2.1	Water Quality Policies.....	4-2
4.2.2	Flooding and Rate Control Policies.....	4-5
4.2.3	Groundwater Management Policies.....	4-8
4.2.4	Erosion and Sediment Control Policies.....	4-9
4.2.5	Stream Restoration and Protection Policies.....	4-10
4.2.6	Wetland Management Policies.....	4-11
4.2.7	Public Ditch Policies.....	4-12
4.2.8	Recreation, Habitat, and Shoreland Management Policies.....	4-13
4.2.9	Education and Outreach Policies.....	4-14
4.2.10	Administration Policies.....	4-15
5.0	Implementation.....	5-1
5.1	Responsibilities.....	5-1
5.1.1	BCWMC Responsibilities.....	5-1
5.1.1.1	Review of Improvements and Developments.....	5-2
5.1.1.2	Implementation of the BCWMC Capital Improvement Program.....	5-3
5.1.1.3	Management of the BCWMC Trunk System and Flood Control Project.....	5-3
5.1.1.4	Intercommunity Planning and Design.....	5-4
5.1.1.5	Dispute Resolution.....	5-4
5.1.1.6	Reporting and Evaluation.....	5-5
5.1.1.7	Monitoring.....	5-6
5.1.1.8	Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Implementation.....	5-6
5.1.2	Member City Responsibilities.....	5-7
5.1.3	Agency Responsibilities.....	5-8
5.1.3.1	Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR).....	5-8
5.1.3.2	Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR).....	5-9
5.1.3.3	Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA).....	5-10
5.1.3.4	Minnesota Department of Health (MDH).....	5-12
5.1.3.5	Minnesota Environmental Quality Board (EQB).....	5-13
5.1.3.6	Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT).....	5-14

5.1.3.7	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)	5-14
5.1.3.8	The Metropolitan Council	5-15
5.2	Implementation Program	5-15
5.2.1	Implementation Program Components	5-15
5.2.1.1	Capital Improvement Program and Project Implementation	5-15
5.2.1.2	Programs.....	5-17
5.2.1.3	Annual Reporting	5-17
5.2.2	Financial Considerations.....	5-17
5.2.2.1	Funding Mechanisms Available to the BCWMC.....	5-18
5.2.2.2	Past and Proposed Funding Mechanisms	5-19
5.2.2.3	Member City Funding.....	5-20
5.2.2.4	State Funding Sources.....	5-20
5.2.2.5	Federal Funding Sources	5-21
5.2.2.6	Private Funding Sources	5-22
5.3	Impacts on Local Government.....	5-22
5.3.1	Local Water Management Plans and Official Controls.....	5-23
5.3.1.1	Requirements for Local Water Management Plans and Official Controls	5-23
5.3.1.2	BCWMC Review of Local Water Management Plans.....	5-24
5.4	Plan Approval and Adoption.....	5-24
5.4.1	Stakeholder and Public Involvement.....	5-24
5.5	Plan Revision and Amendment	5-26
5.5.1	General Amendment Procedure.....	5-26
5.5.2	Minor Plan Amendments	5-27
5.5.3	Amendment Format and Distribution.....	5-27
6.0	References	6-1

List of Tables

Table 1-1	BCWMC Commissioner Terms	1-5
Table 1-2	Historical Listing of BCWMC Commissioner and Terms (not including Alternate Commissioners)	1-6
Table 2-1	Historical Precipitation Summary for MSP Airport (1981 – 2010)	2-4
Table 2-2	Selected Precipitation and Runoff Events	2-5
Table 2-3	Characteristics of Major BCWMC Waterbodies	2-11
Table 2-4	Pollutants Commonly Found in Stormwater Runoff	2-24

Table 2-5	Summary of Impaired Waters within the BCWMC (including 2014 proposed listings and Wirth Lake delisting).....	2-32
Table 2-6	BCWMC Management Classifications for Priority Waterbodies.....	2-33
Table 2-7	BCWMC Water Quality Standards for Waterbody Classifications	2-34
Table 2-8	Summary of BCWMC Flood Control Project Features	2-41
Table 2-9	BCWMC Flood Profiles.....	2-42
Table 2-10	BCWMC Priority Water Body Lake Levels.....	2-52
Table 3-1	Impacts of Urbanization on Streams.....	3-9
Table 5-1	Project Costs Eligible for BCWMC Reimbursement.....	5-16
Table 5-2	Permit Authority of Agencies with Jurisdiction within the BCWMC	5-29
Table 5-3	BCWMC Capital Improvement Projects (place holder for CIP table).....	5-31
Table 5-4	BCWMC Annual Implementation Program (non-CIP)	5-32
Table 5-5	Past BCWMC Accomplishments (since approval of 2004 Plan).....	5-34

List of Figures

(Figures are included in a separate Figures section)

Figure 1-1	Location Map
Figure 2-1	2010 Land Use
Figure 2-2	2020 Land Use
Figure 2-3	Average Monthly High & Low Temperatures and Precipitation for Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport (1981-2010)
Figure 2-4	Topography and Steep Slopes
Figure 2-5	Hydrologic Soil Groups
Figure 2-6	Generalized Geologic Section
Figure 2-7	Wellhead Protection Areas
Figure 2-8	BCWMC Major Subwatersheds and Drainage Patterns
Figure 2-9	Public Waters Inventory and Public Ditches
Figure 2-10	National Wetlands Inventory and Biological Survey
Figure 2-11	Water Quality and Stream Biotic Monitoring Locations
Figure 2-12	Impaired Waters (per MPCA 303d List)
Figure 2-13	Watersheds Used in BCWMC P8 Model
Figure 2-14	Flood Control Project Features
Figure 2-15	BCWMC Trunk System
Figure 2-16	FEMA 100-Year Floodplain
Figure 2-17	Watersheds Used in BCWMC XP-SWMM Model
Figure 2-18	Recreation Areas and Public Boat Access
Figure 2-19	Pollutant Sources

List of Appendices

Appendix A	BCWMC Monitoring Plan
Appendix B	BCWMC Education and Outreach Plan
Appendix C	Gaps Analysis
Appendix D	Results of Public Involvement During Plan Development
Appendix E	Water Quality Summary
Appendix F	Joint Powers Agreement
Appendix G	Requirements for Improvements and Development Proposals
Appendix H	Boundary Change and Related Legal Documentation
Appendix I	BCWMC Legal Description

BCMWC 2015 Watershed Management Plan

List of Acronyms

AIS	Aquatic Invasive Species
AMLAC	Association of Medicine Lake Area Citizens
AUAR	Alternative Urban Area-wide Review
BCMWC	Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission
BMPs	Best Management Practices
BWSR	Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources
CAMP	Citizen Assisted Monitoring Program
CLMP	Citizen Lake Monitoring Program
CFS	Cubic Feet per Second
CIP	Capital Improvement Program
CLP	Clean Lakes Partnership
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWF	Clean Water Fund
CWP	Clean Water Partnership
DEED	Department of Employment and Economic Development
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DS	Downstream
DWSMA	Drinking Water Supply Management Area
EAW	Environmental Assessment Worksheets
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EQB	Environmental Quality Board
EQIP	Environmental Quality Incentives Program
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FIRM	Flood Insurance Rate Map
FIS	Flood Insurance Studies
FTO	Flexible Treatment Options
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
GWMA	Groundwater Management Areas
HBI	Hilsenhoff Biotic Index
ICI	Invertebrate Community Index
JD	Judicial Ditch
JPA	Joint Powers Agreement
LA	Load Allocation
LCA	Local Cooperation Agreement
LCCMR	Legislative-Citizen Commission on Minnesota Resources

LGU	Local Government Unit
LOMA	Letter of Map Amendment
MCES	Metropolitan Council Environmental Services
MCWD	Minnehaha Creek Watershed District
MDH	Minnesota Department of Health
MDNR	Minnesota Department of Natural Resources
MIBI	Macroinvertebrate Index of Biological Integrity
MIDS	Minimal Impact Design Standards
MLCCS	Minnesota Land Cover Classification System
MnRAM	Minnesota Rapid Assessment Method
MnDOT	Minnesota Department of Transportation
MPCA	Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
MPRB	Minneapolis Parks and Recreation Board
MS4	Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System
MSP	Minneapolis/St. Paul
MUSA	Metropolitan Urban Service Area
NAPP	National Aerial Photography Program
NCDC	National Climatic Data Set
NFIP	National Flood Insurance Program
NHIS	National Heritage Information System
NOAA	National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NURP	Nationwide Urban Runoff Program
NWI	National Wetland Inventory
OHWL	Ordinary High Water Level
ORVW	Outstanding Resource Value Water
P8	Program for Predicting Polluting Particle Passage through Pits, Puddles and Ponds
PAH	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon
PCA	Project Cooperation Agreement
PWI	Public Waters Inventory
RCP	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
RUSS	Remote Underwater Sampling Station
SDS	State Disposal System
SRV	Soil Reference Value
SSTS	Individual Sewage Treatment Systems
SWCS	Soil and Water Conservation Society
SWPPP	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
TAC	Technical Advisory Committee
TEP	Technical Evaluation Panel
TIF	Tax Increment Financing
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load

TP	Total Phosphorus
TRPD	Three Rivers Park District
US	Upstream
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
VIC	Voluntary Investigation and Cleanup
WAVE	Watershed Assessment and Visioning Exercise
WCA	Wetland Conservation Act
WHEP	Wetland Health Evaluation Program
WHPP	Wellhead Protection Plan
WLA	Waste Load Allocation
WMC	Watershed Management Commission
WMO	Watershed Management Organization
WMWA	West Metro Watershed Alliance
WOMP	Watershed Outlet Monitoring Program
WRAPS	Watershed Restoration and Protection Strategy
XPSWMM	Storm Water Management Model (interface by XP Solutions)

BCMWC 2015 Watershed Management Plan

Executive Summary

Contents

Executive Summary..... 1

Section 1 – Introduction 1

Section 2 – Land and Water Resource Inventory..... 2

Section 3 – Assessment of Issues and Opportunities 4

Section 4 – Goals and Policies..... 4

Section 5 – Implementation Program 7

This page intentionally left blank

Executive Summary

The Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission (BCWMC) established the following vision to provide strategic direction to its work.

Stewardship of water resources to protect and enhance our communities

The BCWMC *Watershed Management Plan* (Plan) sets the guidelines for managing the water resources within the boundaries of the BCWMC to achieve the organization's vision. The Plan provides data and other background information, outlines the applicable regulations, assesses watershed-wide and resource-specific issues, sets goals and policies for the BCWMC and its members, and lists implementation tasks to achieve the goals. The Plan is organized into five major sections. The general content and highlights of each section follows.

Section 1 – Introduction

Section 1 of the Plan summarizes the BCWMC's location and history, and lists the general authorities of watershed management organizations (WMOs) like the BCWMC. The BCWMC is a local unit of government that manages water resources within the Bassett Creek watershed per authorities given in Minnesota Statutes 103B and Minnesota Rules 8410. The Bassett Creek watershed is located in Hennepin County, in the northwestern portion of the Twin Cities. The watershed of Bassett Creek and its three branches cross nine cities: Plymouth, Medicine Lake, Golden Valley, Robbinsdale, Crystal, New Hope, Minnetonka, St. Louis Park, and Minneapolis.

The Metropolitan Surface Water Management Act (Minnesota Statutes 103B.201 – 103B.255) states these purposes of watershed management organization:

1. Protect, preserve, and use natural surface and groundwater storage and retention systems.
2. Minimize public capital expenditures needed to correct flooding and water quality problems.
3. Identify and plan for means to effectively protect and improve surface and groundwater quality.
4. Establish more uniform local policies and official controls for surface and groundwater management.
5. Prevent erosion of soil into surface water systems.
6. Promote groundwater recharge.
7. Protect and enhance fish and wildlife habitat and water recreational facilities.
8. Secure the other benefits associated with the proper management of surface and groundwater.

The BCWMC was originally created in 1968 as the Bassett Creek Flood Control Commission to address flooding concerns within the watershed through a joint powers agreement (JPA) among the nine member cities. In 1984, in accordance with provisions of the 1982 Metropolitan Surface Water Management Act, the Bassett Creek Flood Control Commission revised its JPA and created the Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission (BCWMC).

The BCWMC is governed by an appointed Board of Commissioners (Board). Each of the nine member cities in the BCWMC appoints one commissioner and one alternate commissioner to the Board. The Board has duties and powers granted through the JPA which, include adopting a watershed management plan that sets policies and standards, accumulating funds, setting an annual budget, implementing projects and programs that benefit the watershed, and overseeing staff and contractors. Each member city also appoints a member (typically a city staff person) to the BCWMC Technical Advisory Committee (TAC). This committee works closely with the Board to implement the goals and policies of the BCWMC.

Section 2 – Land and Water Resource Inventory

Section 2 of the Plan contains information on land use and public utilities, climate and precipitation, topography, soils, geology and groundwater resources, surface water resource information, flood control systems, natural communities and rare species, and pollutant sources in the BCWMC. This important information describes the condition of the watershed and affects decisions about infrastructure, development, and ecological preservation. By way of summary, some of the most notable information in Section 2 follows:

Land Use: Almost all of the land in the BCWMC is now fully developed with just small parcels vacant for development in some areas. Low density residential is the major land use found in the Bassett Creek watershed (49%) followed by parks, recreational, and natural areas (11%), industrial land uses (8%), and open water (6%). Additional land use found in the watershed includes undeveloped areas, institutional, major highways, retail/commercial, office space, medium density residential, and limited amounts of agriculture.

Climate: The climate of the Minneapolis-St. Paul area is a humid continental climate characterized by moderate precipitation, wide daily temperature variations, large seasonal variations in temperature, warm humid summers, and cold winters with moderate snowfall. Average weather imposes little strain on the typical drainage system, however extremes of precipitation and snowmelt are important for design of flood control systems. The National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) published data on extreme precipitation events that can be used to aid in the design of flood control systems, now called Atlas 14. This data indicates increased precipitation depths for more extreme storm events relative to previously published values.

Topography and soils: The topographic relief of the Bassett Creek watershed is modest. The land slopes generally from higher elevations in the west to lower elevations in the east with only a net loss of 210 feet. Hydrologic soil groups are identified for 53% of the watershed, with the remaining 47% unknown or not rated or unavailable. Of the 53% of the watershed with available soil information, the majority consists of hydrologic soil group B (30%), group C (26%), and group C/D soils (20%). The majority of the western

portion of the watershed has soil with moderate to slow infiltration rates. With only a small portion of the watershed consisting of soils with higher infiltration rates, the Bassett Creek watershed has the potential to produce high volumes of runoff.

Groundwater: The City of Minneapolis and the cities where it supplies water (Golden Valley, Crystal, and New Hope) obtain their water supply from the Mississippi River. The cities of Plymouth, Robbinsdale, Minnetonka, St. Louis Park, and Medicine Lake obtain their water supplies from groundwater aquifers. The Bassett Creek watershed is underlain by four major bedrock aquifers - the St. Peter Sandstone, the Prairie du Chien-Jordan, the Wonewoc (formerly known as the Iron-ton-Galesville) Sandstone, and the Mt. Simon-Hinckley Sandstone. In addition, there are numerous aquifers in the glacial drift. Some groundwater from the glacial drift and the St. Peter aquifer discharges into Bassett Creek. The remaining aquifers discharge into the Minnesota and Mississippi rivers; movement of groundwater within these aquifers is complicated by the intersecting buried bedrock valleys.

Surface water: Surface waters in the Bassett Creek watershed include not only the Main Stem of Bassett Creek but many tributaries, lakes, ponds and wetlands. The BCWMC has classified several lakes as Priority 1 deep lakes including Medicine, Parkers, Sweeney, Twin, and Wirth Lakes. Northwood Lake and Westwood Lake are classified by the BCWMC as Priority 1 shallow lakes while Crane Lake, Lost Lake and Turtle Lake are classified as Priority 2 shallow lakes. The Main Stem of Bassett Creek, North Branch Bassett Creek, Sweeney Branch Bassett Creek and Plymouth Creek are classified as Priority 1 streams by the BCWMC.

For BCWMC priority waterbodies, the BCWMC adopted water quality standards that are the same as those established by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). Waterbodies that do not meet these standards are considered impaired. There are currently five lakes and three streams in the Bassett Creek watershed that are on the MPCA's Impaired Waters List (Table 2-5). Waterbodies impaired for chlorides include Medicine, Parkers, Northwood and Wirth Lakes, Main Stem Bassett Creek and Plymouth Creek. Sweeney Lake and Northwood Lake are impaired for excess nutrients. Plymouth Creek, the Main Stem of Bassett Creek, and the North Branch of Bassett Creek are impaired for bacteria.

The BCWMC monitors its priority waterbodies for chemical, physical, and biological parameters on a rotating schedule according to the BCWMC Monitoring Plan (see Appendix A) and cooperates with many other entities that are also monitoring water resources in the watershed.

Water quantity and flooding: From 1987 to 1996, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in cooperation with the BCWMC, BCWMC member cities, the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, constructed the Bassett Creek Flood Control Project. This \$40 million project controls flooding in several BCWMC cities. The principal feature of the flood control project is the new 1.7-mile tunnel through downtown Minneapolis, which replaced the century-old Bassett Creek tunnel. The BCWMC inspects the Flood Control Project features and ensures adequate maintenance of the structures by member cities. The roles, responsibilities and funding mechanisms for rehabilitation and replacement of the Flood Control Project structures will be studied by the BCWMC during the life of this watershed plan.

The BCWMC monitors water levels on various lakes, along with stream flow on Bassett Creek near the tunnel entrance. The BCWMC also cooperates with member cities to ensure accurate and updated floodplain delineations and flood insurance studies.

Natural areas and rare species: Prior to settlement, the Bassett Creek watershed was covered by oak forests interrupted by tall grass prairie and vast areas of marshland. Although parks and open areas remain, agriculture and then urbanization altered the native vegetation, wetlands, and wildlife communities that once covered these areas. Remaining wetland areas are concentrated in the western part of the watershed. Surveys indicate the presence of a tamarack swamp in Theodore Wirth Park and five occurrences of federally- or state-listed rare animal species in the watershed. Game fish are present in several BCWMC lakes including Medicine, Parkers, and Sweeney Lakes.

Pollutant sources: The sources of pollution impacting water resources in the BCWMC are many and varied. While there are point sources of pollution that are regulated under State permits, the vast majority of pollution reaching the BCWMC waters comes from non-point source – those which cannot be traced back to a single source or pipe. Instead, pollutants are carried from land to water in stormwater or snowmelt runoff, in seepage through the soil, and in atmospheric transport. These pollutants include nutrients, bacteria, sediment, chlorides, pesticides, solvents, and chemicals.

Section 3 – Assessment of Issues and Opportunities

Development of this Plan relied heavily on the assessment of issues and the identification of opportunities. The BCWMC performed a gaps analysis (see Appendix C) along with a rigorous public participation process called the Watershed Assessment and Visioning Exercise (WAVE). The WAVE included an online survey with 174 respondents, small group discussions in each member city, a Watershed Summit event where the public prioritized issues, and a prioritization of issues by the Commission, TAC members, and technical partners (see Appendix D for WAVE results).

Key issues identified through the gaps analysis and the WAVE process span several topics, including water quality, water quantity and flooding, erosion and sedimentation, streams, wetlands, (along with habitat and shoreland management), groundwater, education and outreach, and implementation responsibilities. For each of these topics, the gaps analysis identified issues and opportunities the BCWMC should consider in the development of this Plan. Additionally, the public weighed in on the importance of these topics through survey responses, small group discussions, and prioritization at the Watershed Summit. These results were consulted during the development of this Plan.

Section 4 – Goals and Policies

The BCWMC established the following goals for this Plan:

- Manage the surface water resources of the watershed to meet or exceed state standards and BCWMC water quality goals for wetlands, lakes, and streams.

-
- Improve the quality of stormwater runoff reaching the Mississippi River by reducing nonpoint source pollution.
 - Protect and enhance fish and wildlife habitat in the BCWMC.
 - Consider aesthetics and recreational opportunities within the watershed when completing BCWMC projects.
 - Reduce stormwater runoff volume for the purposes of improving water quality.
 - Protect against flood risks along the Bassett Creek trunk system.
 - Protect human life, property, and surface water systems that could be damaged by flood events.
 - Reduce stormwater runoff rates and volumes to minimize flood problems, flood damages, and the future costs of stormwater management systems.
 - Provide leadership and assist member cities with coordination of intercommunity stormwater runoff issues.
 - Notwithstanding that which occurs from natural processes, minimize erosion and sedimentation to protect the BCWMC's water resources and health, safety and welfare.
 - Maintain or improve shoreland integrity and implement stream restoration measures to maintain or enhance ecological functions as well as human health, safety, and welfare.
 - Increase the quality and quantity of wetlands in the BCWMC.
 - Protect the quantity and quality of groundwater resources.
 - Manage public ditches in a manner that recognizes their current use as urban drainage systems and as altered natural waterways.
 - Raise awareness of the BCWMC's existence and its role in protecting and improving water quality, minimizing flooding, and preserving the watershed's ecological functions and aesthetics.
 - Strengthen public confidence in the BCWMC's expertise and enable meaningful public participation in the planning process and ongoing projects conducted by the BCWMC.
 - Raise awareness of the impact that individuals, businesses, and organizations have upon water resources and motivate these audiences to change personal/corporate behavior that has a negative impact on the watershed.
 - Minimize the spread and manage the adverse impacts of harmful aquatic invasive species.

- Develop a greater understanding of climate change and its impact on water resources, including stormwater infrastructure capacity and flooding, and develop strategies to appropriately manage future impacts.

Policies

The BCWMC developed a total of 122 policies to reach these goals. The policies are specific and measurable and include actions the BCWMC will take, along with required actions by member cities and requirements for development and redevelopment projects. The most notable changes to the BCWMC policies from the 2004 Watershed Management Plan include the following:

Policy 12: The BCWMC requires all stormwater to be treated in accordance with the MPCA's Minimal Impact Design Standards (MIDS) performance goal for new development, redevelopment, and linear projects.

Policy 32: The BCWMC requires the retention of on-site runoff from development and redevelopment projects consistent with the MPCA's Minimal Impact Design Standards (MIDS) performance goals.

Policy 47: The BCWMC will collaborate with local and state agencies if/when these agencies develop a groundwater action plan in an effort to gain a better understanding of groundwater-surface water interaction and develop management strategies that consider the protection of both resources.

Policy 64: For projects that will result in more than 200 yards of cut or fill, or more than 10,000 square feet of land disturbance, the BCWMC requires buffer widths adjacent to priority streams of 10 feet or 25 percent of the distance between the ordinary high water level and the nearest existing structure, whichever is less. The BCWMC will allow exemptions for public recreational facilities parallel to the shoreline (e.g. trails) up to 20 feet in width, with that width being added to the required buffer width.

Policy 66: The BCWMC requires member cities to develop and implement wetland protection ordinances that consider the results of wetland functions and values assessments, and are based on comprehensive wetland management plans, if available. For wetlands classified as Preserve or Manage 1, member cities shall implement standards for bounce, inundation, and runout control that are similar to BWSR guidance; member cities are encouraged to apply standards for other wetland classifications.

Policy 68: Member cities shall maintain and enforce buffer requirements for projects containing more than one acre of new or redeveloped impervious area. Average minimum buffer widths are required according to the MnRAM classification (or similar classification system):

- An average of 75 feet and minimum of 50 feet from the edge of wetlands classified as Preserve
- An average of 50 feet and minimum of 30 feet from the edge of wetlands classified as Manage 1
- An average of 25 feet and minimum of 15 feet from the edge of wetlands classified as Manage 2 or 3.

Policy 110: The BCWMC will consider including projects in the CIP that meet one or more of the following “gatekeeper” criteria:

- Project is part of the BCWMC trunk system
- Project improves or protects water quality in a priority waterbody
- Project addresses an approved TMDL or watershed restoration and protection strategy (WRAPS)
- Project addresses flooding concern

The BCWMC will use the following criteria, in addition to those listed above, to aid in the prioritization of projects:

- Project protects or restores previous Commission investments in infrastructure
- Project addresses intercommunity drainage issues
- Project addresses erosion and sedimentation issues
- Project will address multiple Commission goals (e.g., water quality, runoff volume, aesthetics, wildlife habitat, recreation, etc.)
- Subwatershed draining to project includes more than one community
- Addresses significant infrastructure or property damage concerns

The BCWMC will place a higher priority on projects that incorporate multiple benefits, and will seek opportunities to incorporate multiple benefits into BCWMC projects, as opportunities allow.

Section 5 – Implementation Program

Section 5 of this Plan describes the responsibilities of the BCWMC and the responsibilities the BCWMC has delegated to its member cities. Many agencies have jurisdiction within the BCWMC; Section 5 also discusses the roles and responsibilities of those agencies relevant to the management of water resources. The section presents the BCWMC implementation program, including its capital improvement program and other implementation responsibilities.

Responsibilities

The BCWMC has many specific responsibilities including: reviewing improvements and developments, managing the BCWMC trunk system and Flood Control Project, implementing the BCWMC capital improvement program (CIP), reviewing and assisting with intercommunity planning and design, dispute resolution, reporting and evaluation, monitoring, and total maximum daily load (TMDL) implementation.

The BCWMC does not have a permit program. The BCWMC Plan and the BCWMC *Requirements for Improvements and Development Proposals* (as amended) establish goals, standards, and requirements that the member cities must incorporate into their official controls (e.g., ordinances). The BCWMC relies on its member cities to review improvement (e.g., street reconstruction projects), redevelopment, and development proposals for compliance with BCWMC requirements, when applicable, and to issue permits only after compliance has been determined.

Additional responsibilities of BCWMC member cities include:

- Appointing commissioners and alternate commissioners to the Commission
- Appointing technical advisory committee members
- Incorporating the BCWMC's requirements into its official controls and implementing BCWMC policies at the time of development and redevelopment
- Preparing a local water management plan that conforms to this Plan
- Updating its ordinances (or official controls) to conform to and implement the requirements of this Plan
- Implementing the capital improvement projects list in Table 5-3 of this Plan
- Acquiring the necessary easements or right-of-way or interest in land upon order of the BCWMC
- Contributing annually to the BCWMC general fund

Section 5 in this Plan provides a brief description of the responsibilities of other units of government, including the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, the Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, the Minnesota Department of Health, the Minnesota Environmental Quality Board (EQB), the Minnesota Department of Transportation, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the Metropolitan Council.

Implementation Program

The Plan includes comprehensive lists of the projects, programs, and official controls that comprise the BCWMC implementation program. Table 5-3 is the BCWMC's 10-year capital improvement program (10-year CIP) that will be financed through an ad valorem tax levied by Hennepin County (per Minnesota Statutes 103B.251) along with grant funding and city contributions, when available. Table 5-4 lists the BCWMC's annual water quality and flood control programs, administrative actions, and education actions (i.e., non-capital projects) that will be financed through the BCWMC general fund. Table 5-5 lists the past accomplishments of the BCWMC.

Plan Amendments

This Plan remains in effect for ten (10) years from the date it was adopted by the Board of Water and Soil Resources, unless it is superseded by approval and adoption of a succeeding Plan. All amendments to this Plan must follow the procedures set forth in this Plan, or as required by revised laws and rules. The BCWMC will follow the plan amendment process (for either minor or general amendments) as described in Minnesota Statutes 103B.231, Subd. 11 and in Minnesota Rules 8410.

BCMWC 2015 Watershed Management Plan

Section 1 – Introduction

Contents

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	The Role of Watershed Management Organizations	1
1.2	BCWMC Location and History	2
1.2.1	Location and Boundaries	2
1.2.2	History	3
1.3	BCWMC Vision and Purpose	3
1.3.1	BCWMC Overall Purposes	3
1.3.2	BCWMC Goals	3
1.4	Management Structure, Power, and Duties	5
1.4.1	Regulatory Environment and Agency Responsibilities	9

List of Tables

Table 1-1	BCWMC Commissioner Terms	5
Table 1-2	Historical Listing of BCWMC Commissioner and Terms (not including Alternate Commissioners)	6

List of Figures

(Section 1 figures are included in the "Figures" section)

Figure 1-1 Location Map

1.0 Introduction

The Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission (BCWMC) *Watershed Management Plan* sets the vision and guidelines for managing the water resources within the boundaries of the BCWMC. This section summarizes the location and history of the BCWMC, as well as its purposes, goals, powers, and management structure.

1.1 The Role of Watershed Management Organizations

Like all watershed management organizations (WMOs), the BCWMC is a special purpose unit of local government that manages water resources on a watershed basis. Watershed management organization boundaries generally follow natural watershed divides, rather than political boundaries. Thus, they may include several municipalities and counties.

Recognizing that water does not follow political boundaries, the State of Minnesota established the Watershed Act (Minnesota Statutes 103D) in 1955, which provided for the creation of watershed districts anywhere in the state. In 1982, the Minnesota Legislature enacted the Metropolitan Surface Water Management Act (Minnesota Statutes 103B.201 – 103B.255). This act required the formation of a watershed management organization (WMO), and the development and implementation of a watershed management plan, for each of the watersheds in the seven county Twin Cities metropolitan area. WMOs can be organized as joint powers agreement organizations among municipalities (e.g., BCWMC), as watershed districts (e.g., Minnehaha Creek Watershed District – MCWD), or under county government (e.g., Scott County WMO).

The Metropolitan Surface Water Management Act states that the purposes of watershed management organization water management programs are as follows (quoted from Minnesota Statutes 103B.201):

1. Protect, preserve, and use natural surface and groundwater storage and retention systems.
2. Minimize public capital expenditures needed to correct flooding and water quality problems.
3. Identify and plan for means to effectively protect and improve surface and groundwater quality.
4. Establish more uniform local policies and official controls for surface and groundwater management.
5. Prevent erosion of soil into surface water systems.
6. Promote groundwater recharge.
7. Protect and enhance fish and wildlife habitat and water recreational facilities.
8. Secure the other benefits associated with the proper management of surface and groundwater.

1.2 BCWMC Location and History

1.2.1 Location and Boundaries

The Bassett Creek watershed is located entirely within Hennepin County, in the northwestern portion of the Twin Cities. Figure 1-1 shows the location of the BCWMC in relation to the other WMOs in the seven-county metropolitan area. The BCWMC is adjacent to the following WMOs:

- Mississippi WMO (formerly Middle Mississippi River WMO) – along eastern boundary of BCWMC
- Minnehaha Creek Watershed District – along southern and western boundary of BCWMC
- Shingle Creek WMC – along northern boundary of BCWMC
- Elm Creek WMC – along extreme northwestern boundary of BCWMC

The watershed of Bassett Creek and its three branches covers all or part of the following nine cities:

- Crystal
- Minneapolis
- Plymouth
- Golden Valley
- Minnetonka
- Robbinsdale
- Medicine Lake
- New Hope
- St. Louis Park

The downstream end of the BCWMC is a tunnel which conveys Bassett Creek under downtown Minneapolis and flows into the Mississippi River below St. Anthony Falls. The total drainage area of the BCWMC upstream of the tunnel is 39.6 square miles (25,337 acres). Overall, the watershed is heavily developed (see Figure 2-1). Except for a very small amount of land along the western edge of the BCWMC, in western Plymouth, all of the land in the BCWMC is within the 2030 Metropolitan Urban Service Area (MUSA). Five major lakes (Medicine, Sweeney, Twin, Wirth, and Parkers) lie within the watershed, as well as numerous smaller lakes, ponds, and wetlands.

In 2000, the BCWMC and the Mississippi WMO entered into a joint and cooperative agreement for a boundary change. A legal description of the revised boundary is included as part of the agreement and is also included in Appendix H. This boundary change transferred 1,002 acres from the BCWMC to the Mississippi WMO to reflect the changed drainage conditions upon completion of the Bassett Creek Flood Control Project. With the project in place, the watershed area tributary to the old tunnel no longer flows to Bassett Creek. The City of Minneapolis has assumed responsibility for maintenance of the old tunnel. A 1985 order by the Minnesota Water Resources Board (now the Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources – BWSR) revised the legal boundary between the BCWMC and the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District. A 1979 BCWMC document provides a legal description of the BCWMC boundary within the city of Plymouth. The boundaries between BCWMC, Shingle Creek WMC, and Elm Creek WMC closely follow the natural watershed divides. A legal description for the entire Bassett Creek watershed was prepared on June 27, 2003, and is included in Appendix I.

1.2.2 History

Prior to the adoption of a formal joint powers agreement, the cities in the Bassett Creek watershed acted together as a committee, which was formed to study flood control issues in the watershed. The committee members were the city engineers and/or city council members. In 1968, the Bassett Creek Flood Control Commission was formed by adoption of a joint powers agreement (JPA) between the nine communities in the watershed. As required by the joint powers agreement, the BCWMC adopted the *Watershed Management Plan for Bassett Creek* in February 1972.

In accordance with provisions of the 1982 Metropolitan Surface Water Management Act, the Bassett Creek Flood Control Commission revised its JPA in 1984 and created the Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission (BCWMC). The BCWMC prepared the *Bassett Creek Water Management Plan* in 1986 and adopted the Plan on December 18, 1986. The Minnesota Water Resources Board (now the Board of Water and Soil Resources – BWSR) approved the plan on July 26, 1989, and the BCWMC printed the plan in 1990 (1990 Plan).

In accordance with provisions of the Metropolitan Surface Water Management Act set forth in Minnesota Statutes 1992 and Minnesota Rules relating to Metropolitan Area Local Water Management, the BCWMC revised its JPA in 1993. The 1993 JPA remained in effect until 2014, when the nine member cities agreed to extend the joint powers agreement to 2025. The current JPA is included in this Plan as Appendix F. The powers and duties of the BCWMC are outlined in the joint powers agreement.

1.3 BCWMC Vision and Purpose

1.3.1 BCWMC Overall Purposes

Minnesota Statutes 103B defines the statutory purposes and authorities of watershed management organizations including the BCWMC (see Section 1.1). Within the context of the statutory authority granted to WMOs and contained in its JPA, the BCWMC has established the following vision to provide strategic direction to its work. The following vision helps to focus the organization's efforts and is a reminder of what the BCWMC is working to achieve:

Stewardship of water resources to protect and enhance our communities

The BCWMC has established goals and policies to promote the above vision. The goals and policies of the BCWMC are presented in Section 4 of this Plan. The BCWMC's goals are also listed in Section 1.3.2.

1.3.2 BCWMC Goals

The BCWMC has established goals that are closely aligned with the general purposes of watershed management organizations as described in Section 1.1. The BCWMC goals are to:

- Manage the surface water resources of the watershed to meet or exceed state standards and BCWMC water quality goals for wetlands, lakes, and streams.

-
- Improve the quality of stormwater runoff reaching the Mississippi River by reducing nonpoint source pollution.
 - Protect and enhance fish and wildlife habitat in the BCWMC.
 - Consider aesthetics and recreational opportunities within the watershed when completing BCWMC projects.
 - Reduce stormwater runoff volume for the purposes of improving water quality.
 - Protect against flood risks along the Bassett Creek trunk system.
 - Protect human life, property, and surface water systems that could be damaged by flood events.
 - Reduce stormwater runoff rates and volumes to minimize flood problems, flood damages, and the future costs of stormwater management systems.
 - Provide leadership and assist member cities with coordination of intercommunity stormwater runoff issues.
 - Notwithstanding that which occurs from natural processes, minimize erosion and sedimentation to protect the BCWMC's water resources and health, safety and welfare.
 - Maintain or improve shoreland integrity and implement stream restoration measures to maintain or enhance ecological functions as well as human health, safety, and welfare.
 - Increase the quality and quantity of wetlands in the BCWMC.
 - Protect the quantity and quality of groundwater resources.
 - Manage public ditches in a manner that recognizes their current use as urban drainage systems and as altered natural waterways.
 - Raise awareness of the BCWMC's existence and its role in protecting and improving water quality, minimizing flooding, and preserving the watershed's ecological functions and aesthetics.
 - Strengthen public confidence in the BCWMC's expertise and enable meaningful public participation in the planning process and ongoing projects conducted by the BCWMC.
 - Raise awareness of the impact that individuals, businesses, and organizations have upon water resources and motivate these audiences to change personal/corporate behavior that has a negative impact on the watershed.
 - Minimize the spread and manage the adverse impacts of harmful aquatic invasive species.

- Develop a greater understanding of climate change and its impact on water resources, including stormwater infrastructure capacity and flooding, and develop strategies to appropriately manage future impacts.

1.4 Management Structure, Power, and Duties

The Board of the BCWMC consists of nine commissioners and nine alternates appointed by the member cities. Each of the nine member cities appoints one commissioner and one alternate. Alternate Commissioners are voting Board members when the primary commissioner is absent. The JPA gives each member city the responsibility to determine the eligibility or qualifications of its representative (commissioner) on the board.

The term of each commissioner and alternate is three years and until their successors are selected, staggered as shown in Table 1-1. Commissioner vacancies must be filled in accordance with the JPA. Commissioners cannot be removed from the Commission prior to their term expiration except under the conditions given in the JPA. The commissioners serve without compensation from the BCWMC. Table 1-1 lists the Commissioner terms and Table 1-2 is the historical listing of BCWMC commissioners and their terms.

Table 1-1 BCWMC Commissioner Terms

Member City	Termination of Commissioner’s Term
Crystal	February 1, 2015, 2018, 2021, 2024, etc.
Golden Valley	
Medicine Lake	
Minneapolis	February 1, 2016, 2019, 2022, 2025, etc.
Mnetonka	
New Hope	
Plymouth	February 1, 2017, 2020, 2023, 2026, etc.
Robbinsdale	
St. Louis Park	

Table 1-2 Historical Listing of BCWMC Commissioner and Terms (not including Alternate Commissioners)

Member City	Commissioner	Term	Member City	Commissioner	Term
Crystal	Robert Dunn	1970-1971	Minnetonka	Al Ilies	1970
	James McLaughlin	1971-1976		Fred Hanus	1971-1976
	Adrian Rygg	1976-1981		Don Asmus	1977-1988
	William Sherburne	1981-1986		David Sonnenberg	1989-1995
	Bill Monk	1986-1994		Lee Gustafson	1995-2002
	Steve Peaslee	1994-1997		Bill Yaeger	2002-2006
	Tom Mathisen	1997-2003		Kris Sundberg	2007-2009
	Pauline Langsdorf	2003-2011		Bonnie Harper-Lore	2010-2011
	Dan Johnson	2012 - 2013		Jacob Millner	2011-
	Guy Mueller	2013 -			
Golden Valley	Gordon O. Garis	1970-1972	Minneapolis	Vern Anderson	1970-1973
	John Bies	1972		Lee Munnich, Jr.	1974-1977
	Ralph Crews	1973-1974		Parker Trostel	1978-1982
	Ed Silberman	1974-2000		Perry Smith	1982-1989
	David Hanson	2000-2004		Marv Hoshaw	1990-1992
	Linda Loomis	2006- 2011		R. Kannankutty	1993-1999
	Stacy Hoschka	2012 -		Michael Welch	1999-
Medicine Lake	Roy Winkler	1970-1982	Plymouth	Richard O'Fallon	1970-1979
	James Padden	1983-1989		Sherman Goldberg	1979-1982
	John O'Toole	1989-2005		Fred Moore	1983-1997
	Cheri Templeman	2006-2009		Ron Quanbeck	1997-2002
	Ted Hoshal	2010-2013		Elizabeth Thornton	2002-2005
	Clint Carlson	2013-		Virginia Black	2005 -
Robbinsdale	Z. Joseph Bauer	1970-1971	St. Louis Park	Murray Galinson	1970
	Harvey L. Lange	1971-1972, 1975		Jim Jones	1970-1972
	Raymond A. Mattson	1973		David Rudberg	1973-1977
	Reynold Eckstrom	1973-1974, 1978-1984		Seymour Druskin	1977
	Richard Halverson	1976		R. L. Kopyy	1978-1980;

Table 1-2 Historical Listing of BCWMC Commissioner and Terms (not including Alternate Commissioners)

Member City	Commissioner	Term	Member City	Commissioner	Term
					1984-1985
	Merry Olson	1977		Chester Harrison	1981-1984
	Lee Gustafson	1984-1991		Donald Rye	1985-1993
	Wallace Johnson	1991		James Grube	1993-1995
	Jerome Ruffenach	1992-1996		Michael Rardin	1995-2002
	Maria Hagen	1996-1999		Paul Andersen	2002-2004
	William Deblon	1999-2002		Richard Johnson	2005-2007
	Karla Peterson	2002-2008		Manuel Jordan	2008-2009
	Wayne Sicora	2009-		Jim de Lambert	2009-
New Hope	Milton C. Honsey	1970-1971			
	Richard Plufka	1971-1976			
	Peter Enck	1976-1999			
	Guy Johnson	1999-2001			
	Vincent Vander Top	2001-2004			
	Mary Gwin-Lenth	2004 - 2006			
	Daniel Stauner	2007-2009			
	John Elder	2010 -			

At the time of this writing, regular meetings for the BCWMC are held at 8:30 AM at the Golden Valley City Hall on the third Thursday of each month. The fiscal year extends from February 1 through January 31.

The powers and duties of the BCWMC, taken from the joint powers agreement, include, but are not limited to:

1. Prepare and adopt an "overall plan" (watershed management plan).
2. Acquire necessary personal property to accomplish its purposes.
3. Contract for space, material, and supplies.
4. Make necessary surveys or use other information and develop projects to accomplish the purposes for which the BCWMC is organized.

5. Enter into contracts or cooperate with governmental agencies, private/public organizations, or individuals to accomplish the purposes for which the BCWMC is organized.
6. Order any member city to construct, clean, repair, alter, abandon, consolidate, reclaim or change the course or terminus of any ditch, drain, storm sewer or water course, natural or artificial, within BCWMC.
7. Order any member city to carry out improvements necessary to implement the BCWMC watershed management plan.
8. Regulate, conserve and control the use of stormwater, surface water and groundwater within BCWMC.
9. Contract for or purchase insurance, as needed.
10. Establish and maintain devices for acquiring and recording hydrological and water quality data within BCWMC.
11. Enter upon lands to make surveys and investigations to accomplish the BCWMC's purposes.
12. Provide any member city with technical data or other information to assist the city in preparing its local watershed management plan.
13. Provide legal and technical assistance in connection with litigation or other proceedings between one or more of its members and any other unit of government relating to drainage or water quality within BCWMC.
14. Accumulate reserve funds and invest funds not currently needed for BCWMC operations.
15. Collect money from the BCWMC members, Hennepin County, and from any other BCWMC-approved source.
16. Make contracts, employ staff or consultants, incur expenses and make expenditures.
17. Obtain an annual audit of the BCWMC books and accounts.
18. Make its books, reports, and records available for and open to inspection by its member cities.
19. Recommend changes to the joint powers agreement to its member cities.
20. Exercise all other powers necessary and incidental to the implementation of the purposes and powers set forth in the joint powers agreement and state law.
21. Cooperate with the Minnesota Department of Natural Resource (MDNR) in obtaining protected waters permits and complying with Minnesota law regarding protected waters.
22. Member cities may conduct separate or concurrent studies on any matter under study by the BCWMC.

-
23. Establish a procedure for establishing citizen or technical advisory committees and to provide other means for public participation.

1.4.1 Regulatory Environment and Agency Responsibilities

Various units of government are involved in regulating water resource related activities and have jurisdiction overlapping that of the BCWMC, including municipalities, counties, and state and federal agencies. Several state and federal agencies have established regulatory standards that are applicable within the BCWMC. Agencies with regulatory or oversight roles related to the management of water resources within the BCWMC include:

- Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR)
- Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR)
- Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA)
- Minnesota Department of Health (MDH)
- Minnesota Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
- Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT)
- United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
- Metropolitan Council

The specific roles of these entities are described in greater detail in Section 5.1.3 of this Plan.

BCMWC 2015 Watershed Management Plan
Section 2 – Land and Water Resources Inventory

Contents

2.0	Land and Water Resource Inventory.....	1
2.1	Land Use.....	1
2.1.1	Water and Wastewater Service Areas	1
2.2	Climate and Precipitation	2
2.3	Topography.....	5
2.4	Soils	5
2.4.1	Hydrologic Soil Groups and Infiltration.....	5
2.4.2	Surficial Soils.....	7
2.5	Geology and Groundwater Resources.....	7
2.5.1	Geology	7
2.5.2	Groundwater Resources.....	8
2.5.2.1	Bedrock Aquifers	8
2.5.2.2	Surficial (Quaternary) Aquifers	9
2.5.3	Wellhead Protection Areas	10
2.6	Surface Water Resources	10
2.6.1	MDNR Public Waters	12
2.6.2	Public Ditches.....	12
2.6.3	Wetlands.....	13
2.6.4	Lakes and Ponds	13
2.6.4.1	Bassett Creek Park Pond.....	13
2.6.4.2	Crane Lake.....	14
2.6.4.3	Grimes Pond.....	14
2.6.4.4	Lost Lake	15
2.6.4.5	Medicine Lake	15
2.6.4.6	North Rice Pond.....	16
2.6.4.7	Northwood Lake	16
2.6.4.8	Parkers Lake.....	17
2.6.4.9	South Rice Pond.....	18
2.6.4.10	Sweeney Lake.....	18

2.6.4.11	Turtle Lake	19
2.6.4.12	Twin Lake	19
2.6.4.13	Westwood Lake	20
2.6.4.14	Wirth Lake	20
2.6.5	Streams and Open Channels	21
2.6.5.1	Plymouth Creek.....	21
2.6.5.2	Main Stem of Bassett Creek	22
2.6.5.3	North Branch of Bassett Creek	22
2.6.5.4	Sweeney Lake Branch of Bassett Creek	22
2.7	Water Quality	23
2.7.1	Water Quality Monitoring Programs	24
2.7.1.1	BCWMC Lake Monitoring.....	25
2.7.1.2	BCWMC Stream Monitoring	26
2.7.1.3	Watershed Outlet Monitoring Program	28
2.7.1.4	Hennepin County Environmental Services – River Watch	28
2.7.1.5	Three Rivers Park District/City of Plymouth.....	29
2.7.1.6	Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board	29
2.7.1.7	Metropolitan Council (CAMP)	30
2.7.1.8	Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (CLMP)	30
2.7.1.9	Member Cities.....	30
2.7.2	Management and Classification	30
2.7.2.1	MPCA Impaired Waters.....	30
2.7.2.2	BCWMC Classification Systems.....	32
2.7.3	Water Quality Modeling	35
2.7.3.1	Watershed-wide P8 Model	35
2.8	Water Quantity and Flooding	36
2.8.1	BCWMC Flood Control Project.....	36
2.8.1.1	Irving Avenue Dump Site	38
2.8.2	Other Watershed Flood Control Projects	39
2.8.3	Regulatory Water Levels and Flow Rates.....	39
2.8.4	Flood Insurance Studies.....	40
2.8.5	Water Quantity Monitoring.....	52
2.8.5.1	Lake Levels	52
2.8.5.2	Stream Gaging and Flow Data	52

2.8.6	Water Quantity Modeling	53
2.8.6.1	Watershed-wide XP-SWMM Model.....	53
2.9	Natural Communities and Rare Species	54
2.10	Fish and Wildlife Habitat.....	55
2.10.1	MDNR Fisheries Surveys and Stocking.....	55
2.10.1.1	Medicine Lake.....	55
2.10.1.2	Parkers Lake.....	55
2.10.1.3	Sweeney Lake and Twin Lake	55
2.10.1.4	Wirth Lake	56
2.10.2	Aquatic Plant (Macrophyte) Monitoring.....	56
2.10.3	Wetland Health Evaluation Program	57
2.11	Pollutant Sources.....	58

List of Tables

Table 2-1	Historical Precipitation Summary for MSP Airport (1981 – 2010)	4
Table 2-2	Selected Precipitation and Runoff Events	5
Table 2-3	Characteristics of Major BCWMC Waterbodies	11
Table 2-4	Pollutants Commonly Found in Stormwater Runoff	24
Table 2-5	Summary of Impaired Waters within the BCWMC (including 2014 proposed listings and Wirth Lake delisting)	32
Table 2-6	BCWMC Management Classifications for Priority Waterbodies	33
Table 2-7	BCWMC Water Quality Standards for Waterbody Classifications	34
Table 2-8	Summary of BCWMC Flood Control Project Features	41
Table 2-9	BCWMC Flood Profiles	42
Table 2-10	BCWMC Priority Water Body Lake Levels	52

List of Figures

(Section 2 figures are included in the “Figures” section)

Figure 2-1	2010 Land Use
Figure 2-2	2020 Land Use
Figure 2-3	Average Monthly High & Low Temperatures and Precipitation for Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport (1981-2010)
Figure 2-4	Topography and Steep Slopes
Figure 2-5	Hydrologic Soil Groups
Figure 2-6	Generalized Geologic Section
Figure 2-7	Wellhead Protection Areas
Figure 2-8	BCWMC Major Subwatersheds and Drainage Patterns
Figure 2-9	Public Waters Inventory and Public Ditches
Figure 2-10	National Wetlands Inventory and Biological Survey
Figure 2-11	Water Quality and Stream Biotic Monitoring Locations
Figure 2-12	Impaired Waters (per MPCA 303d List)
Figure 2-13	Watersheds Used in BCWMC P8 Model
Figure 2-14	Flood Control Project Features
Figure 2-15	BCWMC Trunk System
Figure 2-16	FEMA 100-Year Floodplain
Figure 2-17	Watersheds Used in BCWMC XP-SWMM Model
Figure 2-18	Recreation Areas and Public Boat Access
Figure 2-19	Pollutant Sources

2.0 Land and Water Resource Inventory

This section summarizes the land and water resources located within the BCWMC. The inventory section contains information on land use and public utilities, climate and precipitation, topography, soils, geology and groundwater resources, surface water resource information, water quality monitoring, water quantity and flooding, natural communities and rare species, fish and wildlife habitat, and pollutant. This information is important because it describes the condition of the watershed and it affects decisions about infrastructure, development, and ecological preservation.

2.1 Land Use

Almost all of the land in the BCWMC is now fully developed. Figure 2-1 shows the current land use in the BCWMC (source: Metropolitan Council, 2010). The current vacant areas that are planned for development include areas in western Plymouth and other scattered infill locations within the BCWMC (note that the “vacant” land use designation includes undevelopable land such as wetlands). Proposed redevelopment areas are scattered throughout the watershed. The comprehensive plans for the BCWMC member cities contain more information about these future redevelopment areas. Low density residential is the major land use found in the Bassett Creek watershed (49%), followed by parks, recreational, and natural areas (11%), industrial land uses (8%), and open water (6%). Additional land use found in the watershed includes: undeveloped areas, institutional, major highways, retail/commercial, office space, medium density residential and limited amounts of agriculture.

Figure 2-2 shows the anticipated future land use based on Metropolitan Council 2030 data. The future land use anticipated in 1990 (and its associated impervious coverage) was the basis for the design of the Bassett Creek Flood Control Project and associated allowable flow rates. Prior to 2004, the BCWMC tracked discrepancies between the projected future land use and actual land use in the watershed. Discrepancies between the planned future land use (and associated impervious coverage) and actual land use were mitigated, when necessary. In areas that developed to a higher intensity than was projected, for example, mitigation in the form of additional flood storage was provided. BCWMC’s policies included in this Plan require no increase in peak discharge from current conditions (see Section 4.0) and are independent of the proposed future land use. Knowledge of future land use remains useful, however, to identify areas where redevelopment might offer opportunities for additional stormwater treatment or retrofits of existing stormwater infrastructure.

2.1.1 Water and Wastewater Service Areas

Wastewater collection facilities are now available throughout the watershed, the entirety of which is now included within the Metropolitan Urban Services Area (MUSA). The MUSA is the area delineated by the Metropolitan Council where urbanization is expected to occur and where metropolitan service systems (particularly sanitary sewer service and major highways/interchanges) will be provided to accommodate growth.

Until the early 2000s, a portion of the eastern Bassett Creek watershed within the City of Minneapolis was served by a combined storm sewer and sanitary sewer system. The Bassett Creek Flood Control Project

design assumed that the entire tributary area from the City of Minneapolis was separated and that the stormwater drains to the creek rather than to wastewater treatment facilities.

The City of Minneapolis obtains its water supply from the Mississippi River for municipal and industrial purposes. In addition, Minneapolis supplies the cities of Golden Valley, Crystal and New Hope with their municipal water supplies. The cities of Plymouth, Robbinsdale, Minnetonka, St. Louis Park, and Medicine Lake obtain their water supplies from groundwater aquifers (see Section 2.5, Geology and Groundwater Resources). In the extreme western portions of the Bassett Creek watershed, outside of the MUSA, some residents still obtain their domestic water supplies from private supply wells. Some residents in Medicine Lake also obtain water from private supply wells.

2.2 Climate and Precipitation

The climate of the Minneapolis-St. Paul area is a humid continental climate, characterized by moderate precipitation (normally sufficient for crops), wide daily temperature variations, large seasonal variations in temperature, warm humid summers, and cold winters with moderate snowfall.

The mean annual temperature for the Bassett Creek watershed is 46.2°F, as measured at the Minneapolis/St. Paul (MSP) airport station (1981-2010). Mean monthly temperatures vary from 15.6°F in January to 73.8°F in July (1981-2010). According to NOAA National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) extreme temperatures recorded were a high of 108°F on July 14, 1936 and a low of -34°F on January 1, 1936 and January 19, 1970. For the 1981-2010 climate normal period, the average date for latest occurrence of freezing temperatures was April 26, while the average date for the first autumn frost was October 7. The average frost-free period (growing season) is approximately 160 days.

Table 2-1 summarizes precipitation data for the MSP airport station. Average total annual precipitation at the MSP airport station is 30.6 inches (1981-2010) and has ranged from a low of 11.5 inches in 1910 to a high of 40.2 inches in 1911. The mean monthly precipitation varies from 4.3 inches in August to 0.9 inches in January (1981-2010). From May to September, the growing season months, the average rainfall (1981-2010) is 19.03 inches, or about 62 percent of the average annual precipitation. Precipitation amounts vary across the Minneapolis-St. Paul metropolitan area. For example, the average annual precipitation measured at a local volunteer weather observation site in New Hope (within the Bassett Creek watershed) is 34.2 inches (1981-2010). At this site, the average monthly precipitation varies from 4.7 inches in June to 0.9 inches in January, and the average May-September rainfall is 21.0 inches, or 61 percent of the average annual precipitation at the site.

Figure 2-3 shows the average high and low temperatures for the Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport. The figure also displays average precipitation events for the area.

Snowfall averages 54.4 inches annually at the MSP airport station (1981-2010). Extreme snowfall records range from 98.6 inches during the 1983-1984 season to 14.2 inches during the 1930-1931 season.

Average weather imposes little strain on the typical drainage system. Extremes of precipitation and snowmelt are important for design of flood control systems. The National Oceanographic and

Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) has data on extreme precipitation events that can be used to aid in the design of flood control systems. Extremes of snowmelt most often affect major rivers, the design of large stormwater storage areas, and landlocked basins, while extremes of precipitation most often affect the design of conveyance facilities.

NOAA published Atlas 14, Volume 8, in 2013. Atlas 14 is the primary source of information regarding rainfall in the region. Atlas 14 supersedes publications TP-40 and TP-49 issued by the National Weather Bureau (now the National Weather Service) in 1961 and 1964. Improvements in Atlas 14 precipitation estimates include denser data networks, longer (and more recent) periods of record, application of regional frequency analysis, and new techniques in spatial interpolation and mapping. Atlas 14 provides estimates of precipitation depth and intensity for durations from 5 minutes up to 60 days.

Runoff from spring snowmelt is also important in this region, but is not provided in Atlas 14. The Soil Conservation Service's (now the Natural Resources Conservation Service) National Engineering Handbook, Hydrology, Section 4, presents maps of regional runoff volume. Table 2-2 lists many of the precipitation and runoff events used for design purposes.

Even with wide variations in climate conditions, climatologists have found four significant recent climate trends in the Upper Midwest (Minnesota Weather Almanac, Seeley, 2006):

- Warmer winters
- Higher minimum temperatures
- Higher dew points
- Changes in precipitation trends – more rainfall is coming from heavy thunderstorm events and increased snowfall

According to the Soil and Water Conservation Society's (SWCS) 2003 report on climate change, total precipitation amounts in the United States (and in the Great Lakes region) are trending upward, as are storm intensities. Precipitation records in the Twin Cities area show the annual average precipitation has increased, as shown in the following examples:

- Minneapolis-St. Paul Airport station – the average annual precipitation increased from 28.32 inches (1961–1990 average) to 30.61 inches (1981–2010 average), an 8.1% increase (data from the Climatology Working Group website: <http://climate.umn.edu/>).
- St. Paul station – the average annual precipitation increased from 30.30 inches (1961–1990 average, from the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources [MDNR] State Climatology Office) to 33.45 inches (1981–2010 average), a 10.4% increase (data from the Climatology Working Group website: <http://climate.umn.edu/>).

Comparison of precipitation depths between TP-40 and Atlas 14 indicates increased precipitation depths for more extreme events. As noted by the SWCS, increased storm intensities result in increased soil

erosion and increased runoff. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's (MPCA) global warming website states that increased flooding could also result from more intense precipitation events:

<http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/topics/climate-change/index.html>.

Table 2-1 Historical Precipitation Summary for MSP Airport (1981 – 2010)

Month	Rainfall							Snowfall		
	Mean (in)	Max (in)	Year of Max	Min (in)	Year of Min	1-day Max (in)	Year of 1-day Max	Mean (in)	Max (in)	Year of Max
January	1.04	3.63	1967	0.10	1990	1.21	1967	13.5	46.4	1982
February	0.79	2.14	1981	0.06	1964	1.10	1966	8.2	26.5	1962
March	1.86	4.75	1965	0.32	1994	1.66	1965	10.4	40.0	1951
April	2.31	7.00	2001	0.16	1987	2.23	1975	3.1	21.8	1983
May	3.24	8.03	1962	0.61	1967	3.03	1965	0.1	3.0	1946
June	4.34	9.82	1990	0.22	1988	3.28	2003	0.0	T	1995
July	4.04	17.90	1987	0.58	1975	10.00	1987	0.0	T	1994
August	4.05	9.31	1977	0.43	1946	7.36	1977	0.0	T	1992
September	2.69	7.53	1942	0.41	1940	3.55	1942	0.0	1.7	1942
October	2.11	5.68	1971	0.01	1952	4.83	2005	0.6	8.2	1991
November	1.94	5.29	1991	0.02	1939	2.91	1940	10.0	46.9	1991
December	1.00	4.27	1982	T	1943	2.47	1982	10.0	33.2	1982
Annual	29.41	40.15	1911	11.54	1910	--	--	55.9	101.5	1983

Source: Minnesota Climatology Working Group (www.climate.umn.edu)

Table 2-2 Selected Precipitation and Runoff Events

Type	Event Frequency	Duration	Depth (inches)
Rainfall	2-year	24 hour	2.87
	5-year	24 hour	3.60
	10-year	24 hour	4.29
	25-year	24 hour	5.39
	50-year	24 hour	6.36
	100-year	24 hour	7.42
	10-year	10 day	6.83
	100-year	10 day	10.2
Snowmelt	10-year	10 day	4.7
	25-year	10 day	5.7
	50-year	10 day	6.4
	100-year	10 day	7.1

Source: NOAA Atlas 14 – Volume 8. Station: Golden Valley (21-3202).
Hydrology Guide for Minnesota (USDA Soil Conservation Service – NRCS)

2.3 Topography

The topographic relief of the Bassett Creek watershed is not extreme with land sloping generally from higher elevations in the west to lower elevations in the east with only a net loss of 210 feet. The watershed high points include an areas west of Parkers Lake and west of Schmidt Lake with elevations ranging from approximately 980 to 1,010 feet, respectively. From this point east, the northern and southern watershed boundaries drop to an elevation of approximately 800 at the point where the creek enters the Mississippi River as can be seen in Figure 2-4. The extensive urbanization of the watershed has greatly altered the natural topography of the watershed. With these alterations, drainage patterns have become more defined. Many of the wetland areas that existed prior to urbanization have been eliminated or altered, especially in the older developed areas, concentrated downstream of Medicine Lake. The location of steep slopes within the watershed is of interest as these areas limit options for land development and have a higher potential for erosion.

2.4 Soils

2.4.1 Hydrologic Soil Groups and Infiltration

Soil composition, slope and land management practices determine the impact of soils on water resource issues. Soil composition and slope are important factors affecting the rate and volume of stormwater runoff. The shape and stability of aggregates of soil particles—expressed as soil structure—influence the permeability, infiltration rate, and erodibility (i.e., potential for erosion) of soils. Slope is important in determining stormwater runoff rates and susceptibility to erosion.

Infiltration capacities of soils affect the amount of direct runoff resulting from rainfall. Higher infiltration rates result in lower potential for runoff from the land, as more precipitation is able to enter the soil. Conversely, soils with low infiltration rates produce high runoff volumes and high peak discharge rates, as most or all of the rainfall moves as overland flow.

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS – formerly the Soil Conservation Service) has established four general hydrologic soil groups. These groups are:

- Group A Low runoff potential—high infiltration rate
- Group B Moderate infiltration rate
- Group C Slow infiltration rate
- Group D High runoff potential—very slow infiltration rate

Combined with land use, the hydrologic soil grouping symbols (A-D) may be used to estimate the amount of runoff that will occur over a given area for a particular rainfall amount. The most current soils data for the Bassett Creek watershed are based on the Soil Survey Geographic dataset (SSURGO) from the NRCS and are presented in Figure 2-5.

Large portions of the eastern half of the watershed fall within the Not Rated/Not Available category (47%). This classification is typically assigned to areas where development has altered the existing soil, or data were unavailable prior to development; hydrologic soil groups or infiltration rates are typically not determined after development. Of the remaining 53% of the watershed that has available soil information, the majority of this portion consists of hydrologic soil group B (30%), group C (26%), and group C/D soils (20%). The majority of the western portion of the watershed has soil with moderate to slow infiltration rates. Hydrologic soil group A soil, which indicates high infiltration rates, are present in approximately 13% of the rated portion of the Bassett Creek watershed. With only a small portion of the watershed consisting of soils with higher infiltration rates, the Bassett Creek watershed has the potential to produce high volumes of runoff.

Development is another factor that may increase the potential for high volumes of runoff. As land is developed for urban use, much of the soil is covered with impervious surfaces, and soils in the remaining areas are significantly disturbed and altered. Development often results in consolidation of the soil and tends to reduce infiltration capacity of otherwise permeable soils, resulting in significantly greater amounts of runoff. Grading, plantings, and tended lawns tend to dominate the pervious landscape in urbanized areas and may become more important factors in runoff generation than the original soil type.

The hydrologic soil groups map (Figure 2-5) provides general guidance about the infiltration capacity of the soils throughout the watershed. Soils should be inspected on a site-by-site basis as projects are considered.

2.4.2 Surficial Soils

Surface soils throughout much of the Bassett Creek watershed are principally of the Hayden series and are predominantly found in two major associations – the Hayden-Cordova-Peaty Muck Association and the Hayden-Peaty Muck Association. Hayden soils comprise 40 to 50 percent of these associations. Hayden soils are found on low knolls and hills, are generally well-drained, and have a surface layer of grayish loam or clay loam and a subsoil of light clay loam. These associations are moderately permeable and have high available moisture capacity.

Of the Hayden-Cordova-Peaty Muck Association, the Hayden soils make up about 40 percent, Cordova soils about 10 percent, Peaty Muck soils about 5 percent, and minor soils about 45 percent of the association. Poorly-drained Cordova soils in swales and on flats have a surface layer of black silty clay loam and a subsoil of clay loam. They have a high available moisture capacity and a moderately low permeability. The very poorly-drained Peaty Muck soils in depressions consist of deep organic materials. Important minor soils in this association include the very poorly-drained Glencoe soils in depressions, the poorly-drained Hamel, Dundas, Minnetonka and Shields soils in drainageways and on the flats, and the moderately well-drained Dalbo soils on the low knolls and hills.

Of the Hayden-Peaty Muck Association, the Hayden soils comprise about 50 percent, the Peaty Muck soils about 10 percent, and minor soils about 40 percent of the association. The very poorly-drained Peaty Muck soils consist of deep organic materials. They have a very high available moisture capacity and a low fertility. The minor soils in this association include the Glencoe, Cordova, Dundas, Hamel, Nessel, and Dalbo soils. The very poorly-drained Glencoe soils are found in shallow depressions and the poorly-drained Hamel, Cordova, and Dundas soils are found in drainageways. The moderately well-drained Nessel and Dalbo soils occur in low knolls and on the crowns of the larger hills.

In the vicinity of Parkers Lake in the western portion of the Bassett Creek watershed, the surface soils tend to be of the Estherville series. Estherville soils have a surface layer of very dark brown sandy loam and a subsoil of dark yellowish-brown sandy loam. The underlying material is calcareous sand and gravel.

Surface soils downstream of Cedar Lake Road in Minneapolis are of the Hubbard series, which are common in the Mississippi River valley train. Hubbard soils formed under prairie vegetation have a dark surface horizon that grades into bright brown-colored subsoil. Larger medium sands are typical of the Hubbard profile.

These soil units are named for the major soil types present but contain many unique soil types in varying amounts and patterns.

2.5 Geology and Groundwater Resources

2.5.1 Geology

The Bassett Creek watershed is located in the northwestern portion of a bowl-like bedrock structure underlying the Minneapolis-St. Paul metropolitan area (called the Twin Cities basin), which has a gentle slope to the southeast. A generalized geologic section is presented in Figure 2-6. The bedrock is overlain

by a layer of glacial drift, which varies from over 250 feet thick in the western portion and along the eastern border of the watershed, to less than 50 feet thick in the southeastern portion of the watershed in Minneapolis. Generally, there is no uniform relationship between the existing surface topography and the bedrock structure. The watershed is underlain by up to 40 feet of Platteville and Glenwood Formation limestone and shale in the southern and eastern portions of the watershed. The northern portion of the watershed is underlain by up to 160 feet of St. Peter Sandstone, except in the northwest portions and in the extreme eastern portions, where pre-glacial Mississippi River valleys cut through the sandstone and into the Prairie du Chien Dolomite. Three buried erosional valleys cut deep into the bedrock and bisect the glacial drift. One valley extends north and southeast from Medicine Lake. The north branch cuts into the Prairie du Chien Dolomite and the southeast branch cuts into the St. Peter Sandstone. These valleys are filled with up to 250 feet of glacial drift. Another valley extends northerly from Wirth Lake to the watershed border, cutting into the St. Peter Sandstone and filled with up to 200 feet of drift. The third valley extends through the very southeastern portion of the watershed between Highway 55 and Interstate 394. It cuts through the Prairie du Chien Dolomite and is filled with up to 400 feet of glacial drift.

2.5.2 Groundwater Resources

While the City of Minneapolis and the cities where it supplies water (Golden Valley, Crystal, and New Hope) obtain their water supply from the Mississippi River, the cities of Plymouth, Robbinsdale, Minnetonka, St. Louis Park, and Medicine Lake obtain their water supplies from groundwater aquifers. In the extreme western portions of the Bassett Creek watershed, outside of the MUSA, some residents still obtain their domestic water supplies from private supply wells. Some residents in Medicine Lake also obtain water from private supply wells.

Two types of aquifers are present in the watershed: surficial aquifers and bedrock aquifers. The following paragraphs provide detailed information about the aquifers located in the BCWMC.

2.5.2.1 Bedrock Aquifers

The watershed is underlain by four major bedrock aquifers: (1) St. Peter Sandstone, (2) Prairie du Chien-Jordan, (3) Wonewoc Sandstone (formerly Iron-ton-Galesville Sandstone), and (4) Mt. Simon-Hinckley Sandstone. In addition, there are numerous aquifers in the glacial drift. Some groundwater from the glacial drift and the St. Peter aquifer discharge into Bassett Creek. The remaining aquifers discharge into the Minnesota and Mississippi rivers; movement of groundwater within these aquifers is complicated by the intersecting buried bedrock valleys.

The following cities within the BCWMC obtain their water supplies from the associated groundwater sources:

- Plymouth – 16 wells in the Prairie du Chien-Jordan aquifer (4 new wells proposed)
- Minnetonka – 14 wells in the Prairie du Chien-Jordan aquifer, 3 wells in the Jordan aquifer, and 1 well in the Prairie du Chien-St. Lawrence aquifer

- Robbinsdale – 4 wells in the Prairie du Chien-Jordan aquifer and 1 well in the St. Peter-Prairie du Chien aquifer
- St. Louis Park – 6 wells in the Prairie du Chien-Jordan aquifer, 4 wells in the Mt. Simon-Hinckley aquifer, and 1 well in the Platteville-St. Peter aquifer.
- Medicine Lake – private wells

The Prairie du Chien-Jordan aquifer is high-yielding, more easily tapped than deeper aquifers, has very good water quality, and is continuous throughout most of the area. This is the most heavily used aquifer in Hennepin County, with yields above 2,000 gallons per minute throughout much of the Bassett Creek watershed. The MDNR closely reviews permits for groundwater withdrawals from the Prairie du Chien-Jordan aquifer to ensure that the withdrawals will not cause drawdown effects on nearby water resources of regional significance.

The groundwater level in the Prairie du Chien-Jordan aquifer varies from about 800 feet to 900 feet above mean sea level within the Bassett Creek watershed, as shown in the Hennepin County Geologic Atlas (1989). The aquifer is recharged in areas where thin permeable drift overlies the limestone layers. Some recharge of this aquifer occurs locally from percolation through the overlying glacial deposits or St. Peter sandstone. However, hydrogeologic characteristics suggest this recharge would be a minimal contribution to the aquifer flow. Regional recharge of the Prairie du Chien-Jordan aquifer occurs to the south of the Minneapolis-St. Paul metropolitan area. Groundwater movement in the aquifer is generally from west to east, toward the Mississippi River.

The regional aquifer with the highest water quality is the Mt. Simon-Hinckley aquifer, but it is more expensive to use than the Prairie du Chien-Jordan because of its greater depth. Yields from the Mt. Simon-Hinckley aquifer range from 1,000 to 1,500 gallons per minute in the western portion of the Bassett Creek watershed to over 1,500 gallons per minute in the eastern portion of the watershed. Minnesota statutes limit appropriations from the Mt. Simon-Hinckley aquifer to potable water uses, where there are no feasible or practical alternatives, and where a water conservation plan is incorporated with the appropriations permit. The groundwater level of the Mt. Simon-Hinckley ranges from about 600 to 700 feet above mean sea level within the Bassett Creek watershed. Recharge of the Mt. Simon-Hinckley takes place far northwest of the county, where the bedrock is closer to the surface, and occurs by percolation through the overlying drift and bedrock. The pattern of flow in the Mt. Simon-Hinckley aquifer differs greatly from the pattern in the overlying Prairie du Chien-Jordan aquifer. Groundwater movement in the aquifer below the Bassett Creek watershed is generally to the southeast towards a cone of depression located just outside the Bassett Creek watershed and formed by major pumping centers (groundwater levels below 600 feet above mean sea level). In general, the Mt. Simon-Hinckley aquifer has little or no hydraulic connection with the surficial groundwater system or major streams.

2.5.2.2 Surficial (Quaternary) Aquifers

Surficial aquifers are water-bearing layers of sediment, usually sand and gravel, which lie close to the ground surface. Many private domestic wells in the watershed draw water from these aquifers. Since the

surficial aquifers are more susceptible to pollution, they are generally not used for municipal or public supply wells. In some locations in the BCWMC, the aquifer could provide sufficient water yield for some non-potable industrial uses. The depth of the water table varies across the watershed, but is on the order of tens of feet.

Recharge to the surficial aquifers is primarily through the downward percolation of local precipitation. The ponds, lakes, and wetlands scattered throughout the watershed recharge the groundwater. Some of these waterbodies are landlocked and their only outlet is to the groundwater; some landlocked lakes may be perched above the regional level of the shallow groundwater in the watershed. Some surficial aquifers may also be recharged during periods of high stream stage. Surficial aquifers may discharge to local lakes, streams or to the underlying bedrock.

2.5.3 Wellhead Protection Areas

The increasing population in the Twin Cities metropolitan area has put increased pressure not only on groundwater quantity but also on its quality. The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) is responsible for the protection of groundwater quality and aims to prevent contaminants from entering the recharge zones of public water supply wells through its wellhead protection program. This includes the development of wellhead protection plans (WHPPs) and guidance to limit potential for groundwater contamination (see Section 3.6). Wellhead protection efforts may restrict or prevent the use of certain stormwater BMPs within these areas to prevent possibly contaminated stormwater from reaching groundwater supplies

Figure 2-7 shows the location of the municipal water supply wells and the delineated wellhead protection areas within the Bassett Creek watershed. Each of the communities within the BCWMC that obtains its municipal water supply from groundwater has an MDH-approved wellhead protection plan.

2.6 Surface Water Resources

The Bassett Creek watershed has numerous streams, creeks, lakes, ponds, and wetlands. The watershed is subdivided into 18 subwatersheds based on the drainage areas tributary to its major surface water resources (see Figure 2-8). Table 2-3 summarizes the physical characteristics of major BCWMC lakes and ponds. Other governmental units have identified or inventoried surface water resources within the BCWMC specifically related to their management jurisdictions; these include:

- Public waters basins, watercourses, and wetlands – Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR)
- Public ditches – Hennepin County
- National Wetland Inventory (NWI) – US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)

The following sections further describe the surface water resources within the BCWMC, including those identified in the above inventories. Note that for water quality management purposes, the BCWMC has further classified specific water resources as priority waterbodies (see Section 2.7.2).

Table 2-3. Characteristics of Major BCWMC Waterbodies

Name	Location	Public Beach	Public Access	Subwatershed drains multiple cities	Discharges to:	Has history of BCWMC monitoring (latest year)	Water Surface Area (acres)	Size of watershed (acres)	Has history of CAMP monitoring with a volunteer	Listed as impaired (TMDL status)
Bassett Creek Park	CR	No	Parkland	Yes (GV,CR)	Bassett Creek	No	9.7	2564.1	No	No
Cavanaugh (Sunset Hill)	PL	No	No	No	NA	Yes (1998)	13	125.7	No	No
Cortlawn	GV	No	Parkland	Yes (GV,SP)	Sweeney Branch	No	5.6	457	No	No
Crane	MK	No	No	No	Medicine Lake	Yes (2011)	30	590.6	No	No
East Ring	GV	No	Parkland	No	Cortlawn Pond	No	2.5	364.1	No	No
Grimes	RB	No	Parkland	No	North Rice Pond	No	6.1	114.4	No	No
Hidden	PL	No	Road access	No	Medicine Lake	No	9	142.3	Yes (2011)	No
Lost	PL	No	No	No	NA	Yes (1997)	22	60.9	Yes	No
Medicine	PL, ML	Yes	Yes	Yes (ML,PL,NH,GV,MK)	Bassett Creek	Yes (2010)	902	11015	Yes	Yes (complete)
North Rice	RB	No	Parkland	Yes (CR,GV,MP,RB)	South Rice Pond	Yes (2013)	3.7	233.3	Yes (2009)	No
Northwood	NH	No	Parkland (no launch)	Yes (PL,NH)	Bassett Creek	Yes (2013)	15	1293.7	Yes	Yes (no TMDL)
Parkers	PL	Yes	Yes	No	NA	No *	97	1064.5	Yes	No
Schaper	GV	No	Parkland	Yes (GV,SP)	Sweeney Lake	No	3.4	2069.5	No	No
South Rice	RB, GV	No	Parkland	Yes (CR,GV,MP,RB)	Bassett Creek	Yes (2013)	3.2	514	Yes (2009)	No
Spring	MP	No	Parkland	No	NA	No	4.3	43.1	No	No
Sweeney	GV	No	No	Yes (GV,SP)	Bassett Creek	Yes (2009)	67	2396.7	Yes	Yes (complete)
Turtle	PL	No	Parkland (no launch)	No	Plymouth Creek	No	28	419.6	No	No
Twin	GV	Yes	Parkland (no launch)	No	Sweeney Lake	Yes (2009)	21	131.1	Yes	No
West Ring	GV	No	Parkland	No	East Ring Pond	No	4.8	319	No	No
Westwood	SP	No	Parkland	Yes (GV,SP,MK)	NA	Yes (2011)	38	463.3	Yes	No
Wirth	GV	Yes	Yes	Yes (GV,MP)	Bassett Creek	No	38	405.4	No	Yes (complete)

* Wirth Lake and Spring Lake are monitored by the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board; Parkers Lake is monitored by the City of Plymouth

2.6.1 MDNR Public Waters

The MDNR designates certain water resources as public waters to indicate those lakes, wetlands, and watercourses over which the MDNR has regulatory jurisdiction. By statute, the definition of public waters includes both “public waters” and “public waters wetlands.” The collection of public waters and public waters wetlands designated by the MDNR is generally referred to as the public waters inventory, or PWI.

Public waters are all basins and watercourses that meet the criteria set forth in Minnesota Statutes, Section 103G.005, subd. 15 that are identified on public water inventory maps and lists authorized by Minnesota Statutes, Section 103G.201. Public waters wetlands include all type 3, type 4, and type 5 wetlands, as defined in U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Circular No. 39, 1971 edition, that are 10 acres or more in size in unincorporated areas or 2.5 acres or more in size in incorporated areas (see Minnesota Statutes Section 103G.005, subd. 15a and 17b.) A MDNR permit is required for work within designated public waters.

The MDNR uses county-scale maps to show the general location of the public waters and public waters wetlands under its regulatory jurisdiction. These maps are commonly known as public waters inventory (PWI) maps. The regulatory boundary of these waters and wetlands is called the ordinary high water level (OHWL). PWI maps are available on a county-by-county basis. Additionally, county-by-county lists of these waters are available in tabular form. The MDNR also maintains a web-based mapping tool for viewing PWI maps. The PWI maps and lists are available on the MDNR’s website:

http://www.MDNR.state.mn.us/waters/watermgmt_section/pwi/maps.html.

Public waters (e.g., lakes) are identified with a number and the letter “P”. Public waters wetlands are identified with a number and the letter “W”. Public waters wetlands include, and are limited to, types 3, 4, and 5 wetlands that have not been designated public waters.

Figure 2-9 shows the MDNR public waters located in the Bassett Creek watershed and shows their associated drainage patterns.

2.6.2 Public Ditches

Judicial ditches and county ditches are public drainage systems. They are established under Chapter 103E of Minnesota Statutes and are under the jurisdiction of the county. Per Minnesota Statute 363B.61, cities or watershed management organizations (WMOs) within Hennepin County may petition the county to transfer authority over public ditches to the city or WMO (see Section 3.8.2).

Figure 2-9 identifies the public ditches within the BCWMC, which includes a large portion of the Main Stem of Bassett Creek between Medicine Lake and Brookview Golf Course, and downstream of Highway 100. The original function of public ditches was to provide drainage for agricultural lands. Some of the systems shown as public ditches are no longer in existence, but the public ditch designation has not been removed. One such system is located along Highway 100 in Golden Valley and Crystal. The public ditch system shown following Highway 100 is currently all in a storm sewer pipe and is no longer ditched.

2.6.3 Wetlands

Prior to development, much of the land within the BCWMC was wetland. Many wetland areas were drained or filled as the BCWMC member cities developed (prior to the establishment of regulations protecting wetlands). Presently, wetlands are protected by the Wetland Conservation Act (WCA, see Section 3.5). The BCWMC currently acts as the local governmental unit (LGU) responsible for administering WCA in the Cities of St. Louis Park, Robbinsdale, and Medicine Lake. The remaining BCMWC member cities serve as the LGUs for their own communities.

The extent of wetlands inventoried within the BCWMC varies by member city. Nationally, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) is responsible for mapping wetlands across the country, including those in Minnesota. Using the National Aerial Photography Program (NAPP) in conjunction with limited field verification, the USFWS identifies and delineates wetlands, produces detailed maps on the characteristics and extent of wetlands, and maintains a national wetlands database as part of the National Wetland Inventory (NWI). The NWI is periodically updated based on available imagery.

Figure 2-10 shows the location of all NWI wetlands within the Bassett Creek watershed. There may be additional wetlands (especially those smaller than 0.5 acre) in the BCWMC that are not included in the NWI. Based on land use information, wetlands represent approximately 6% of the watershed.

2.6.4 Lakes and Ponds

Figure 2-8 identifies the major subwatersheds and drainage patterns for the Bassett Creek Watershed. Many of the subwatersheds are delineated and named according to the lake or pond located within that subwatershed. The physical characteristics of the following lakes and ponds are summarized in Table 2-3. Additional information on the BCWMC and MPCA classifications of these lakes and ponds is included in Section 2.7.2.

2.6.4.1 Bassett Creek Park Pond

Bassett Creek Park Pond is a 9.7-acre waterbody located in the City of Crystal in the northeast portion of the BCWMC. Bassett Creek Park Pond has a maximum depth of 7.4 feet and an average depth of 2.6 feet. The normal water elevation is estimated at 840.4 feet and the 100-year elevation is approximately 849.5 feet. The Bassett Creek Park Pond has a contributing watershed area of approximately 2,564 acres, which includes both the Bassett Creek Park Pond direct watershed and the Northwood Lake and the North Branch Bassett Creek watersheds. The North Branch of Bassett Creek discharges to the pond at its northwest corner. Portions of the cities of Crystal and Golden Valley drain directly into Bassett Creek Park Pond; additionally, portions of New Hope and Plymouth are tributary to Bassett Creek Park Pond via the North Branch of Bassett Creek. The pond receives outflows from Northwood Lake and drains southeast through two 36 x 58.5 inch arch culverts into the Bassett Creek Main Stem.

The Bassett Creek Park Pond watershed (including the Northwood Lake and North Branch Bassett Creek watersheds) is almost fully-developed, with only a few small parcels available for new development. Low density residential is the major land use (66%), followed by parks and recreational use (10%) and

institutional (7%). Other land uses include: medium density residential, natural space, commercial, and industrial.

Bassett Creek Park Pond is not listed on the BCWMC's priority list. The pond is also not listed as impaired by the MPCA. Bassett Creek Park Pond is part of the BCWMC Flood Control Project (see Section 2.8.1).

2.6.4.2 Crane Lake

Crane Lake is a 30-acre lake located in the City of Minnetonka in the southern portion of the Bassett Creek watershed. Crane Lake does not have any parkland, boat access, or public beach areas.

Crane Lake has a maximum depth of approximately 5 feet and a mean depth of 3.3 feet. The ordinary high water level of the lake is estimated at 920.4 feet (per the City of Minnetonka's local water management plan). The 100-year elevation is 920.5 feet. Due to the lake's shallow nature, submerged macrophytes can be found on the entire lake bottom. Emergent vegetation can be found around its circumference. Crane Lake has a contributing drainage area of approximately 591 acres, draining portions of Minnetonka. Crane Lake drains northerly into Medicine Lake at the north side through a 21-inch reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) at an elevation of 917.1 feet.

The Crane Lake watershed is almost fully-developed, with only a few small parcels available for new development. Low density residential is the major land use (40%), followed by retail and commercial (21%) and parks and recreational use (10%). Other land uses include: open water, institutional, office space, major highway, and industrial.

Crane Lake is a BCWMC Priority 2 Shallow Lake waterbody. Classification as "shallow" is based on the MPCA's shallow/deep classification (shallow lakes have a maximum depth of less than 15 feet or a littoral area greater than 80% of the total lake surface area). The lake is not listed as impaired by the MPCA.

2.6.4.3 Grimes Pond

Grimes Pond is a 6.1-acre waterbody located in the City of Robbinsdale just east of North Rice Pond in the northeast portion of the BCWMC. A parkland area to the north of the pond provides opportunities for aesthetic viewing and fishing.

Grimes Pond has an average depth of 2.6 feet, a normal water elevation of 829.8 feet, and a 100-year elevation of approximately 838 feet. Grimes Pond has a contributing drainage area of approximately 114 acres that drains a portion of the City of Robbinsdale. Runoff enters Grimes Pond through two open channels and one storm sewer outlet. The Grimes Pond outlet to North Rice Pond consists of two submerged 24-inch corrugated metal culverts through the railroad embankment located on the west side of the pond.

The Grimes Pond watershed is almost fully-developed, with only a few small parcels available for new development. Low density residential is the major land use (81%), followed by parks and recreational use (6.3%) and open water (4.3%). Other land uses include: industrial, institutional, open water, and retail/commercial.

Grimes Pond is not listed on the BCWMC's priority waterbody list. The pond is also not listed as impaired by the MPCA.

2.6.4.4 Lost Lake

Lost Lake is a 22-acre lake located in the City of Plymouth in the northern portion of the BCWMC. Lost Lake has no public access, as it is surrounded completely by residential homes.

Lost Lake has a maximum depth of 6.5 feet, a mean depth of 3.5 feet, and its littoral area consists of the entire area of the lake (22 acres). Being such a shallow lake, Lost Lake has submerged vegetation throughout most of its lake bottom. The normal water elevation is approximately 939.0 feet and the 100-year elevation is 939.8 feet. Lost Lake has a contributing drainage area of approximately 61 acres. A small portion of the City of Plymouth drains to Lost Lake. Lost Lake is landlocked and therefore does not discharge to any major resource in the Bassett Creek watershed.

The Lost Lake watershed is fully-developed, with no parcels available for new development. Low density residential and open water are the only two land use categories for the Lost Lake watershed.

Lost Lake is a BCWMC Priority 2 Shallow Lake strategic waterbody. Classification as "shallow" is based on the MPCA's shallow/deep classification (shallow lakes have a maximum depth of less than 15 feet or a littoral area greater than 80% of the total lake surface area). The lake is not listed as impaired by the MPCA.

2.6.4.5 Medicine Lake

Medicine Lake is a 902-acre lake located in the cities of and Plymouth and Medicine Lake in approximately the center of the BCWMC. The lake is a major recreational resource for the area. French Regional Park, public beaches and a public boat landing provide opportunities for swimming, fishing, boating, birding, and biking or walking adjacent trails.. Medicine Lake is also an important resource for wildlife.

Medicine Lake has a shoreline of approximately 8.9 miles, a littoral area of 397.0 acres, an estimated mean depth of 17.5 feet, and a maximum depth of 49 feet. The lake's ordinary high water level is 889.1 feet and its 100-year elevation is 890.3 feet. Shallow areas near the shoreline of the lake allow for both emergent and submerged vegetation growth. The Medicine Lake tributary watershed is approximately 11,015 acres (including the drainage area of upstream lakes ultimately tributary to Medicine Lake, see Figure 2-8). Portions of the cities of Plymouth, Medicine Lake, New Hope, Golden Valley, and Minnetonka all drain to Medicine Lake.

Medicine Lake receives outflows from Plymouth Creek, Crane Lake, Turtle Lake, and Hidden Lake. Plymouth Creek discharges directly into Medicine Lake near its southwest corner and an unnamed creek from the Crane Lake watershed discharges to Medicine Lake at the south end of the southwest bay. Additionally, over 30 storm sewers have been identified that discharge into the lake. The Medicine Lake outlet is located at the south end of the main basin. A composite overflow weir structure, fourteen feet wide at the normal water level, discharges water directly into the main stem of Bassett Creek; the weir is

owned by Hennepin County. The overflow structure is approximately three feet above the level of the creek channel to deter fish migration into the lake.

The Medicine Lake watershed (including the watersheds of upstream waterbodies ultimately tributary to Medicine Lake) is almost fully-developed, with only a few small parcels available for new development. Low density residential is the major land use (46%), followed by open water (11%) and parks and recreation (10%). Other land uses include: medium density residential, natural space, industrial, commercial, golf courses, institutional, agricultural, and office.

Medicine Lake is a BCWMC Priority 1 Deep Lake waterbody. Classification as “deep” is based on MPCA’s shallow/deep classification. The lake is currently listed on the 303(d) impaired waters list for mercury and excess nutrients. A Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL, see Section 5.1.1.8) study has been conducted for Medicine Lake. The presence of excess nutrients in the lake periodically makes the water unsuitable for swimming and wading due to low clarity and excessive algae growth. Additionally, the Minnesota Department of Health website contains advice on consuming fish caught in Medicine Lake, as the concentrations of mercury in fish tissue exceed the water quality standard.

2.6.4.6 North Rice Pond

North Rice Pond is a 3.7-acre waterbody located in the City of Robbinsdale in the northeast portion of the Bassett Creek Watershed. Parkland adjacent to the lake provides opportunities for aesthetic viewing.

North Rice pond has a maximum depth of 5 feet and a mean depth of 2.6 feet. The normal water elevation of North Rice Pond is approximately 829.8 feet and the 100-year elevation is approximately 838 feet. North Rice Pond has a contributing watershed area of approximately 233 acres which includes the North Rice Pond direct watershed and the Grimes Pond watershed. Portions of the cities of Crystal, Golden Valley, Minneapolis, and Robbinsdale drain to North Rice Pond. North Rice Pond receives outflows from Grimes Pond through overflows from three wetland basins. A 30-inch corrugated metal culvert with a submerged manhole skimming structure connects North Rice to South Rice Pond, which discharges into Bassett Creek.

The North Rice Pond watershed (including the Grimes watershed) is almost fully-developed, with only a few small parcels available for new development. Low density residential is the major land use (73%), park and recreational use (15%) and undeveloped (6.3%). Other land uses include: retail and commercial, institutional, open water, and industrial.

North Rice Pond is not listed by the BCWMC as a priority waterbody. The pond is also not listed as impaired by the MPCA.

2.6.4.7 Northwood Lake

Northwood Lake is a 15-acre lake located in the City of New Hope in the northern portion of the Bassett Creek Watershed. Parkland is located around the lake providing opportunities for fishing, picnicking, and aesthetic viewing making Northwood Lake an important recreation resource. The lake is also used non-motorized boating.

Northwood Lake has a maximum depth of 5 feet, an average depth of 2.7 feet, an ordinary high water level of 885.5 feet, and a 100-year elevation of 889.5 feet. Its 1,294 acre tributary watershed includes both the Northwood Lake direct watershed and a portion of the North Branch Bassett Creek watersheds. The North Branch of Bassett Creek discharges into Northwood Lake through a 66-inch culvert. Portions of the cities of Plymouth and New Hope drain to Northwood Lake through four storm sewers. Northwood Lake has an outlet structure located at the east side of the lake at Boone Ave. A 10-foot wide weir set at an elevation of 884.6 discharges to a culvert that crosses Boone Ave. This culvert then discharges into the North Branch of Bassett Creek, which flows towards Bassett Creek Park Pond, ultimately discharging into the Bassett Creek Main Stem.

The Northwood Lake watershed (including a portion of the North Branch Bassett Creek watershed) is almost fully-developed, with only a few small parcels available for new development. Low density residential is the major land use (67%), followed by institutional (9%) and parks and recreational use (6.7%). Other land uses include: natural space, commercial, retail, major highways, open water, and industrial.

Northwood Lake is a BCWMC Priority 1 Shallow Lake waterbody. Classification as "shallow" is based on the MPCA's shallow/deep classification (shallow lakes have a maximum depth of less than 15 feet or a littoral area greater than 80% of the total lake surface area). The lake is currently listed on the MPCA's 303(d) impaired waters list for excessive nutrients (phosphorus). A TMDL study has not yet been conducted for Northwood Lake.

2.6.4.8 Parkers Lake

Parkers Lake is a 97-acre lake located in the City of Plymouth at the western edge of the Bassett Creek watershed. The lake is a major recreational resource for the area. A public beach and public boat landing provide opportunities for swimming, fishing, boating and aesthetic viewing.

Parkers Lake has a maximum depth of 37 feet, an average depth of 12 feet, and a littoral area of approximately 68 acres. Shallow areas near the shoreline of the lake allow for both emergent and submerged vegetation growth. The lake has an ordinary high water level of 935.9 feet normal water elevation of approximately 934.0 feet and a 100-year elevation of 937.9 feet. Parkers Lake has a contributing watershed of approximately 1,065 acres. A portion of the City of Plymouth drains to the lake and discharges through five storm sewers. Parkers Lake discharges through a 24-inch concrete outlet at the southeast corner of the lake and is ultimately tributary to Medicine Lake.

The Parkers Lake watershed is almost fully-developed, with only a few small parcels available for new development. Low density residential is the major land use (37%), followed by industry (31%) and open water (9%). Other land uses include: medium density residential, natural, parks and open space, commercial, developed parks, golf course, institutional, highways, and industrial/office.

Parker Lake is a BCWMC Priority 1 Deep Lake waterbody. Classification as "deep" is based on MPCA's shallow/deep classification. The lake is currently listed on the 303(d) impaired waters list for mercury and is covered by the statewide mercury TMDL. The Minnesota Department of Health website contains advice

on consuming fish caught in Parkers Lake, as the concentrations of mercury in fish tissue exceed the water quality standard. The lake is, however, suitable for swimming and wading with good clarity and low algae levels throughout the open water season.

2.6.4.9 South Rice Pond

South Rice Pond is a 3.2-acre waterbody located in the cities of Robbinsdale and Golden Valley in the northeast portion of the Bassett Creek watershed, just south of North Rice Pond. Parkland adjacent to the lake provides opportunities for aesthetic viewing.

South Rice Pond has a maximum depth of 3 feet and an average depth of 1.7 feet. The lake has a 100-year water elevation of 831.5 feet. Its 514-acre tributary watershed includes both the South Rice Pond direct watershed and the North Rice Pond and Grimes Pond watersheds. Portions of the cities of Crystal, Golden Valley, Minneapolis, and Robbinsdale drain to South Rice Pond. South Rice Pond receives outflows from North Rice Pond as well as Grimes Pond. South Rice Pond discharges to Bassett Creek via a small channel located at the south end of the pond.

The South Rice Pond watershed (including the North Rice Pond and Grimes Pond watersheds) is almost fully-developed, with only a few small parcels available for new development. Low density residential is the major land use (76%), followed by park and recreational use (15%). Other land uses include: institutional, industrial, open water, and retail/commercial.

South Rice Pond is not listed by the BCWMC as a priority waterbody. The pond is also not listed as impaired by the MPCA.

2.6.4.10 Sweeney Lake

Sweeney Lake is a 67-acre lake located in the City of Golden Valley in the eastern portion of the BCWMC. Sweeney Lake is a recreation waterbody frequently used by residents for swimming, fishing, boating and aesthetic viewing. A public access at the southern end of the lake offers carry-in boat access.

Sweeney Lake has an estimated mean depth of 12 feet, a maximum depth of 25 feet, and a littoral area of approximately 34 acres. Shallow areas near the shoreline of the lake allow for both emergent and submerged vegetation growth. The normal water elevation is at approximately 827.5 feet and the 100-year elevation is approximately 831.5 feet. Sweeney Lake has a contributing drainage area of approximately 2,396 acres including both the Sweeney Lake direct watershed and the Ring Ponds, Cortlawn Pond, and Schaper Pond watersheds. Portions of St. Louis Park and Golden Valley drain into Sweeney Lake. Sweeney Lake receives outflows from the Ring Ponds, Cortlawn Pond, Schaper Pond and Twin Lake and drains northeast into the Sweeney Lake Branch of Bassett Creek, which connects to the Bassett Creek Main Stem shortly downstream. A precast concrete dam serves as the outlet structure for Sweeney Lake at an elevation of 827.5 feet.

The Sweeney Lake watershed (including the contributing ponds' watersheds) is almost fully-developed, with only a few small parcels available for new development. Low density residential is the major land use (44.5%), followed by highway (12%) and industry (7%). Other land uses include: medium density

residential, natural space, park, and open space, commercial, developed parks, golf course, institutional, open water, and office.

Sweeney Lake is a BCWMC Priority 1 Deep Lake waterbody. Classification as “deep” is based on the MPCA’s shallow/deep classification. The lake is currently listed on the 303(d) impaired waters list for excess nutrients (phosphorus). A TMDL study has been conducted for Sweeney Lake. Due to excessive phosphorus, the lake is not always suitable for swimming or wading because of low clarity and excessive algae growth.

2.6.4.11 Turtle Lake

Turtle Lake is a 28-acre lake located in the City of Plymouth in the northwest portion of the Bassett Creek watershed. Parkland is available for use by residents for aesthetic viewing and fishing. No boat launch is available.

Turtle Lake has a maximum depth of 0.5 feet and an average depth of 0.3 feet. The normal water level of Turtle Lake is at approximately 960.1 feet and the 100-year elevation is at 964.0 feet. Turtle Lake has a tributary watershed area of 420 acres. A portion of the City of Plymouth drains into Turtle Lake. A small open channel between the north wetland and Turtle Lake acts as an inlet to the lake. Two wetland basins also overflow into the southeast portion of the lake and one storm sewer discharges at the east side. The Turtle Lake outlet is located at the southwest corner of the lake. A small channel conveys water to an 18-inch corrugated metal pipe at County Road 9, which discharges to Plymouth Creek.

The Turtle Lake watershed is almost fully-developed, with only a few small parcels available for new development. Low density residential is the major land use (70%), followed by open water (9.4%) and undeveloped areas (8.6%). Other land uses include: parks and recreational uses, institutional, retail, commercial, and agricultural.

Turtle Lake is a BCWMC Priority 2 Shallow Lake strategic waterbody. Classification as “shallow” is based on the MPCA’s shallow/deep classification (shallow lakes have a maximum depth of less than 15 feet or a littoral area greater than 80% of the total lake surface area). The lake is not listed as impaired by the MPCA.

2.6.4.12 Twin Lake

Twin Lake is a 21-acre lake located in the City of Golden Valley in the eastern portion of the Bassett Creek Watershed and is connected to Sweeney Lake through navigable channel. The southern half of the lake is located within Theodore Wirth Park. The lake is used for swimming, non-motorized boating, fishing, and aesthetic viewing. ,

Twin Lake has a maximum depth of 56 feet, an average depth of 25.7 feet, and a littoral area of approximately 8 acres. Shallow areas near the shoreline of the lake allow for both emergent and submerged vegetation growth. Floating leaf vegetation is primarily seen in the northern portion of the lake. The lake’s normal water elevation is estimated at 827.5 feet with an 100-year elevation at 831.5 feet. Twin Lake’s watershed area is 131 acres. A portion of the City of Golden Valley drains to Twin Lake

through one open channel at the south side of the lake. An outlet channel discharges beneath a bridge at the north side of the lake into a wetland that is hydraulically connected to Sweeney Lake.

The Twin Lake watershed is fully developed. The watershed area surrounding Twin Lake has three major land uses: park, recreational, or preserve (60%), institutional (20%) and low density residential (20%).

Twin Lake is a BCWMC Priority 1 Deep Lake waterbody. Classification as “deep” is based on MPCA’s shallow/deep classification. The lake is not listed as impaired by the MPCA. The relatively high ratio of lake surface to drainage area and lack of high-imperviousness land use around the lake have prevented Twin Lake from experiencing many of negative effects of urbanization (i.e., increased stormwater runoff and pollutant loading).

2.6.4.13 Westwood Lake

Westwood Lake is a 38-acre lake located in the City of St. Louis Park in the southern portion of the Bassett Creek watershed. Although the lake does not have a public beach, the adjacent parkland and Westwood Hills Nature Center trails surrounding the lake provides residents opportunities for canoeing or kayaking, aesthetic viewing, birding, and hiking.

Westwood Lake has a maximum depth of 5 feet, a normal water elevation of 886.0 feet, and a 100-year elevation of 889.0 feet. The majority of the lake bottom is covered with submerged vegetation due to the shallow nature of the lake and emergent vegetation can be found around the lake’s entire circumference. Westwood Lake has a watershed area of approximately 463 acres. Portions of the cities of St. Louis Park, Golden Valley, and Minnetonka drain towards Westwood Lake. Runoff draining to Westwood Lake enters through five storm sewers located around its edge. A 400-foot-long open channel at the north side of the lake discharges to a 27-inch RCP storm sewer at an elevation of 886.0.

The Westwood Lake watershed is almost fully-developed, with only a few small parcels available for new development. Single family residential is the major land use (34%), followed by park and recreational land use (27%) and golf course (25%). Other land uses include: major highway, office space, and open water.

Westwood Lake is a BCWMC Priority 1 Shallow Lake waterbody. Classification as “shallow” is based on the MPCA’s shallow/deep classification. The lake is not listed as impaired by the MPCA.

2.6.4.14 Wirth Lake

Wirth Lake is a 38-acre lake located in the City of Golden Valley in the southeast portion of the Bassett Creek watershed. The lake is located in Theodore Wirth Park, which is owned and maintained by the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board. The lake is an important recreational resource to the residents of north Minneapolis and surrounding inner-ring suburbs. A public beach and parkland surrounding the lake provide opportunities for swimming, fishing, picnicking, and aesthetic viewing, and non-motorized boating.

Wirth Lake has an estimated mean depth of 14 feet, a maximum depth of 26 feet and a littoral area of approximately 23.3 acres. Shallow areas near the shoreline of the lake allow for both emergent and

submerged vegetation growth. Floating leaf vegetation is primarily seen in the northern portion of the lake. Wirth Lake has an ordinary high water level of 818.9 feet and a 100-year elevation of 821.5 feet. Wirth Lake has a 405-acre tributary watershed including portions of the cities of Golden Valley and Minneapolis. The lake has four main inlets, three storm sewers and one open channel in the northern portion of the lake. The Wirth Lake outlet was modified in 2012 to prevent backflow from Bassett Creek to Wirth Lake. The new outlet includes a fabricated steel lift gate which closes during period of high water in Bassett Creek.

The Wirth Lake watershed is almost fully-developed, with only a few small parcels available for new development. Parks and recreation is the major land use (46%), followed by low density residential (37%) and open water (10%). Other land uses include: medium density residential, commercial, golf course, institutional, highways and industrial/office.

Wirth Lake is a BCWMC Priority 1 Deep Lake waterbody. Classification as “deep” is based on the MPCA’s shallow/deep classification. The lake is currently listed on the 303(d) impaired waters list for mercury and is covered under the statewide mercury TMDL. The lake was previously listed as impaired for excessive nutrients and a TMDL study was performed. Wirth Lake was removed from the impaired waters 303(d) list because of water quality improvement projects by the BCWMC, its member cities and the MPRB (see Table 5-5). The MPCA noted in 2014 that the lake remains periodically unsuitable for swimming or wading due to low clarity and excessive algae caused by higher concentrations of nutrients, such as phosphorus, in the water column. The Minnesota Department of Health website has advice on consuming fish caught in Wirth Lake, as the concentrations of mercury in fish tissue exceed the water quality standard.

2.6.5 Streams and Open Channels

Several streams and open channels in the Bassett Creek watershed have also been classified on BCWMC’s priority waterbody list. Figure 2-8 presents the BCWMC priority streams and open channels in the BCWMC as well as the BCWMC major subwatersheds and their drainage patterns. In addition to BCWMC priority streams, there are several smaller tributaries that drain to BCWMC priority waterbodies, including several draining to Medicine Lake and others (see Figure 2-8).

2.6.5.1 Plymouth Creek

Bassett Creek originates upstream of Medicine Lake in western Plymouth as a branch called Plymouth Creek. This branch flows generally east and south, relatively parallel to Highway 55, until it reaches the southwest bay of Medicine Lake. This branch drains large portions of south and central Plymouth. The area tributary to the creek prior to its discharge into Medicine Lake is approximately 8 square miles. Plymouth Creek flows through a large public water wetland complex near Medicine Lake Park prior to entering Medicine Lake.

Plymouth Creek is a BCWMC Priority 1 stream. Plymouth Creek is included on the MPCA’s Impaired Waters 303(d) list in 2014 as impaired for aquatic life (due to chloride) and aquatic recreation (due to *Escherichia coli*) (see Table 2-5).

2.6.5.2 Main Stem of Bassett Creek

The Main Stem of Bassett Creek begins downstream of the Medicine Lake outlet, at the south end of the southeast bay of the lake. The Main Stem flows southeast through Plymouth, then easterly through Golden Valley, Crystal, and Minneapolis to the Mississippi River, the last portion of which is through a 1.7-mile long tunnel. The drainage area upstream of the Main Stem of Bassett Creek (i.e., the area tributary to Medicine Lake) is about 18 square miles. Two branches of Bassett Creek, the North Branch and the Sweeney Lake Branch, join the Main Stem between Medicine Lake and the tunnel, and prior to its confluence with the Mississippi River. The additional drainage area to the Main Stem of Bassett Creek between Medicine Lake and the confluence with the North Branch of Bassett Creek is approximately 6 square miles and includes areas of Plymouth, Golden Valley, St. Louis Park, Minnetonka, New Hope, and Crystal. An additional 2.5 square miles of drainage area from Golden Valley, Crystal, Robbinsdale and Minneapolis is tributary to the Main Stem of Bassett Creek between the confluence with the North Branch of Bassett Creek and the confluence with the Sweeney Lake Branch. Ultimately, the entire 39 square mile drainage area of the BCWMC is tributary to the Main Stem of Bassett Creek upstream of the tunnel. The creek enters the Mississippi River downstream of the Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam.

The Main Stem of Bassett Creek is a BCWMC Priority 1 stream. The Main Stem of Bassett Creek is included on the MPCA's Impaired Waters 303(d) list as impaired for aquatic life (due to chloride and fish bioassessments) and aquatic recreation (due to fecal coliform) (see Table 2-5).

2.6.5.3 North Branch of Bassett Creek

The North Branch drains portions of eastern Plymouth and southern portions of New Hope and Crystal (and a very small portion of Golden Valley). It begins near Rockford Road (County Road 9) west of Highway 169, and flows east through New Hope and Crystal. The North Branch of Bassett Creek flows through Northwood Lake and Bassett Creek Park Pond, before joining the Main Stem of Bassett Creek immediately upstream of Highway 100. The drainage area tributary to the North Branch upstream of its confluence with the Main Stem is approximately 4 square miles.

The North Branch of Bassett Creek is a BCWMC Priority 1 stream. The North Branch of Bassett Creek is included on the MPCA's Impaired Waters 303(d) list in 2014 as impaired for aquatic recreation (due to *Escherichia coli*) (see Table 2-5).

2.6.5.4 Sweeney Lake Branch of Bassett Creek

The Sweeney Lake Branch drains northern St. Louis Park and southern portions of Golden Valley. The Sweeney Lake Branch flows northeast through Schaper Pond and Sweeney Lake and joins the Main Stem in Theodore Wirth Park near Golden Valley Road just downstream of Sweeney Lake. The drainage area of the Sweeney Lake Branch prior to its confluence with the Main Stem of Bassett Creek is approximately 4 square miles.

The Sweeney Lake Branch of Bassett Creek is a BCWMC Priority 1 stream.

2.7 Water Quality

The lakes, ponds, streams, and wetlands of the Bassett Creek watershed are important community assets. These resources supply aesthetic and recreational benefits, in addition to providing wildlife and fisheries habitat and refuge. The BCWMC recognizes the need for good water quality in the waterbodies in its jurisdiction, including groundwater, and has taken steps to protect and improve these resources. These steps include adopting water quality management policies, classifying specific waterbodies as priority waterbodies, collecting water quality data (including biological data) for priority waterbodies, participating in developing TMDLs (both as the sponsoring entity and as a cooperator), developing an implementation program to meet BCWMC and MPCA water quality goals, establishing water quality performance standards based on the MPCA's Minimal Impact Design Standards (MIDS), and reviewing proposed projects for conformance with BCWMC policies.

Stormwater runoff carries with it a number of contaminants affecting water quality. The principal pollutants found in runoff include nutrients, sediments, organic materials, pathogens, hydrocarbons, metals, pesticides, chlorides, trash and debris. Table 2-4 summarizes the source of these pollutants and their impacts. Of these pollutants, the BCWMC recognizes that phosphorus and suspended sediment are particularly detrimental to the ecological health and recreational use of lakes and streams. As a result, the BCWMC has established water quality treatment performance standards based on MPCA's MIDS. The BCWMC's water quality goals and polices are detailed in Section 3 of this Plan and the BCWMC's Requirements for Improvements and Development Proposals (as amended).

Table 2-4 Pollutants Commonly Found in Stormwater Runoff

Stormwater Pollutant	Examples of Sources	Related Impacts
Nutrients: Nitrogen, Phosphorus	Decomposing grass clippings, leaves and other organics, animal waste, fertilizers, failing septic systems, atmospheric deposition	Algal growth, reduced clarity, other problems associated with eutrophication (oxygen deficit, release of nutrients and metals from sediments)
Sediments: Suspended and Deposited	Construction sites, other disturbed and/or non-vegetated lands, eroding streambanks and shorelines, road sanding	Increased turbidity, reduced clarity, lower dissolved oxygen, deposition of sediments, smothering of aquatic habitat including spawning sites, sediment and benthic toxicity
Organic Materials	Leaves, grass clippings	Oxygen deficit in receiving waterbody, fish kill, release of nutrients.
Pathogens: Bacteria, Viruses	Animal waste, failing septic systems	Human health risks via drinking water supplies, contaminated swimming beaches
Hydrocarbons: Oil and Grease, PAHs (Naphthalenes, Pyrenes)	Tar-based pavement sealant, industrial processes; automobile wear, emissions & fluid leaks; waste oil.	Toxicity of water column and sediment, bioaccumulation in aquatic species and through food chain
Metals: Lead, Copper, Cadmium, Zinc, Mercury, Chromium, Aluminum, others	Industrial processes, normal wear of auto brake linings and tires, automobile emissions & fluid leaks, metal roofs	Toxicity of water column and sediment, bioaccumulation in aquatic species and through the food chain, fish kill
Pesticides: PCBs, Synthetic Chemicals	Pesticides (herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, rodenticides, etc.), industrial processes	Toxicity of water column and sediment, bioaccumulation in aquatic species and through the food chain, fish kill
Chlorides	Road salting and uncovered salt storage	Toxicity of water column and sediment
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH's)	Tar based pavement sealant	Carcinogenic to humans
Trash and Debris	Litter washed through storm drain networks	Degradation of the beauty of surface waters, threat to wildlife

Based on *Minnesota Urban Small Sites BMP Manual* (Barr Engineering Company, 2001).

2.7.1 Water Quality Monitoring Programs

Water quality data have been collected for many of the lakes and larger ponds within the BCWMC. These data have been collected by several entities, including the BCWMC, the Metropolitan Council, Three Rivers Park District (TRPD) (formerly Hennepin Parks and Suburban Hennepin Regional Park District), the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (MPRB), municipalities, and others.

The monitored waterbodies and extent of monitoring vary from year to year. The BCWMC performs detailed monitoring on a limited number of waterbodies each year on a rotating basis. The following sections describe the various monitoring programs performed within the BCWMC, including those performed by other entities. The BCWMC will continue to monitor the water quality for all priority waterbodies within the watershed (priority waterbodies are described in Section 2.7.2.2).

Appendix E summarizes the historical water quality data collected for major waterbodies within BCWMC. Figure 2-11 shows the locations of water quality and water quantity monitoring locations within the BCWMC.

2.7.1.1 BCWMC Lake Monitoring

The BCWMC has monitored major waterbodies within the watershed, including:

- Cavanaugh Lake (Sunset Hill Pond)
- Crane Lake
- Lost Lake
- North Rice Pond
- Medicine Lake
- South Rice Pond
- Sweeney Lake
- Twin Lake
- Westwood Lake

BCWMC lake monitoring has included assessment of chemical water quality (e.g., total phosphorus, nitrogen chlorophyll a, transparency, pH, dissolved oxygen, conductivity), water clarity (secchi disc transparency), phytoplankton, zooplankton, and aquatic macrophytes. Chemical water quality, phytoplankton, and zooplankton sampling is typically performed at monthly intervals during the growing season (and twice monthly in early summer). Macrophyte surveys are typically performed twice per growing season, in June and August. Water quality data collected by the BCWMC is presented in Appendix E.

Prior to the adoption of its 2004 watershed management plan, the BCWMC developed lake and watershed management plans (lake plans) for specific waterbodies based on the results of historical water quality monitoring and water quality modeling of those lakes. The BCWMC also developed a watershed management plan for the Main Stem of Bassett Creek in 2000; similar to the lake plans, this management plan included management recommendations to achieve water quality goals based on observed data and P8 water quality modeling of the creek. Several of the actions proposed in those watershed management plans have been implemented (see Table 5-5). The BCWMC continues to analyze water quality monitoring data to identify improving or degrading trends within BCWMC priority waterbodies, and to assess whether BCWMC priority waterbodies are meeting the applicable water quality standards (see Section 2.7.2.2). Future water quality monitoring of priority waterbodies by the BCWMC is described in the BCWMC monitoring plan (see Appendix A).

2.7.1.2 BCWMC Stream Monitoring

The BCWMC conducts biotic (invertebrate) monitoring of streams in the watershed on a regular basis and analyzes the data to determine if the water quality is improving or degrading. The biological data are indicators (bioindicators) of water quality. The BCWMC Monitoring Plan (see Appendix A) describes the biotic monitoring and planned chemical water quality monitoring of BCWMC streams.

Temperature and flow data provide an incomplete measure of a stream's suitability for fish and other aquatic species. A second approach to assessing the ecological health of a stream is to sample the organisms that are living in the stream. Monitoring for the presence of biological indicator organisms provides evidence of the water quality of Bassett Creek, including transitory changes in stream water quality related to stormwater runoff. Evaluating benthic macroinvertebrates (bottom-dwelling aquatic organisms, mainly insects) in a stream provides a long-term assessment of its water quality. The benthic invertebrates are exposed to all of the temporal variations in stream water quality and 'integrate' the quality of passing water. Therefore the presence or absence of pollutant tolerant organisms demonstrates the water quality impacts of urban runoff better than grab samples. The inventory of benthic organisms also indicates whether there is a suitable food supply for fish.

The types of organisms living on the stream bottom depend on the available habitat, and the habitat quality is affected by the water quality. Water quality is degraded when pollutants enter a stream. Organic pollutants and nutrients cause a loss of oxygen in the stream. Organisms sensitive to low oxygen concentrations in the water are only able to survive in the highest water quality. There are tolerant invertebrate species that can survive in low oxygen conditions, and their presence indicates low water quality (i.e., organic pollution). Other stressors, such as high suspended solids concentration or high metals concentrations, can also affect the macroinvertebrate community.

Benthic organisms have been collected and inventoried from several Bassett Creek locations since 1980. Since 2000, biotic monitoring has been performed by the BCWMC or MPCA at three year intervals (2000, 2003, 2006, 2008/2009, and in 2012). Biotic monitoring includes the collection of invertebrate species at specific stream locations. From the collected samples, numeric scores representing overall stream health (generally referred to as indices of biological integrity) are calculated using prescribed methods (see Section 2.7.1.2.1 and Appendix A – BCWMC Monitoring Plan). BCWMC biotic monitoring locations include:

- Main Stem of Bassett Creek at Rhode Island Avenue in Golden Valley.
- Main Stem of Bassett Creek south of Zane Avenue North in Crystal.
- Main Stem of Bassett Creek at Irving Avenue, upstream of the conduit, in Minneapolis.
- North Branch of Bassett Creek at 32nd Street and Adair in Crystal (note: monitoring was performed just north of Zane Avenue prior to 1995, at which point the location silted in).
- Sweeney Lake Branch of Bassett Creek at Turner's Crossroad (Xenia Avenue) in Golden Valley.
- Plymouth Creek at Industrial Park Boulevard in Plymouth.

Figure 2-11 shows the location of the biotic index sampling stations. At each monitoring location, samples are collected from riffle areas where the flow is fairly rapid and the substrate was composed of gravel and small stones. Samples are collected by disturbing the creek bottom and allowing dislodged invertebrates to drift into a net downstream. Rocks and other substrate materials are also examined for invertebrates. Future biotic monitoring efforts are described in the BCWMC monitoring plan (see Appendix A).

2.7.1.2.1 Biotic Index Monitoring Results

To assess relative water quality from biotic monitoring results, a biotic index is often used. The index is calculated based on the tolerance of each collected species to various pollutants (referred to as tolerance indicator values, or TIVs). The BCWMC has used two biotic indices to evaluate the water quality of BCWMC streams: the Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI) and the Invertebrate Community Index (ICI). The HBI is an indicator of organic pollution, while ICI is an indicator of a broader range of pollutants.

The BCWMC has used the HBI in all of the surveys to assess water quality at the sample stations, based on the macroinvertebrate communities (Hilsenhoff, 1982 and 1987). The HBI uses TIVs assigned to certain species. TIVs indicate the species' ability to survive in low-oxygen conditions and range from 0 to 10. The lower the value, the less tolerant the species to low dissolved oxygen. A tolerance value of 0 is assigned to species collected only in unaltered streams of very high water quality, and a 10 is assigned to species known to occur in severely polluted or significantly disturbed streams; the lower the calculated HBI, the higher the stream quality.

Beginning in 1995, the BCWMC supplemented the HBI with the ICI, developed by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (DeShon, 1995). The ICI-based index uses the same data collected for the HBI, but includes a wider range of invertebrates. The scale for the ICI varies from 0 to 60, with 60 being the highest quality. Like the HBI, the numeric scores are grouped according to water quality categories, ranging from poor to exceptional.

Results of BCWMC biotic monitoring were summarized in the report *A Biotic Index Evaluation of Bassett Creek and Plymouth Creek: 2012* (BCWMC, 2013). The 2012 evaluation of the HBI and ICI values indicated the following:

- The 2012 HBI evaluation identified very good water quality in the Main Stem of Bassett Creek (Rhode Island Avenue) and in Plymouth Creek,
- The 2012 HBI evaluation identified good water quality in the Main Stem of Bassett Creek (Zane Avenue, Dresden Land, and Irving Avenue) and
- The 2012 HBI evaluation identified fair water quality in the Sweeney Lake Branch of Bassett Creek.
- Water quality in the Main Stem of Bassett Creek at the upstream location at Rhode Island from 2008/2009 to 2012 showed significant improvement.
- The Main Stem at Zane Avenue was not sampled during 2008-2009. Water quality changes between 2006 and 2012 were not significant, but indicated an improvement.

- Water quality changes between 2008-2009 and 2012 were not significant at Plymouth Creek and the Sweeney Lake Branch of Bassett Creek.
- Water quality changes between 2009 and 2012 at the Main Stem of Bassett Creek at Dresden Lane and Irving Avenue were not significant, but indicated degradation.

Notable changes in water quality should prompt a look at stormwater flow patterns, land use changes, and watershed management practices. To identify the actual stressors, water quality monitoring of additional parameters is necessary and will be included in future BCWMC stream monitoring efforts (see Appendix A). Such monitoring will include collection of storm event runoff using automatic samplers and flow loggers. Water quality parameters will include total and dissolved phosphorus, total suspended solids, chloride, and metals.

The MPCA is developing the Macroinvertebrate Index of Biological Integrity (MIBI). The BCWMC will use the MIBI for future biotic monitoring efforts. Ultimately, the BCWMC seeks to re-evaluate historical biotic index values using the MIBI to develop a consistent data record.

2.7.1.3 Watershed Outlet Monitoring Program

In 2000, the BCWMC, in cooperation with Metropolitan Council Environmental Services (MCES) and the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (MPRB), began monitoring the Main Stem of Bassett Creek as part of the MCES' Watershed Outlet Monitoring Program (WOMP). The WOMP is coordinated by MCES and consists of a network of monitoring stations located throughout the Twin Cities Metro Area. The objective of this program is to collect water quality and quantity (stream flow) data needed to assess current conditions, develop target pollutant loads, and provide continued monitoring after BMPs are implemented in the watersheds.

The Bassett Creek WOMP site is located at Irving Avenue, one-fourth mile upstream of the tunnel that carries Bassett Creek beneath downtown Minneapolis to the Mississippi River (see Figure 2-11). Data collection consists of continuous measurements of stream flow, temperature and conductivity, as well as monthly base flow grab samples and storm event composite samples. The samples are analyzed in the MCES laboratory for many water quality parameters including nutrients and sediment. MCES publishes reports documenting the results of this monitoring.

Prior to installation of the WOMP station, the BCWMC collected continuous water quality monitoring data for Bassett Creek in 1980 and 1992, at two different locations.

2.7.1.4 Hennepin County Environmental Services – River Watch

Hennepin County Environmental Services manages the River Watch program. The program has been in place since 1995, and provides hands-on environmental education opportunities for students in Hennepin County. Each spring and fall, students collect macroinvertebrate data to assess the overall health of the biological communities within streams throughout Hennepin County. Some of the monitoring stations for Bassett Creek have been in place since 1999. The BCWMC assists with funding and support of this program.

The River Watch program uses the macroinvertebrate data collected by students to provide a letter grade for each site monitored. The grading scale uses three major biotic indices. The Family Biotic Index measures the community of invertebrates and their tolerance to pollution. The EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera) assess the presence of the most sensitive species and indicates the presence or absence of the species. The third index tabulates the number of families present and measures the abundance of families or total diversity of the family units. This information may be used to supplement the BCWMC's own biotic monitoring (see Section 2.7.1.2.1), but uses different biotic indices not directly comparable to the BCWMC's HBI and ICI. While these data are not directly comparable to the BCWMC biotic monitoring data, this program has value as an educational program.

2.7.1.5 Three Rivers Park District/City of Plymouth

Three Rivers Park District (TRPD) and the City of Plymouth have monitored Parkers Lake and Medicine Lake, both located within Plymouth. The TRPD headquarters is within the Plymouth Creek sub-watershed and the TRPD's French Regional Park lies on the north end of Medicine Lake. French Regional Park offers Medicine Lake amenities to the area that include a boat landing and beach. The TRPD has monitored the water quality conditions in Medicine Lake for over 20 years, and has been instrumental in monitoring the water quality of the creeks coming into the lake. The data collected has been used by the BCWMC and the City of Plymouth to develop their Medicine Lake plans and the Medicine Lake TMDL. The TRPD has monitored Parkers Lake since 2001.

Three Rivers Park District, using funds from the Environmental Protection Agency EMPACT Program, operated a Remote Underwater Sampling Station (RUSS Unit) on Medicine Lake from 2001 to 2003. The RUSS unit documented wind-driven de-stratification events on the lake, during which phosphorus released from the bottom sediments is transported to the lake surface where it is used by algae. This monitoring program suggests that the majority of internal loading of phosphorus in the lake appears to come from wind-driven mixing.

The TRPD continues to monitor Medicine Lake annually. When requested by the BCWMC, the TRPD has conducted additional monitoring of the southwest basin on behalf of the BCWMC for minimal cost. On these occasions, the TRPD also collects samples for phytoplankton and zooplankton analysis funded by the BCWMC (see Section 2.7.1.1). The southwest basin is where Plymouth Creek discharges into Medicine Lake. Water quality data for Medicine Lake and the southwest basin, specifically, are presented in Appendix E.

2.7.1.6 Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board

The Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (MPRB) monitors several lake locations within the BCWMC, including Birch Pond, Wirth Lake, and Spring Lake. The MPRB formerly monitored Bassett Creek as a participant in the MCES's WOMP (see Section 2.7.1.3), and Bassett Creek. The objectives of the MPRB lake monitoring program are to: protect public health, establish a database for tracking water quality trends, quantify and interpret both immediate and long-term changes in water quality, provide water quality information to develop responsible water quality goals, provide a basis for water quality improvement projects, and evaluate the effectiveness of implemented best management practices.

Most lakes are sampled once per month in February, April, and October, and twice per month during the period of May through September. Historical data is used to calculate the trophic state index (TSI) trends and estimate fertility for each lake. Observations are then made about whether the lake has improving, stable, or degrading water quality indicators.

2.7.1.7 Metropolitan Council (CAMP)

Volunteers have collected water quality data on a number of Twin Cities metropolitan area lakes since 1980 through the Metropolitan Council's Citizen Assisted Monitoring Program (CAMP). On a bi-weekly basis (April - October), citizen volunteers collect a surface water sample for laboratory analysis of total phosphorus, total Kjeldahl-nitrogen, and chlorophyll-a, obtain a Secchi transparency measurement, and provide some user perception information about each lake's physical and recreational condition. Several waterbodies within the BCWMC have been monitored as part of the CAMP program including Medicine Lake, Parkers Lake, Sweeney Lake, Twin Lake, Westwood Lake, Northwood Lake, and South Rice Lake. In recent years, funding for the CAMP monitoring of waterbodies has been provided by the BCWMC and member cities.

For more information about the CAMP program, please see the following website:

<http://www.metrocouncil.org/environment/RiversLakes/Lakes/index.htm>

2.7.1.8 Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (CLMP)

The MPCA's Citizen Lake Monitoring Program (CLMP) is a cooperative program combining the technical resources of the MPCA and the volunteer efforts of citizens who collect water quality data on their lakes. This program provides low-cost Secchi discs to participants for measuring water clarity on an approximate weekly basis.

2.7.1.9 Member Cities

The BCWMC's nine member cities are responsible for managing lakes and ponds not identified as BCWMC priority waterbodies (see Section 2.7.2) to achieve the cities' goals. City management of these waterbodies may include classifying, monitoring, tracking trends, conducting studies, and implementing other lake water quality management actions.

2.7.2 Management and Classification

2.7.2.1 MPCA Impaired Waters

The federal Clean Water Act (CWA) requires states to adopt water quality standards to protect the nation's waters. To that end, the MPCA developed eutrophication criteria for Minnesota lakes and streams to establish water quality goals and determine appropriate uses of the lakes and streams, as outlined in the guidance document *Guidance Manual for Assessing the Quality of Minnesota Surface Waters for Determination of Impairment: 305(b) Report and 303(d) List* (MPCA, 2014).

Standards for lakes vary by MPCA ecoregion and whether the MPCA classifies a lake as "shallow" or "deep." The MPCA defines "shallow" lakes as having a maximum depth of 15 feet or less or having at least 80% of the lake area shallow enough to support aquatic plants. The MPCA's listing of waterbodies on the

impaired waters 303(d) list depends upon their classification of a waterbody as a wetland, shallow lake, or deep lake. Generally, the MPCA does not list waterbodies classified as wetlands as impaired for biological indicators. In 2014, the MPCA adopted region-based eutrophication water quality standards for streams, including a revised total suspended solids (TSS) standard. Eutrophication-related water quality standards applicable to BCWMC waterbodies are presented in Table 2-7.

The MPCA also established water quality standards for parameters in addition to those presented in Table 2-7; these standards are published in Minnesota Rules 7050 and are applicable to BCWMC lakes, ponds, and streams. Standards for several parameters included in Minnesota Rules 7050 vary according to the MPCA-determined designated use of the waterbody (e.g., drinking water, industrial use).

In compliance with Section 303(d) of the CWA, the MPCA identifies and establishes priority rankings for waters that do not meet the water quality standards. The list of impaired waters, sometimes called the 303(d) list, is updated by the MPCA every 2 years.

Several waterbodies within the BCWMC have been listed on the MPCA impaired waters (303(d)) list for a variety of impairments. Waterbodies on the impaired waters list are required to have an assessment completed that addresses the causes and sources of the impairment. This process is known as a total maximum daily load (TMDL) analysis.

There are currently five lakes and two streams (Main Stem of Bassett Creek and Plymouth Creek) in the Bassett Creek watershed that are included on the MPCA's draft 2014 impaired waters 303(d) list. Locations of impaired waters are shown in Figure 2-12. Table 2-5 summarizes the impaired waters within the Bassett Creek Watershed. Current impaired waters listings are available from the MPCA website: www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/water/water-types-and-programs/minnesotas-impaired-waters-and-tmdls/impaired-waters-list.html

Table 2-5 Summary of Impaired Waters within the BCWMC (including 2014 proposed listings and Wirth Lake delisting)

Water Body	Impaired Use	Pollutant or Stressor	Year Listed	TMDL Target Start	TMDL Target Completion	TMDL Approved
Parkers Lake	Aquatic Consumption	Mercury in Fish Tissue	1998	1998	2025 ²	--
	Aquatic Life	Chloride	2014	2009	2015	--
Medicine Lake	Aquatic Consumption	Mercury in Fish Tissue;	2004	--	--	2008 ¹
	Aquatic Recreation	Nutrients/Eutrophication	2004	--	--	2010
	Aquatic Life	Chloride	2014	2009	2015	--
Sweeney Lake	Aquatic Recreation	Nutrients/Eutrophication	2004	--	--	2011
	Aquatic Life	Chloride	2014	2009	2015	--
Wirth Lake	Aquatic Consumption	Mercury in Fish Tissue;	1998	--	--	2008 ¹
	Aquatic Life	Chloride	2014	2009	2015	--
Northwood Lake	Aquatic Recreation	Nutrients/Eutrophication	2004	2020	2024	--
Bassett Creek (Main Stem)	Aquatic Life	Chloride	2010	2009	2015	--
	Aquatic Life	Fish Bioassessments	2004	2012	2016	--
	Aquatic Recreation	Fecal Coliform	2008	2008	2015	--
Plymouth Creek	Aquatic Life	Chloride	2014	2009	2015	--
	Aquatic Recreation	Escherichia coli	2014	2008	2015	--
North Branch Bassett Creek	Aquatic Recreation	Escherichia coli	2014	2008	2015	--

¹ covered under the statewide mercury TMDL, approved in 2008.

² Mercury impairment for Parkers Lake is not covered by the statewide mercury TMDL due to mercury in fish tissue exceeding a threshold value of 0.57 mg/kg.

2.7.2.2 BCWMC Classification Systems

The BCWMC classified specific waterbodies within the watershed as priority waterbodies based on the desired water quality standards and uses for those waterbodies. The degree to which a waterbody can support a particular recreational use is primarily controlled by the quality of its water. In lakes, wetlands, and streams, nutrients (primarily phosphorus) and suspended solids control what recreational activities can occur in the Bassett Creek watershed. Phosphorus controls the recreational uses of waterbodies because it is the nutrient that limits the growth of algae. High algal growth is a major cause of poor water clarity, which is often the determining factor in the recreational use suitability of a waterbody. The

BCWMC identified 15 priority waterbodies and divided these priority waterbodies into four classes as shown in Table 2-6.

Table 2-6 BCWMC Management Classifications for Priority Waterbodies

BCWMC Classification	Water Bodies
Priority 1 Streams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Main Stem Bassett Creek • North Branch Bassett Creek • Plymouth Creek • Sweeney Lake Branch Bassett Creek
Priority 1 Deep Lakes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medicine Lake • Parkers Lake • Sweeney Lake • Twin Lake • Wirth Lake
Priority 1 Shallow Lakes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Northwood Lake • Westwood Lake
Priority 2 Shallow Lakes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cavanaugh (Sunset Hill) Pond • Crane Lake • Lost Lake • Turtle Lake

The BCWMC adopted water quality standards for priority lakes and streams that are consistent with MPCA water quality standards published in Minnesota Rules 7050 (note that Minnesota Rules 7050 applies to waterbodies within the BCWMC regardless of their BCWMC classification). BCWMC water quality standards for priority waterbodies are presented in Table 2-7. The distinction between “deep” and “shallow” lakes is based on MPCA criteria (see Section 2.7.2.1). All deep lakes are considered priority 1 waterbodies. Water quality data collected by the BCWMC will be compared to BCWMC water quality standards to determine the need for water quality improvements. Historically, the BCWMC found that previous water quality goals could not be met without taking measures to control and improve storm water runoff in the subwatersheds draining to the waterbodies. As a result, watershed management, including review of land development, remains a major component in the management program for the lakes and streams of the Bassett Creek watershed.

Per the BCWMC’s adoption of water quality performance standards based on the MPCA’s MIDS, the BCWMC requires the implementation of BMPs on construction sites throughout the watershed. Such practices are seen as particularly important in areas of the watershed where regional treatment has not been established or is not feasible. Projects must also meet water quality treatment requirements as specified in the MPCA’s NPDES Construction Stormwater permit as well as any requirements specified in the member city’s MS4 permit.

Table 2-7. BCWMC Water Quality Standards for Priority Waterbodies

Priority Waterbody Name	BCWMC Classification ¹	BCWMC Water Quality Standards ²							
		Total Phosphorus (ug/L)	Chlorophyll a (ug/L)	Secchi Disc (m)	Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	Daily Dissolved Oxygen Flux (mg/L)	Biological Oxygen Demand (5-day) (mg/L)	<i>Escherichia coli</i> (# per 100 mL)	Chloride (mg/L)
Plymouth Creek	Priority 1 Stream	100	18	NA	30	3.5	2	126 ³	230
North Branch Bassett Creek									
Sweeney Branch Bassett Creek									
Main Stem Bassett Creek									
Medicine Lake	Priority 1 Deep Lake	40	14	1.4	NA	NA	NA	126 ³	230
Twin Lake									
Sweeney Lake									
Wirth Lake									
Parkers Lake	Priority 1 Shallow Lake	60	20	1	NA	NA	NA	126 ³	230
Westwood Lake									
Northwood Lake									
Crane Lake									
Lost Lake	Priority 2 Shallow Lake	60	20	1	NA	NA	NA	126 ³	230
Turtle Lake									
Cavanaugh (Sunset Hill) Pond									

¹ Classification as deep or shallow is based on MPCA shallow/deep classification; shallow lakes have a maximum depth of less than 15 feet or littoral area greater than 80% of the total lake surface area.

² BCWMC standards are based on existing MPCA standards included in MN Rules 7050. Revisions to MN Rule 7050 will supersede BCWMC standards. Note that MN Rule 7050.0220 includes water quality standards for additional parameters that are enforced by the MPCA.

³ 126 organisms per 100 mL as a geometric mean of not less than five samples within any month, nor shall more than 10% of all samples within a month exceed 1,260 organisms per 100 mL.

2.7.3 Water Quality Modeling

Water quality modeling serves many purposes, including estimating existing pollutant loads to BCWMC waterbodies, and evaluating the potential benefits of implementing water quality improvements within the watershed. This section describes water quality models developed by the BCWMC.

2.7.3.1 Watershed-wide P8 Model

As part of developing lake and stream watershed management plans, the BCWMC developed models to model total flow and phosphorus loadings to lakes and streams within the Bassett Creek watershed using the water quality model P8. P8 (Program for Predicting Polluting Particle Passage through Pits, Puddles and Ponds) is a model for predicting the generation and transport of stormwater runoff pollutants in urban watersheds. The BCWMC has updated the P8 models in relation to TMDL studies performed since development of the stream and lake watershed management plans. In 2012-2013, a water quality modeling project was completed to update the existing Bassett Creek P8 models.

Eleven P8 models, distributed throughout the Bassett Creek watershed, were updated to simulate the quantity and quality of water annually added to Bassett Creek during stormwater runoff events (see Figure 2-13). Data required to update the P8 models included watershed information (area, curve number, imperviousness, etc.) and device information (permanent pool area, permanent pool volume, flood pool area, and flood pool volume). Sources of information for the 2012 model construction included data collected from municipalities and other government agencies, information from previously constructed P8 models, field surveys, estimation from GIS, and calculations from XP-SWMM (i.e., outlet rating curve calculations). The P8 modeling results were then compiled and compared to the available monitoring data from the Bassett Creek WOMP station during the water year monitoring periods between 2001 and 2011 to determine whether changes to the modeling were warranted for calibration. More detailed information regarding data sources, model updates, and model calibration is included in a report entitled *Bassett Creek Water Quality Modeling* (BCWMC, 2013).

The updated P8 water quality modeling provides a tool for the BCWMC and member cities to use in tracking the progress of the BCWMC and the member cities towards TMDL implementation for impaired waterbodies, not only within BCWMC, but also downstream of Bassett Creek. When projects are proposed and/or completed, the updated P8 model could be used to estimate the loading reduction that will be achieved by the projects. The updated P8 modeling may also be used to evaluate the effect of proposed projects, such as projects that come under BCWMC review and BCWMC capital improvement program projects (see Table 5-3).

The BCWMC plans to maintain the P8 model for future BCWMC and member city use. Each year, member cities shall provide the BCWMC with plans for BMPs constructed within their city. The BCWMC will update the model annually to incorporate completed BCWMC capital improvements and BMP information provided by the member cities. The BCWMC will develop a summary report of the water quality model results and provide that report to the member cities to assist in their MS4 reporting.

2.8 Water Quantity and Flooding

The BCWMC was originally formed to address flooding issues in the watershed, so flood control was the first major responsibility of the organization. To reduce flooding along the Bassett Creek trunk system, the BCWMC:

- Implements Flood Control Projects
- Monitors water levels on the lakes and streams in the watershed
- Establishes flood levels and manages activities in the floodplains
- Reviews development and redevelopment projects to make sure there are no detrimental flooding impacts to the trunk system

Beginning in the 1960s, aging stormwater control facilities and rapid urbanization caused the Bassett Creek watershed to experience flooding problems. For decades, flooding caused damages averaging in excess of \$2 million annually to homes, businesses, and recreational areas along Bassett Creek. The worst problem was the 1.5-mile long Bassett Creek Tunnel, which was undersized and severely deteriorated. The Bassett Creek Flood Control Project (Flood Control Project), a \$40 million cooperative effort of the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), MnDOT, MDNR, the BCWMC, and the member cities addressed these flooding issues.

2.8.1 BCWMC Flood Control Project

The largest structural Flood Control Project undertaken by the BCWMC was the Bassett Creek Flood Control Project. From 1987 – 1996, the USACE constructed the \$40 million Flood Control Project. The project was the cooperative effort of the USACE, MnDOT, MDNR, the BCWMC, and the BCWMC member cities. The project controls flooding in portions of Golden Valley, Plymouth, Minneapolis, and Crystal and reduced flood elevations along the Bassett Creek corridor by 2 feet in Golden Valley, 1½ feet in Crystal, and up to 4½ feet in Minneapolis. The Flood Control Project also reduced average annual flood damages by 62 percent. Table 2-8 lists all of the features of the Flood Control Project. Figure 2-14 identifies the Flood Control Project structures. Note that the Flood Control Project differs from the system referred to as the BCWMC “Trunk System.” The extent of the trunk system is presented in Figure 2-15.

With the Flood Control Project in place, runoff from the watershed area tributary to the old tunnel no longer flows to Bassett Creek. In 2000, the BCWMC and the Mississippi WMO entered into a joint and cooperative agreement for a boundary change to reflect these changed drainage conditions (see Appendix H). The boundary change transferred 1,002 acres from the BCWMC to the Mississippi WMO. The City of Minneapolis is currently responsible for maintenance of the old tunnel.

The principal feature of the Flood Control Project is the new 1.7-mile tunnel through downtown Minneapolis. The tunnel was built in three phases, at a cost of \$28 million. Phase 1 was constructed in 1979, at a cost of \$12 million (\$39 million in 2014 dollars), Phase 2 was constructed in 1990, at a cost of \$2.8 million (\$5.1 million in 2014 dollars), and Phase 3 was constructed in 1992, at a cost of \$13.4 million (\$22.8 million in 2014 dollars). The tunnel diverts Bassett Creek, where it plunges underground at Glenwood and Colfax Avenues in Minneapolis, into the Mississippi River. The original tunnel, some

sections of which were built more than a century ago, was undersized and deteriorating. The tunnel could no longer accommodate increased drainage and was on the verge of collapse. Such a collapse would have caused major flooding. The new tunnel provides cooperative storm drainage for Bassett Creek, Interstate Highways 94 and 394, and portions of the City of Minneapolis. The tunnel empties into the Mississippi River just south (downstream) of St. Anthony Falls.

The Flood Control Project also included construction of the following six major features:

- Highway 100 control structure
- Wisconsin Avenue control structure
- Highway 55 control structure
- Markwood/Edgewood area modifications – Edgewood control structure, Edgewood Avenue basin, and Markwood channel improvements
- Golden Valley Country Club control structure
- Medicine Lake outlet structure

The control structures consist of low flow orifices with overflow weirs to restrict flows.

Other principal features of the Flood Control Project include the Bassett Creek Park Pond project, replacing ten street crossings, flood-proofing five homes, and making channel improvements. In addition to providing flood control benefits, some of the project features provide water quality benefits (e.g., Bassett Creek Park Pond and the fish barrier at the tunnel). The features of the Flood Control Project are shown on Figure 2-14 and listed in Table 2-8. The project also included the monitoring and disposal of hazardous materials from an area of the project where contaminated soils were present (Irving Avenue dump site).

Each control structure leaves the creek virtually unaffected during normal flow conditions. For large storm events, the storage upstream of control structures generally results in higher water levels than under pre-project conditions. Maintenance may be required in storage areas after significant rainfall events. Each control structure lowers peak discharges immediately downstream of the structure. Implementation of all the control structures and the storage they provide resulted in a smaller tunnel and fewer measures needed to increase stream capacity.

In the vicinity of Glenwood Inglewood Waters and the abandoned Fruen Mill, downstream of Glenwood Avenue, the Flood Control Project proposed removal of an existing stone dam and retaining walls and installing a concrete drop structure, new retaining walls, and widening of the creek channel. This work was not supported by the City of Minneapolis and was deleted from the Flood Control Project.

The watershed south of 36th Avenue and west of Hampshire Avenue in the City of Crystal, was diverted to a ponding area downstream of 36th Avenue by the construction of approximately 1,150 feet of culvert.

Large inlet structures were constructed on 36th Avenue and on each side of Hampshire Avenue and Louisiana Avenue.

Although the entire Flood Control Project was not yet completed, creekside residents immediately benefited from the modifications. When an 8-inch rainstorm struck the area in July 1987, the Highway 55 control structure, completed just one month previously, protected homes and businesses downstream of the structure from over \$1 million (\$1.9 million in 2014 dollars) in flood damages.

A construction account was set up for the BCWMC Flood Control Project. Cash contributions to the account totaled over \$6.9 million and included contributions from the member cities (assessments), MnDOT (drainage to tunnel), the MDNR (grants), Hennepin County (grant), General Mills (grant), and interest earned on investments. After paying for the project and paying back \$215,000 owed to the BCWMC Administrative account, there was \$1,535,000 remaining in the construction account. The BCWMC decided to use the remaining funds for future work related to the Flood Control Project: floodproofing of remaining homes in the floodplain, emergency repairs to the Flood Control Project system, and long-term maintenance and repair of the Flood Control Project system.

2.8.1.1 Irving Avenue Dump Site

As part of the Flood Control Project, the City of Minneapolis was required to furnish a disposal site for the material excavated during channel widening and tunnel construction. The site selected for disposal of the fill material was a vacant area located on the south side of Bassett Creek, to the west of the City of Minneapolis impound lot. The site was selected because it could be easily acquired by the city and was close to the tunnel inlet and the channel modifications. Unfortunately, the disposal site was formerly used as an unlicensed dump site (Irving Avenue Dump), primarily for demolition debris. The MPCA designated the site as a hazardous waste site.

The City of Minneapolis and the MPCA prepared a report that summarized information on site history, potential sources of the hazardous wastes, and the extent of contamination at the site. Investigation work at the site identified contaminated soil and shallow groundwater, which appeared to be the result of sporadic dumping of hazardous materials. The contaminants present at the site include trace metals and chemicals generally associated with oil wastes. Since there was limited potential for direct contact with the contaminated soil after it was covered with the clean fill material, the potential risks to human health and environment posed by the site were related to contamination of groundwater and Bassett Creek.

The City of Minneapolis completed additional investigative activities, including determination of any impacts of the site on water quality in Bassett Creek, and determination of groundwater quality and flow direction. The groundwater monitoring program involved sampling of existing wells and installation and sampling of several new wells. The monitoring well network was used to assess the movement of groundwater contaminants and to determine groundwater quality before and after placement of fill material at the site.

Based on the results of the investigation, the MPCA agreed that the materials could be left in place with a cover over the material ("cap"). The material was left in place with a cap, which will ensure that the material does not contaminate the groundwater at the site.

2.8.2 Other Watershed Flood Control Projects

Other structural Flood Control Projects were undertaken to implement the 1990 BCWMC Plan. The City of Golden Valley and MnDOT cooperatively constructed the Breck stormwater storage area, upstream of Sweeney Lake on the Sweeney Lake Branch of Bassett Creek. The City of Golden Valley also constructed Cortlawn Pond and Ring Ponds, in the headwaters of the Sweeney Lake Branch of Bassett Creek; these ponds provide both flood control and water quality benefits.

Along the Main Stem of Bassett Creek, the cities of Golden Valley and Robbinsdale acquired all of the area around North and South Rice Ponds to preserve this wetland and natural inundation area for temporary stormwater storage. The crossing of the creek at Dresden Lane restricts downstream discharge and causes floodwaters to be temporarily stored in the North and South Rice Ponds area.

The City of Crystal acquired easements for temporary storage of stormwater in a pond at 36th Avenue and Winnetka Avenue on the North Branch of Bassett Creek, near the New Hope boundary. In the City of New Hope, temporary stormwater storage is provided in Northwood Park along the creek and on Northwood Lake. At the headwaters of the North Branch of Bassett Creek, the City of Plymouth acquired temporary stormwater storage areas and constructed control structures for them in cooperation with land developers.

The City of Plymouth constructed five major stormwater storage sites on or tributary to Plymouth Creek, as called for in the BCWMC 1990 Plan. The City of Minnetonka adopted a wetland preservation ordinance to protect the natural stormwater storage capability of the Oak Knoll wetland and Crane Lake. Sites recognized as flood storage areas within the BCWMC are identified in Figure 2-14 (not all flood storage areas shown in Figure 2-14 are part of the Flood Control Project described in Section 2.8.1).

The BCWMC also implements nonstructural flood control measures, which prevent flood damages from occurring along the BCWMC trunk system. Examples of these measures include:

- Monitoring water levels on lakes and streams in the watershed
- Developing models (e.g., XP-SWMM) to assess flood risk
- Review of proposed projects with potential impacts to floodplains
- Establishing policy and/or requirements to:
 - Set minimum building elevations
 - Preserve floodplain storage
 - Limit alteration to existing structures

2.8.3 Regulatory Water Levels and Flow Rates

Following the construction of the Flood Control Project, the BCWMC worked with the USACE to approve revised flood profiles along sections of Bassett Creek for the National Flood Insurance Program's Flood

Insurance Rate Map (FIRM). The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) approved the proposed revisions to the FIRM for Bassett Creek in 2002. Minor adjustments to the mapping were finalized in 2003 and were incorporated into the Hennepin County FIRM. The BCWMC uses the revised flood profiles in its review of improvements and development proposals.

Table 2-9 lists the flood profiles and critical event flow rates that are now in effect. The BCWMC will update flood profiles and flow rates per updated precipitation data published in Atlas 14 (see Section 2.2).

Prior to the 2004 Plan, the BCWMC compared proposed development to the assumed future land use to determine if additional mitigation of flow rates was required (see Section 2.1). Current BCWMC policy requires no net increase in peak flow rates for specific storm events (see Section 4.0); the current requirements are independent of assumed future land use.

2.8.4 Flood Insurance Studies

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) performs flood insurance studies (FIS) and develops flood maps to determine areas prone to flooding during the 100-year (and sometimes 500-year) storm events. Each of the BCWMC member cities has a FIS. The FIS, together with a city's floodplain ordinance, allow the city to take part in the national flood insurance program (NFIP). Homeowners within FEMA-designated floodplains are required to purchase flood insurance. In some cases, homes within FEMA-designated floodplains on the FEMA floodplain maps may actually not be in the floodplain. To waive the mandatory flood insurance requirements for their homes, residents must remove their homes from the FEMA-designated floodplain by obtaining a Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA). Figure 2-16 shows the FEMA and BCWMC mapping of the 100-year floodplain in the BCWMC.

The member cities have prepared local water management plans. These plans have more detailed information regarding storm sewer systems and localized flooding issues. Additional flooding information is also available from the Flood Insurance Studies (FIS) for the cities within the BCWMC.

Table 2-8 Summary of BCWMC Flood Control Project Features

Feature	Location	Year Built	Partners	Cost ¹
Phase I Tunnel: 2nd Street Tunnel	Minneapolis	1979	BCWMC, USACE, MnDOT	\$12,000,000 (\$39,760,000)
Golden Valley Flood Control Project Regent Avenue Crossing Noble Avenue Crossing Minnaqua Drive Bridge Removal Highway 100 Control Structure 32nd Avenue Crossing Brunswick Avenue Crossing 34th Avenue Crossing Edgewood Ave Control Structure & Embankment Edgewood Avenue Storage Basin Georgia Avenue Crossing 36th Avenue Crossing Hampshire Avenue Crossing Markwood Channel Improvements Floodproofing Five Homes	Golden Valley Golden Valley Golden Valley GV/Crystal Crystal Crystal Crystal Crystal Crystal Crystal Crystal Crystal Crystal Crystal	1981-1984	BCWMC USACE Golden Valley Crystal	\$1,600,000 (\$3,980,000)
Douglas Drive Crossing	Crystal	1987	BCWMC, Crystal Hennepin County	\$100,000 (\$220,000)
Wisconsin Avenue Control Structure	Golden Valley	1987	BCWMC Golden Valley	\$100,000 (\$220,000)
Highway 55 Control Structure	Golden Valley	1987	BCWMC, USACE, MDNR, Minneapolis	\$85,000 (\$190,000)
Plymouth Creek Fish Barrier	Plymouth	1987	BCWMC, MDNR, Plymouth Hennepin County	\$60,000 (\$130,000)
Phase 2 Tunnel: Third Ave. Tunnel	Minneapolis	1990	BCWMC, USACE Minneapolis, MDNR MnDOT	\$2,800,000 (\$5,740,000)
Phase 3 Tunnel: Box Culvert Double Box Culvert Channel Improvements	Minneapolis	1992	BCWMC, USACE, Minneapolis MDNR, MnDOT	\$13,400,000 (\$26,360,000)
Markwood/Edgewood Area Modifications Control Structure Edgewood Avenue Basin Markwood Channel Improvements	Crystal	1992	BCWMC, USACE Crystal, MDNR	500,000 (\$850,000)
Westbrook Road Crossing	Golden Valley	1993	BCWMC, USACE Golden Valley, MDNR	200,000 (\$370,000)
Golden Valley Country Club Control Structure	Golden Valley	1994	BCWMC, USACE Golden Valley, MDNR	450,000 (\$810,000)
Bassett Creek Park Pond	Crystal	1995	BCWMC, USACE, Crystal, MnDOT, MDNR	1,300,000 (\$2,360,000)
Medicine Lake Outlet Structure	Plymouth	1996	BCWMC, Plymouth Hennepin County, MDNR	100,000 (\$180,000)

¹ 2014 dollars are included in parentheses

Table 2-9 BCWMC Flood Profiles

Location (Description)	Creek Distance Above the Mississippi River (feet)	100-Year		50-Year		10-Year	
		Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)
BASSETT CREEK MAIN STEM ¹							
Tunnel Inlet	8,000	807.1	1220	806.7	1050	804.7	675
Irving Avenue Bridge (DS)	9,800	808.4	1135	807.8	980	807.6	630
Irving Avenue Bridge (US)		809.1	1135	808.9	980	807.7	630
Cedar Lake Rd (Bridge))	10,900	812.7	945	813.1	820	812.0	535
MN&S RR Bridge	11,600	814.6	945	814.0	820	812.6	535
Old Penn Ave Bridge (DS)	12,410	814.7	705	814.3	620	813.0	420
Old Penn Ave Bridge (US)		815	705	814.6	620	813.4	420
BN RR Bridge	12,670	815.1	705	814.3	620	813.4	420
MN&S RR Bridge (DS)	13,930	816.2	465	814.7	420	813.4	300
MN&S RR Bridge (US)		816	465	815.2	420	813.8	300
Fruen Mill Dam (DS)	14,150	816.3	510	815.2	435	813.8	260
Fruen Mill Dam (US)		818	510	817.5	435	817	260
Glenwood Ave	14,855	820.1	680	819.7	580	818.8	400
Hwy 55 (DS)	16,500	821.5	680	821	580	820.4	400
Hwy 55 (US)		826	680	825.1	580	823.8	400
Golf Cart Bridge		826	680	825.1	580	823.8	400
MN&S RR Bridge	18,700	826	680	825.1	580	823.8	400
Plymouth Ave Bridge	19,500	826	680	825.2	580	823.9	400
Wirth Parkway (DS)	20,480	826	1570	825.4	1310	823.9	400
Wirth Parkway (US) Bridge		826.3	1570	825.4	1310	823.9	400
Confluence w/ Sweeney Lake Branch	22,000	827	-----	826.8	-----	825	-----
Golden Valley Road (DS) (16' 7" span CMPA)	23,800	827.2	790	828.2	660	826.7	475
Golden Valley Road (US)	23,800	830	680	828.3	560	826.6	400
Dresden Lane (DS) (2- 10' 8" CMPA)	25,900	830.3	680	830.3	560	829.6	400
Dresden Lane (US)		831.4	680	830.8	560	829.9	400
Bassett Creek Drive (2 – 11' 5" CMPA)	27,140	832	665	831.6	550	830.7	390
Bassett Creek Drive (2 – 11' 5" CMPA)		832.7	665	832.1	550	831.1	390
Pedestrian Bridge	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

¹Hennepin County FIS – revised December 23, 2002

DS – Downstream

US – Upstream

Location (Description)	Creek Distance Above the Mississippi River (feet)	100-Year		50-Year		10-Year	
		Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)
BASSETT CREEK MAIN STEM ¹ (continued)							
Noble Lane (DS) (30' x 13' 8" Con. Arch)	29,200	839.5	660	838.9	545	838.17	390
Noble Lane (US) (30' by 13' 8" Con. Arch)		839.5	660	838.9	545	838.18	390
Regent Avenue (DS) (30' by 13' 8" Con. Arch)	30,800		660	841.1	545	840.29	390
Regent Avenue (US) (30' by 13' 8" Con. Arch)		841.9	660	841.1	545	840.3	390
Minnaqua Avenue (bridge removed)	31,650	842.5		842		841.3	
Highway 100 (DS) (2- 10'x8' box)	34,020	843.2	770	844.1	690	843.3	470
Hwy 100 (US)	34,020	849	610	847.9	505	846.1	365
DS Confluence N. Branch	34,400	849	495	847.9	415	846.2	295
Slope Change	35,000						
Westbrook Road (DS) (24' x 8' Conc. Arch)	37,000	857.1	940	858.5	770	857.2	440
Westbrook Road (US)		858.1	940	858.9	770	857.4	440
Duluth Street (DS) (2-8'x10' Box)	38,400	861.3	850	862.1	700	860.9	400
Duluth Street (US) (2-8'x10' Box)		861.8	850	862.4	700	860.9	400
St. Croix Avenue (DS) (2- 11' 5" CMPA)	39,800	863	850	862.9	700	862.4	400
St. Croix Avenue (US) (2- 11' 5" CMPA)		864.1	850	864.1	700	862.4	400
Slope Change	40,500	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MN&S RR (DS) (Wooden Pile Trestle)	41,660	869.5	760	869.2	630	868.0	365
MN&S RR (US) (also 2 pedestrian bridges)		869.5	760	869.4	630	868.1	365
Douglas Drive (DS) (2-8'x8' Box)	42,130	870.2	670	870.3	560	869.2	325
Douglas Drive (US) (2-8'x8' Box)		871	670	870.7	560	869.4	325
Florida Avenue (DS) (2-6'x8' Box)	42,820	871.6	670	871.7	560	870.25	325
Florida Avenue (US) (2-6'x8' Box)		872.3	670	871.75	560	870.3	325
Hampshire Ave (DS) (2-7'x10' Box)	43,410	872.5	630	872.3	530	870.8	320

¹Hennepin County FIS – revised December 23, 2002

DS – Downstream

US – Upstream

Location (Description)	Creek Distance Above the Mississippi River (feet)	100-Year		50-Year		10-Year	
		Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)
BASSETT CREEK MAIN STEM ¹ (continued)							
Hampshire Ave (US) (2-7'x10' Box)		873	630	872.38	530	870.83	320
GV Country Club (DS) (4'x8' Box)	44,320	874.4	365	875.2	340	874.3	230
GV Country Club (US) (4'x8' Box)		878.4	405	877.7	340	876.8	230
Slope Change	45,000	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Pennsylvania Avenue (DS) (2-6'x8' Box)	46,500	879.3	380	879.7	325	879.0	230
Pennsylvania Avenue(US) (2-6'x8' Box)		880.5	375	880.4	320	879.7	230
C&NW RR (DS) (1-72"CMP & 2-114"CMP)	47,200	881.7	375	881.6	320	881.0	225
C&NW RR (US)		882.9	375	882.6	320	882.0	225
Winnetka Ave (DS) (1-72"CMP & 2-114"CMP)	48,000	883.3	360	883.2	320	882.5	225
Winnetka Ave (US) (1-72"CMP & 2-114"CMP)		883.5	360	883.7	320	882.9	225
Wisconsin Ave (DS)	49,750	884.7	360	884.5	320	883.66	225
Wisconsin Ave (US)	50,100	888	340	887.8	300	886.4	220
Golden Valley Road (DS) (2-8'x10' Box)		888	290	887.8	265	886.4	215
Golden Valley Road (US)		888	290	887.9	265	886.4	215
Westbound Hwy 55 (DS) (10'x20' Box)	51,250	888	290	887.9	265	886.4	215
Eastbound Hwy 55 (US) (10'x20' Box)		888.1	290	887.9	265	886.4	215
Boone Ave (DS) (2-8'x10' Box)		888.2	280	888.01	250	886.58	200
Boone Ave (US) General Mills Blvd		888.3	280	888.05	250	886.62	200
Hwy 169 (DS)	56,500	888.4	255	888.23	230	886.98	180
Hwy 169 (US) (2-7'x10' Box)	56,500	888.5	250	888.23	220	886.99	170
Hwy 55 Ramp (DS) (2-8'x10' Box)	58,300	888.5	235	888.32	210	887.1	160
Hwy 55 Ramp (US) (2-8'x10' Box)		888.5	235	888.33	210	887.1	160
Hwy 55 Eastbound (DS) (10'x20' Box)	58,500	888.5	235	888.3	210	887.1	160

¹Hennepin County FIS – revised December 23, 2002

DS – Downstream

US – Upstream

Location (Description)	Creek Distance Above the Mississippi River (feet)	100-Year		50-Year		10-Year	
		Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)
BASSETT CREEK MAIN STEM ¹ (continued)							
Hwy 55 Eastbound (US) (10'x20' Box)		888.5	235	888.3	210	887.1	160
Hwy 55 Westbound (DS) (10'x20' Box)		888.5	235	888.4	210	887.1	160
Hwy 55 Westbound (US) (10'x20' Box)		888.8	235	888.4	210	887.1	160
Hwy 169 ramp to W 55 (DS) (2- 8'x10' Box)	58,750	888.8	235	888.4	210	887.2	160
Hwy 169 ramp to W 55 (US) (2- 8'x10' Box)		888.8	235	888.4	210	887.2	160
Hwy 55 N Frontage Rd (DS) (2-8'x10' Box)	58,850	889	235	888.5	210	887.3	160
Hwy 55 N Frontage Rd (US) (2-8'x10' Box)		889	235	888.5	210	887.3	160
10 th Ave (DS)		889		888.8		887.8	
10 th Ave (US)		889		888.8		887.8	
C&NW RR Bridge (DS)	63,450	889	200	888.9	175	888.3	125
C&NW RR Bridge (US)		889.4	200	888.9	175	888.4	125
South Shore Drive (DS)	63,800	889.4	190	889.1	165	888.6	115
South Shore Drive (US)		890.3	190	889.1	165	888.6	115
Medicine Lake Weir (DS)	63,960	890.3	190	889.9	165	889.5	115
Inundation Areas							
Theodore Wirth Park		826					
South Rice Pond		831.5					
North Rice Pond		838					
Grimes Avenue Pond		838					
Golden Valley Country Club		878.4					
Brookview Golf Course		888.1					
Westwood Lake		889					
Medicine Lake		890.3					

¹Hennepin County FIS – revised December 23, 2002

DS – Downstream

US – Upstream

Location (Description)	Creek Distance Above Confluence with Main Stem (feet)	100-Year		50-Year		10-Year	
		Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)
NORTH BRANCH ²							
Hwy 100 Control (US)	-----	849	610	847.9	505	846.2	365
Confluence w/Main Stem	0	849	-----	847.9	-----	846.2	-----
29th Avenue (DS) (2-58"x36" RCPA)	200	849	1515	848.1	1270	847.7	760
29th Avenue (US) (2-58"x36" RCPA)		849.5	1515	848.4	1270	848.0	760
32nd Avenue (DS) (2-88"x54" RCPA)	2,600	849.6	1175	849.0	990	848.4	605
32nd Avenue (US) (2-88"x54" RCPA)		854	1175	853.5	990	852.0	605
Brunswick Avenue (DS) (2-115"x72" RCPA)	3,000	854.7	1175	854.0	990	853.5	605
Brunswick Avenue (US) (2-115"x72" RCPA)		855.9	1175	855.5	990	854.5	605
34th Culvert (DS)	4,200	862.8	700	862.6	600	862.0	390
34th Culvert (US)		866.1	430	865.2	380	864	270
Douglas Drive (DS) (4'x12' box)	5,250	870	430	869.34	380	868	270
Douglas Drive (US) (4'x12' box)		870.1	430	869.9	380	869	270
Edgewood Emb (DS) (102"x62" RCPA)	5,600	870.7	430	870.7	380	870	270
Edgewood Emb (US) (102"x62" RCPA)		878.2	340	876.1	295	872.8	230
Georgia Avenue (DS) (2- 136"x88" RCPA)	6,250	878.2	305	876.0	265	872.9	210
Georgia Avenue (US) (2- 136"x88" RCPA)		878.4	305	876.0	265	872.9	210
36th & Hampshire (DS) (2-6'x8' box)	6,800	878.4	260	876.0	225	872.9	185
36th & Hampshire (US) (2-6'x8' box)	6,980	879	260	878.5	225	877.5	185
Louisiana Ave. (DS) (Outlet of 48" CMP)	8,000	881	-----	880.8	-----	880	-----
Maryland Ave. (48" CMP)	8,500	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Oregon Ave.(48" CMP)	9,000	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
MN & S RR (42" CMP)	9,300	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Inlet of 42" CMP	9,500	888	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

²Hennepin County – revised December 23, 2002 (DS of Louisiana Avenue); 1990 Bassett Creek Water Management Plan (US of Louisiana Avenue)

DS – Downstream

US – Upstream

Location (Description)	Creek Distance Above Confluence with Main Stem (feet)	100-Year		50-Year		10-Year	
		Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)
NORTH BRANCH ² (continued)							
Service Road (58" span CMPA)	10,000	888	----	----	----	----	----
Winnetka Ave. (DS) (48" RCP)	10,600	888	----	----	----	----	----
Winnetka Ave. (US)		889	----	----	----	----	----
Boone Ave. (DS) (60" CMP)	13,500	889.3	----	----	----	----	----
Boone Ave. (US)		889.5	----	----	----	----	----
Northwood Lake		889.5	----	----	----	----	----
TH 169 (DS) (66" RCP)	16,850	889.5	----	----	----	----	----
TH 169(US)		890.5	----	----	----	----	----
Rockford Road (DS) (27" RCP)	18,350	890.5	----	----	----	----	----
Rockford Road (US)		898.5	----	----	----	----	----
Inundation Areas							
Bassett Creek Park		849.5					
Edgewood Avenue Pond		878.2					
Winnetka Pond (DS of Winnetka Avenue)		888.0					
Northwood Park		889.3					
Northwood Lake		889.5					

²Hennepin County – revised December 23, 2002 (DS of Louisiana Avenue); 1990 Bassett Creek Water Management Plan (US of Louisiana Avenue)
DS – Downstream
US – Upstream

Location (Description)	Creek Distance Above Confluence with Main Stem (feet)	100-Year		50-Year		10-Year	
		Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)
SWEENEY LAKE BRANCH ³							
Confluence w/Main Stem	0	827	-----	826.8	-----	825	-----
France Ave extension (DS) (36" CMP)	700	827	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
France Ave (US)		829	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Courage Center & Hidden Lakes Parkway (DS) (65" CMPA)	900	829	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Courage Center & Hidden Lakes Parkway (US)		831	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Courage Center & Hidden Lakes Parkway (DS) (88" RCPA)	1,330	831	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Courage Center & Hidden Lakes Parkway (US)		831.5	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Precast Concrete Dam (DS)	1,700	831.5	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Sweeney Lake		831.5	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Union Pacific RR (DS) (72" RCP w/control structure)	6,800	831.5	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Union Pacific RR (US)		835.6	311	835.1	277	834.6	213
Hwy 55 (DS) (6'x6' Box)	8,150	835.6	244	835.1	224	834.6	179
Hwy 55 (US)		836.7	244	836.2	224	835.5	179
MN & S RR (DS) (5'x5' Box)	9,000	836.7	233	836.2	215	835.5	180
MN & S RR (US)		839.3	233	838.5	215	836.9	180
Breck Pond & Control Structure (US)	9,580	839.7	296	838.8	266	837.4	214
TH 100 (DS) (Breck Pond)	10,400	839.7	298	838.8	266	837.4	214
TH 100 (US)		845.2	298	845.0	266	844.6	214
Turners Crossroad (US) (54" RCP)	10,950	854.7	241	853.6	222	851.4	180
Glenwood Pond A		854.7	-----	853.6	-----	851.4	-----
MN & S RR (DS) (2 - 8' x 5' Box)	11,550	854.7	460	853.6	414	851.4	332
MN & S RR (US)		854.8	460	853.7	414	851.5	332
Glenwood Pond B**		854.8	-----	853.7	-----	851.5	-----

³US of Union Pacific Railroad: XP-SWMM model for Sweeney Lake Branch LOMR (FEMA effective date of July 25, 2007); DS of Union Pacific Railroad: 1990 Bassett Creek Water Management Plan

**Normal flows discharge from Ravine Storage Area east through 36" culvert at MN & S RR to Duck Pond; then north through 48" culvert at Glenwood Avenue to Glenwood Pond B

DS – Downstream

US – Upstream

Location (Description)	Creek Distance Above Confluence with Main Stem (feet)	100-Year		50-Year		10-Year	
		Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)
SWEENEY LAKE BRANCH ³ (continued)							
Glenwood Ave (DS)** (48" RCP)		854.8	84	853.7	80	851.5	65
Glenwood Ave (US)**		854.8	84	853.7	80	851.7	65
Duck Pond**		854.8	-----	853.7	-----	851.9	-----
MN & S RR (DS)** (36" RCP)		854.8	96	853.7	94	851.9	84
MN & S RR (US)**		858.7	96	857.8	94	856.4	84
Ravine Storage Area		858.7	-----	857.8	-----	856.4	-----
Cortlawn Pond*		872.9	-----	872.6	-----	871.9	-----
East Ring Pond*		878.8	-----	878.1	-----	876.9	-----
78" RCP Equalizer	18,800	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
West Ring Pond*		878.8	-----	878.1	-----	876.9	-----
Ravine Storage Area Overflow***							
Glenwood Pond B		854.8	-----	853.7	-----	851.5	-----
MN & S RR (DS) *** (78" RCP)		854.8	433	853.7	373	851.5	274
MN & S RR (US) ***		857.1	433	855.0	373	851.8	274
Storage Area***		857.1	-----	855.0	-----	851.8	-----
Glenwood Ave (DS) *** (96" RCP)		857.1	434	855.0	374	851.8	274
Glenwood Ave (US) ***		858.7	434	857.9	374	856.4	274
Ravine Storage Area		858.7	-----	857.8	-----	856.4	-----
Inundation Areas							
Sweeney Lake		831.5					
Twin Lake		831.5					
Breck Pond		839.7		838.8		837.4	
Cortlawn Pond*		872.9		872.6		871.9	
East Ring Pond*		878.8		878.1		876.9	
West Ring Pond*		878.8		878.1		876.9	

³US of Union Pacific Railroad: XP-SWMM model for Sweeney Lake Branch LOMR (FEMA effective date of July 25, 2007); DS of Union Pacific Railroad: 1990 Bassett Creek Water Management Plan.

*West and East Ring Ponds connected to Cortlawn Pond and the Ravine storage area via a series of storm sewer pipes not listed in this table.

**Normal flows discharge from Ravine Storage Area east through 36" culvert at MN & S RR to Duck Pond; then north through 48" culvert at Glenwood Avenue to Glenwood Pond B

***High flows may discharge from Ravine Storage Area north through 96" culvert at Glenwood Avenue to storage area; then east through 78" culvert at MN & S RR to Glenwood Pond B

DS – Downstream

US - Upstream

Location (Description)	Creek Distance Above Main Stem (feet)	100-Year		50-Year		10-Year	
		Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)
MEDICINE LAKE BRANCH (PLYMOUTH CREEK) ⁴							
Medicine Lake		890.3	----	----	----	----	----
West Medicine Lake Drive (DS) (3-120" x 60" concrete box)	10,450	890.3	----	----	----	----	----
West Medicine Lake Drive (US)	-----	891.5	----	----	----	----	----
Slope change	13,000	895	----	----	----	----	----
Slope change	16,000	923	----	----	----	----	----
26 th Avenue N. (DS) (132" CMP)	16,500	925	----	----	----	----	----
26 th Avenue N. (US)	-----	925.5	----	----	----	----	----
28 th Avenue N. Dike (DS) (2-33" RCP)	-----	928	----	----	----	----	----
28 th Avenue N. Dike (US)	-----	930.8	----	----	----	----	----
County Road 61 (DS) (2-73" span RCPA)	-----	930.8	----	----	----	----	----
County Road 61 (US)	-----	931.2	----	----	----	----	----
Xenium Lane (DS) (3-88" span RCPA)	20,850	931.2	----	----	----	----	----
Xenium Lane (US)	-----	931.5	----	----	----	----	----
Slope Change	21,600	931.5	----	----	----	----	----
I-494 (DS) (154" span RCPA)	22,500	935	----	----	----	----	----
I-494 (US)	-----	938.5	----	----	----	----	----
Fernbrook Lane (DS) (15" CMPA)	25,000	947	----	----	----	----	----
Fernbrook Lane (US)	-----	948	----	----	----	----	----
Central Park Pond Outlet Structure (DS) (Weir with 51" span RCPA)	-----	949	----	----	----	----	----
Central Park Pond Outlet Structure (US)	-----	953	----	----	----	----	----
Slope change	27,600	953	----	----	----	----	----
37 th Avenue (3-72" RCPA)	28,900	956	----	----	----	----	----
County Road 9(3-72" RCPA)	30,450	959	----	----	----	----	----

⁴1990 Bassett Creek Water Management Plan

DS – Downstream

US - Upstream

Location (Description)	Creek Distance Above Main Stem (feet)	100-Year		50-Year		10-Year	
		Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)	Flood Elevation (feet)	Flow Rate (cfs)
MEDICINE LAKE BRANCH (PLYMOUTH CREEK) ⁴ (continued)							
Vicksburg Lane (DS) (3-72" RCPA)	31,300	961	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Vicksburg Lane (US)	-----	962	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Slope change	31,600	962	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Dunkirk Lane (US) (42" RCP)	34,450	979	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Dunkirk Lane (DS)	-----	982	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
T.H. 55 (DS) (42" RCPA)	38,300	982	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
T.H. 55 (US)	-----	982.5	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Inundation Areas							
Xenium Lane		931.5					
Central Park Pond		952					
Turtle Lake		964					
Rockford Road		968					
Dunkirk Lane		982					
Oak Knoll Pond ⁵		917.1					
Crane Lake ⁵		920.5					

⁴1990 Bassett Creek Water Management Plan

⁵2009 minor plan amendment

DS – Downstream

US - Upstream

2.8.5 Water Quantity Monitoring

2.8.5.1 Lake Levels

The BCWMC has collected water level data on several waterbodies since the 1970s. Water level periods of record and ordinary high water levels (OHWLs), if known, are presented in Table 2-10.

Table 2-10 BCWMC Priority Water Body Lake Levels

Priority Waterbody	MDNR Lakefinder Data http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/index.html		BCWMC Period of Record
	Period of Record	OHWL (feet)	
Cavanaugh (Sunset Hill) Pond	--	--	--
Crane Lake	--	--	1974 – present
Lost Lake	--	--	--
Medicine Lake	1926 – present	889.1	1972 – present
Northwood Lake	1993 – 1999	885.5	1993 – present
Parkers Lake	1960 – present	935.9	1972 – present
Sweeney Lake	1958 – 1999	827.7	1972 – present
Twin Lake	--	--	--
Turtle Lake	--	--	--
Westwood Lake	1974 – 1999	887.8	1974 – present
Wirth Lake	1883 – 2014	818.9	2006 – present

The BCWMC typically measures water levels twice per month during the open water period and once per month in winter. More detailed information is available from the MDNR lakefinder website (<http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/lakefind/index.html>) and from the BCWMC, upon request.

2.8.5.2 Stream Gaging and Flow Data

In 2000, the BCWMC, in cooperation with Metropolitan Council Environmental Services (MCES) and the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (MPRB), began monitoring flow and stage in the Main Stem of Bassett Creek as part of the Watershed Outlet Monitoring Program (WOMP, see Section 2.7.1.3). The Bassett Creek WOMP site is located at Irving Avenue, one-fourth mile upstream of the storm sewer tunnel that runs beneath downtown Minneapolis to the Mississippi River (see Figure 2-11). Data collection consists of continuous measurements of stage (which is converted to stream flow using a rating curve), temperature and conductivity,

2.8.6 Water Quantity Modeling

Water quantity modeling is necessary to establish flood levels and determine floodplain extents, design hydraulic structures adequate to meet their intended functions, and assess hydraulic impacts of projects proposed by the BCWMC and other entities. This section describes water quantity models developed by the BCWMC.

2.8.6.1 Watershed-wide XP-SWMM Model

The original hydrologic and hydraulic models of the Bassett Creek watershed were created in the 1970's. Although there have been significant changes in the watershed, there have only been minor updates to these original models, which were developed using the USACE's HEC-1 and HEC-2 programs. In 2012 and 2013, the BCWMC converted the HEC models to XP-SWMM, which is an integrated hydrologic-hydraulic model using the EPA's Storm Water Management Model (SWMM) with an interface developed by XP software. XP-SWMM was used for more detailed modeling in select areas of the watershed.

XP-SWMM allows for calculating both hydrology and hydraulics within one modeling program, rather than requiring two separate programs, as with the HEC-1 and HEC-2 models. The model update was split into two phases, with the first phase in 2012 and 2013 including:

- Updating watershed divides based on recent digital topographic data,
- Modifying hydrologic inputs (because of the changes in watershed divides and available methodology).
- Enhancing detail along the creeks by using updated channel geometry and current bridge and culvert geometry.

The updated XP-SWMM model divides the BCWMC into 55 subwatersheds (see Figure 2-17). This 2012-2013 modeling update did not include further subdividing watersheds, incorporating additional municipal storm sewers, or incorporating additional upstream stormwater storage.

The 2012 XP-SWMM model can be used to compare relative changes in flow rate (i.e., existing vs. proposed conditions runoff rates), or relative changes in water surface elevations (i.e., existing vs. proposed conditions maximum water surface elevations in the creeks or storage areas). The 2012 XP-SWMM model is not intended to be used to determine absolute flow or water surface results (i.e., flood elevations based on a particular design storm event). Model updates necessary to accurately estimate absolute flow and water surface elevations would require:

- Subdividing the 55 watersheds (from the original HEC-1 model) into approximately 850 watersheds (consistent to the watersheds in the P8 water quality model),
- Incorporating additional municipal storm sewer systems between upstream modeled ponds,
- Integrating detailed storage in modeled ponds upstream of the creek system, and

- Incorporating Atlas 14 precipitation depths (see Section 2.2) and updated soils data (see Section 2.4).

By incorporating these changes, the modeled runoff rates to the creek system will likely more realistically represent actual conditions, resulting in an acceptable calibration. If a second phase of model improvement is implemented, the resultant XP-SWMM model could be used to determine (and compare) absolute water surface elevations and flow rates. The revised model results could be beneficial to the BCWMC and member cities for revising the BCWMC's jurisdictional flood elevations. The results could also be submitted to FEMA for possible use in future Hennepin County flood insurance rate maps. The model could also be useful to the member cities to assess flood elevations at other ponds or wetlands throughout the watershed.

2.9 Natural Communities and Rare Species

Prior to settlement, the Bassett Creek watershed was covered by two major natural communities. From the Mississippi River to Medicine Lake, a predominantly oak forest interrupted by tall grass prairie and marsh covered the watershed. A dense deciduous forest known as the "Big Woods" covered the area west of Medicine Lake. Elm, sugar maple, and basswood are representative Big Woods tree species. Although scattered remnants of this forest are still present throughout much of its original range, only two remnants are present within the BCWMC, according to the map *Natural Communities and Rare Species of Carver, Hennepin, and Scott Counties* (Minnesota County Biological Survey, 1998). Minnesota Land Cover Classification System (MLCCS) information is currently available for the entire Bassett Creek watershed making it a good source of information that can be used as a management tool.

Natural vegetation in the Bassett Creek watershed over time has been greatly altered by agricultural development and urbanization. In recent years, due to limited areas for expansion, former agricultural areas have been urbanized. Remaining vegetation in the watershed is typical of that found at the interface between the Eastern Deciduous Forest and the Temperate Grassland. In addition to the forested areas, numerous wetlands were once present in the central and eastern portions of the watershed, but the majority have been drained or filled for development. Remaining wetland areas are concentrated in the western part of the watershed and some are the remnants of approximately 1,500 acres of marsh, which once existed between Medicine Lake and the southeast corner of the watershed. The county biological survey map notes the presence of a tamarack swamp in Theodore Wirth Park. The National Heritage Information System (NHIS) also notes five occurrences of federally- or state-listed rare animal species in the watershed (see Figure 2-10). One location is near Ridgedale Center in Minnetonka, two locations are just south and west of Medicine Lake, and two others are near Wirth Lake. Blanding's turtles, trumpeter swans, peregrine falcons, and hooded warblers are rare species that occur in the watershed, and the habitat for these species should be protected and improved where feasible.

2.10 Fish and Wildlife Habitat

2.10.1 MDNR Fisheries Surveys and Stocking

2.10.1.1 Medicine Lake

Medicine Lake offers a diverse sport fish community consisting of black crappie, bluegill, largemouth bass, pumpkinseed, northern pike, walleye, and yellow perch. Fishing access is available from two boat launches, one of which is a public launch maintained by the Three Rivers Park District (see Figure 2-18). Since 1999, the MDNR has stocked walleye every other year. The most recent fish survey was performed by the MDNR in 2012.

The relative abundance and size of northern pike have increased over three surveys from 2006 to 2012. The abundance of walleye is less than average for this type of lake in the 2012 survey, although the sampled fish were of good size. The abundance of yellow perch has steadily declined since 2000. Bluegill and black crappie were caught in average abundances and at average sizes.

Due to the presence of mercury in fish tissue, fish consumption guidelines for Medicine Lake are in effect for bluegill sunfish, bullhead, carp, crappie, largemouth bass, and northern pike.

2.10.1.2 Parkers Lake

Boat access to Parkers Lake is through a city-maintained concrete boat ramp on the north end of the lake and shore access is available from a public fishing pier located on the west side of the lake (see Figure 2-18). The MDNR most recently performed a fish survey in Parkers Lake in 2007. That survey identified northern pike and bluegill as the most abundant gamefish, but also noted largemouth bass as an important game fish in this lake. Northern pike abundance was at an all-time high for Parkers Lake in 2007. Bluegill abundance was high compared with similar lakes and was the highest bluegill abundance observed for Parkers Lake. Other sunfish species sampled during the 2007 survey include pumpkinseed, hybrid, and green sunfish. Black crappie and yellow bullhead were also present.

Due to the presence of mercury in fish tissue, fish consumption guidelines for Parkers Lake are in effect for bluegill sunfish, bullhead, northern pike, and white sucker.

2.10.1.3 Sweeney Lake and Twin Lake

The MDNR performed a fish survey on Sweeney Lake and Twin Lake in 1991 and an electrofishing assessment of fish on Sweeney Lake in 2013. The 1991 fish survey identified northern pike, largemouth bass, several species of sunfish (pumpkinseed, green, bluegill, and hybrid), crappie, carp, black and yellow bullhead, and white sucker in both lakes. Golden shiner was the only species identified in Twin Lake but not in Sweeney Lake. Yellow perch and white crappie were present in Sweeney Lake but not in Twin Lake.

In the 2013 MDNR survey of Sweeney Lake, 13 different species were sampled including bluegill, largemouth bass, black crappie, and yellow bullhead as the most abundant, respectively. Seven common carp were netted and measured. One buffalo (*Ictiobus* sp.) was observed but could not be netted. No gizzard shad were sampled in the 4 standard transects. However, areas of "rippling" water were observed off shore. Upon investigation, these "ripples" were found to be caused by schools of gizzard shad. The

water was calm and the schools were observed in many areas throughout the lake. All gizzard shad that were shocked ranged from 3 to 5 inches. The MDNR reported that gizzard shad are not common in lakes of this type but they seemed relatively abundant in Sweeney Lake.

The BCWMC performed a trapnet fish survey of Sweeney and Twin Lakes in 2013. The 2013 survey sampled 11 fish species in Sweeney Lake and 10 species in Twin Lake. Bluegill sunfish and black crappie were the most abundant fish observed in Sweeney Lake. Gamefish present in Sweeney Lake included largemouth bass and northern pike. Bluegill sunfish and yellow bullhead were the most abundant fish observed in Twin Lake. The number of fish caught per net was generally lower in Twin Lake than in Sweeney Lake in 2013.

Due to the presence of mercury in fish tissue, fish consumption guidelines for Sweeney Lake are in effect for largemouth bass.

2.10.1.4 Wirth Lake

Wirth Lake has a public fishing pier and public boat launch. Wirth Lake is part of the MDNR's Fishing in the Neighborhood program. Fishing in the Neighborhood is aimed at increasing fishing opportunities, public awareness and environmental stewardship within the seven-county metro region. Wirth Lake was most recently surveyed by the MDNR during the summer of 2012.

Bluegill abundance has increased since 2007 and considered average for this lake type. The bluegill population is dominated by smaller fish and bluegill growth rates were below average. Black crappie abundance has increased since 2007 and considered average for this lake type. Northern pike abundance was less than 2007, but still considered average for this lake type; the population is dominated by larger individuals. Yellow perch abundance has increased since 2007 and considered average for this lake type. Other fish species sampled in the 2012 survey include black bullhead, brown bullhead, green sunfish, largemouth bass, pumpkinseed, and yellow bullhead.

Walleye have been sampled in past surveys but none were captured in 2012 despite stocking adult walleye every five years (most recently in 2007 and 2012). Walleye fingerlings were stocked in 2011 and 2008.

Due to the presence of mercury in fish tissue, fish consumption guidelines for Wirth Lake are in effect for carp, crappie, northern pike, walleye and white sucker.

2.10.2 Aquatic Plant (Macrophyte) Monitoring

Aquatic plants, or macrophytes, are a natural and integral part of most lake communities. A lake's aquatic plants, generally located in the shallow areas near the shoreline of the lake provide habitat for fish, insects, and small invertebrates, provide food for waterfowl, fish and wildlife, produce oxygen, provide spawning areas for fish, help stabilize and protect shorelines from wave erosion, and provide nesting sites for waterfowl.

The BCWMC has performed macrophyte surveys of most of its priority waterbodies. Macrophyte surveys are generally performed during the same year as BCWMC chemical water quality monitoring and include two surveys (typically June and August). Macrophyte monitoring includes the identification of key invasive macrophytes (e.g., curlyleaf pondweed and Eurasian watermilfoil) that are present in the waterbodies.

Curlyleaf pondweed is an invasive aquatic macrophyte that displaces native aquatic species. Because of the timing of its growth and die-back cycle, curlyleaf pondweed can be a significant source of phosphorus in a lake during the mid-summer months. Eurasian watermilfoil is another invasive macrophyte that can displace native species and significantly interfere with the recreational uses of a lake by forming dense mats at the water surface.

Eurasian watermilfoil has been identified in the following BCWMC waterbodies:

- Medicine Lake
- Parkers Lake
- Wirth Lake

Curlyleaf pondweed has been identified in the following BCWMC waterbodies:

- Crane Lake
- Lost Lake
- Medicine Lake
- Northwood Lake
- Sweeney Lake
- Westwood Lake
- Wirth Lake

The BCWMC identified curlyleaf pondweed in Twin Lake in 2000; curlyleaf pondweed was not identified in macrophyte surveys performed in 2005 and 2008. Future macrophyte monitoring efforts planned by the BCWMC are described in the BCWMC monitoring plan (see Appendix A).

2.10.3 Wetland Health Evaluation Program

Hennepin County coordinates the Wetland Health Evaluation Program (WHEP). Through the program, volunteers are trained and work as part of a community-based team to collect data on wetland plants and macroinvertebrates using sampling methods and evaluation metrics developed by the MPCA to evaluate wetland health. Metrics are developed for vegetation and invertebrates and converted to an A through F grade (Hennepin County grading scale) or a poor/moderate/excellent rank (MPCA grading scale).

Generally, cities utilize WHEP data as baseline data for specific sites to monitor changes over time. BCWMC member cities have periodically participated in WHEP. BCWMC member cities and partners most recently participating in WHEP include the City of Minnetonka and the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board.


2.11 Pollutant Sources

The sources of water pollution in the Bassett Creek are many and varied. There are many permitted sites, hazardous waste generators, and contaminated sites within the BCWMC. The MPCA maintains a database of these sites, which includes permitted sites (air, industrial stormwater, construction stormwater, wastewater discharge), hazardous waste generating sites, leak sites, petroleum brownfields, tank sites, unpermitted dump sites, and sites enrolled in the Voluntary Investigation and Cleanup (VIC) program. This information is available online through the MPCA's What's In My Neighborhood program, and is presented in Figure 2-19. The location of these potentially contaminated or hazardous waste sites should be considered as sites are redeveloped and BMPs are implemented. The presence of soil contamination at many of these sites may limit or prevent infiltration as a stormwater management option

In contrast to sites with known hazards, non-point source pollution cannot be traced to a single source or pipe. Instead, pollutants are carried from land to water in stormwater or snowmelt runoff, in seepage through the soil, and in atmospheric transport. Discharge from stormwater pipes is considered a non-point source discharge as the pollutants coming from the pipe are generated across the watershed contributing to the pipe, not at a single location. Point sources frequently discharge continuously throughout the year, while non-point sources discharge in response to precipitation or snowmelt events. For most waterbodies, non-point source runoff, especially stormwater runoff, is the major contributor of pollutants. Table 2-4 summarizes the principal pollutants found in stormwater runoff and provides example sources and possible impacts of each pollutant.

Some areas within the BCWMC are served by subsurface sewage treatment systems (SSTS). Failing or substandard SSTS may be a non-point source of pollutants. Improperly sited, installed or maintained systems may achieve inadequate treatment of sewage. In addition to the public health risks of untreated or inadequately treated sewage (e.g., contamination of wells), sewage contains the nutrient phosphorus, which if discharged into waterbodies can cause excessive algae and aquatic plant growth leading to degradation in water quality. The MPCA implements an SSTS regulatory program to manage the environmental and public health impacts of SSTS.

Figures



-  County Boundary
- Metro Watershed Management Organizations (WMO)**
-  Watershed District
-  Joint Powers (JP) WMO
-  County WMO



DRAFT




Figure 1-1

LOCATION MAP
 Bassett Creek Watershed
 Management Organization
 2014 Management Plan



**Bassett Creek Watershed
 Management Commission**

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.2.2, 2014-11-11 21:09 File: I:\Client\BassettCreek\Work_Orders\2013\2014 Management Plan\Figure 2-1 - Current Land Use.mxd User: jrv



Source: Metropolitan Council; 2010

- | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|
| Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission | Metropolitan Council 2010 Generalized Landuse | Mixed Use |
| Major Subwatersheds | Agricultural | Park, Recreational or Preserve |
| Creeks | Single Family Residential | Rights-of-Way (i.e., Roads) |
| | Multifamily Residential | Railway (inc. LRT) |
| | Commercial | Vacant or Unknown |
| | Industrial | Water |
| | Institutional | |

DRAFT





Figure 2-1

2010 LAND USE
 Bassett Creek Watershed
 Management Commission
 2014 Management Plan

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.2.2, 2014-11-11 21:47 File: I:\Client\BassettCreek\Work Orders\2013\2014 Management Plan\Figure 2-2 - Future Land Use.mxd User: iv



Source: Metropolitan Council; 2014

- | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|
| Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission | Metropolitan Council - Planned Land Use | Mixed Use |
| Major Subwatersheds | Agricultural | Park and Recreation |
| Creeks | Single Family Residential | Rights-of-Way (i.e., Roads) |
| | Multifamily Residential | Railway (inc. LRT) |
| | Commercial | Vacant or Unknown |
| | Industrial | Open Water |
| | Institutional | |

DRAFT





Figure 2-2

2020 LAND USE
 Bassett Creek Watershed
 Management Commission
 2014 Management Plan

Average High and Low Temperatures



Average Monthly Precipitation





Figure 2-3
Average Monthly High & Low
Temperatures and Precipitation
for Minneapolis-St. Paul
International Airport
(1981-2010)

Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.2.2, 2014-11-24 13:55 File: I:\Client\BassettCreek\Work_Orders\2013\2014_Management_Plan\Maps\Reports\2014_Management_Plan\Figure 2-4_Topoography and Steep Slopes.mxd User: JRV

Source: MN DNR; 2011



- Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission
 - Major Subwatersheds
 - Creeks
 - Erosion
 - Sedimentation
- MN DNR LiDAR, 2011**
 (Feet)
 1,070
 800

DRAFT





Figure 2-4

TOPOGRAPHY AND STEEP SLOPES
 Bassett Creek Watershed
 Management Commission
 2014 Management Plan



Bar Footer: ArcGIS 10.2.2, 2014-11-11 21:58 File: I:\Client\BassettCreek\Work Orders\2013\2014 Management Plan\Figure 2-5 - Hydrologic Soil Groups.mxd User: iv



Source: NRCS; 2014

Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission

Hydrologic Soil Groups

-  A
-  A/D
-  B
-  B/D
-  C
-  C/D
-  Not rated or not available

 Creeks

DRAFT




Figure 2-5

HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUPS
 Bassett Creek Watershed
 Management Commission
 2014 Management Plan





Figure 2-6
Generalized Geologic Section

Bar: Footer: ArcGIS 10.2.2_2014-11-12 08:34 File: I:\Client\BassettCreek\Work_Orders\2013\2014_Management_Plan\Maps\Reports\2014_Management_Plan\Figure 2-7 - Wellhead Protection Areas.mxd User: iv



Source: MN Dept. of Health; 2014

-  Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission
-  Creeks
-  Wellhead Protection
-  Municipal Wells

DRAFT





Figure 2-7

WELLHEAD PROTECTION AREAS
 Bassett Creek Watershed
 Management Commission
 2014 Management Plan



Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.2.2, 2014-11-24 13:51 File: I:\Client\BassettCreek\Work_Orders\2013\2014_Management_Plan\Maps\Reports\2014_Management_Plan\Figure 2-8 - BCWMC Subwatersheds.mxd User: JRV



- Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission
- Major Subwatersheds
- Lakes and Ponds
- BCWMC Priority Streams
- Other Streams
- Flow Directions

DRAFT





Figure 2-8

BCWMC MAJOR SUBWATERSHEDS
AND DRAINAGE PATTERNS
Bassett Creek Watershed
Management Commission
2014 Management Plan





Source: Public Water Inventory; 2014

-  Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission
-  Major Subwatersheds
-  Flow Directions
-  Public Water Inventory Basins
-  Public Water Inventory Watercourses
-  Public Ditches

DRAFT





Figure 2-9

PUBLIC WATERS INVENTORY
AND PUBLIC DITCHES
Bassett Creek Watershed
Management Commission
2014 Management Plan



Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.2.2, 2014-09-18 12:41 File: I:\Client\BassettCreek\Work Orders\2013\2014 Management Plan\Maps\Reports\2014 Management Plan\Figure 2-10 - NWI and Biological Survey.mxd User: iv



NHIS Source: MN DNR; 2013
 NWI Source: MN DNR; 2011

- | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission | NHIS Rare Natural Features |
| Major Subwatersheds | Vertebrate Animal |
| Creeks | Community |
| Wetlands (MN DNR NWI East Central Update) | Invertebrate Animal |
| | Vascular Plant |
| | Animal Assemblage |

DRAFT





Figure 2-10

NATIONAL WETLAND INVENTORY
 AND BIOLOGICAL SURVEY
 Bassett Creek Watershed
 Management Commission
 2014 Management Plan





Source: MPCA; 2013

-  Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission
-  Major Subwatersheds
-  Flow Directions
-  Creeks
-  Water Quality Monitoring Station (BCWMC/CAMP)
-  Biotic Monitoring Locations (BCWMC)
-  WOMP Station

DRAFT




Figure 2-11

WATER QUALITY AND STREAM BIOTIC MONITORING LOCATIONS
Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission
2014 Management Plan



Barr Footer: ArcGIS 10.2.2, 2014-11-12 08:39 File: I:\Client\BassettCreek\Work_Orders\2013\2014_Management_Plan\Maps\BassettCreek\Monitoring_Locations.mxd User: jrv