

June 13, 2018

Mr. Mark Ray, P.E. Director of Public Works 4141 Douglas Dr. N. Crystal, MN, 55422-1696

Re: 90% Design Plans – Winnetka Pond Dredging Project City of Crystal Project 2018-04

Dear Mr. Ray:

Attached please find the 90% design plans for the Winnetka Pond Dredging Project. The Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission (BCWMC) is funding the Winnetka Pond Dredging Project (BCWMC CIP project BCP-2: Bassett Creek Park Pond Phase I Dredging Project) through a 2018 ad valorem levy (via Hennepin County). Per the cooperative agreement between the City of Crystal and the BCWMC, the city is to construct the project, and the plans and specifications are subject to approval by the Commission. Also, per the agreement, the 90% design plans for this project must be submitted to the BCWMC for review and approval. If the attached 90% plans meet the city's approval, we recommend submitting them, along with this letter, to the BCWMC for inclusion in the meeting packet for their June 21st meeting. Barr staff will present the 90% plans to the BCWMC at the meeting and answer any questions from the BCWMC.

The remainder of this letter presents information about the feasibility study, the design features of the project, and approval/permitting needs.

Feasibility Study Summary and Selected Project

The BCWMC completed the *Feasibility Report for Bassett Creek Park Pond and Winnetka Pond East Dredging Project (May 2017)* to evaluate options for dredging accumulated sediment from Bassett Creek Park Pond and Winnetka Pond. The BCWMC selected completing the Winnetka Pond East alternative 3 project (deepening to 6.0 feet), along with add-on 1 (native buffer) and add-on 2 (goose management). The selected project will provide water quality improvement by (1) providing additional permanent pool storage for sedimentation and to prevent re-suspension of sediment, (2) minimizing downstream transport of sediment, (3) filtering pollutants such as phosphorus, sediment, and bacteria from stormwater runoff, and (4) reducing phosphorus and bacteria loads from geese.

Earlier in the design process, City of Crystal staff met with the Winnetka Village Apartments management staff to discuss the native buffer and goose management measures. As a result of these discussions and further discussion at the March 20th city council workshop, the city council decided to move ahead with installing the native buffer, and to continue to manage goose populations at Winnetka Pond (and other waterbodies along the North Branch).

Design features – 90% plans

The primary design features of the proposed work, as shown on the attached 90% plans, include:

1. Pond dredging. The design calls for removal of approximately 18,500 cubic yards of accumulated sediment and native soils to deepen the pond to a depth of 6 feet (the feasibility study estimated 18,400 cubic yards of excavation). As originally designed, the pond depth was only 2 feet. A large

portion of the original volume has now been filled in with accumulated sediment, allowing for increased sediment resuspension and transport downstream. (No change in pond dredging amounts from 50% design). Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) staff have indicated that increasing the depth to 6 feet is justifiable, although formal approval is needed (see "Approvals/permit requirements" section).

- 2. Maintenance access. The design includes providing maintenance access at two locations. The west access point is a 12-foot-wide vehicle ramp at a 10% maximum slope. This access point will be used for construction hauling traffic. The east access near the outlet structure will allow for maintenance vehicle parking while city crews perform routine maintenance at the outlet structure. Both access locations will have turf reinforcement to prevent rutting and compaction and will be maintained as native buffer or turf grass. This design feature was not identified in the feasibility study. (No change from 50% design.)
- 3. Outlet structure modifications. To reduce the frequency of obstructed flows, the design includes removing the existing grate and installing a new hinged grate with sloping bars. The design will also allow maintenance crews to clean the new grate more effectively and easily than the current structure. The existing plywood weir will be replaced with a concrete weir of the same dimensions, elevations, and orifice size/shape to ensure no change in flood elevations or outflow. The joints of the downstream 42-inch pipe have separated, which allows soil to infiltrate into the pipe. The project includes replacing these sections of pipe. (No change from 50% design.)
- 4. Erosion repair and new storm sewer installation. The runoff from the existing driveway curb cuts has resulted in visible erosion along the slopes, forming channels on both sides of the driveway, and depositing sediment in the pond. The design calls for installing new storm sewer inlets at each curb cut location and directing that stormwater through pipes into the existing box culvert that connects the east and west ponds. This design feature was not identified in the feasibility study, as the issue was identified later, during the existing condition field evaluation, where it became apparent the project would need to address the problem. (No change from 50% design.)
- 5. Expanding the existing vegetated buffer. To improve erosion control and the filtering of stormwater runoff, the design calls for removing the vegetation within the existing buffer and expanding the footprint. The restored buffer will be planted with native plant species. The buffer will be a minimum 30 feet in width and includes a 10 foot wide mow strip along the driveway perimeter. The area of the expanded buffer is approximately 1.1 acres (the feasibility study estimated a buffer area of 0.85 acres). (No change in buffer area since 50% design.) Because a portion of the buffer is on private property and outside of any existing easements, the city is in the process of acquiring a permanent easement over both the buffer area that is located on private property (on the far west end of the pond) and the very west portion of the pond. Once acquired, the easement will allow the City to plant and maintain the buffer, and perform any future pond or storm sewer maintenance. The city anticipates completing the easement acquisition by August 2018.
- 6. Goose management. At the March 20th work session, the city council decided to continue goose management at Winnetka pond by city staff. The city is currently performing goose management in the form of egg addling at other locations within the city (Bassett Creek Park Pond). City staff performed goose management at Winnetka Pond in the past, turned it over to the apartment

management staff, but the apartment management staff subsequently discontinued goose management activities. (No change from 50% design.)

Opinion of cost

The table below summarizes our opinion of costs, based on the 90% design plans:

Table 1Opinion of Cost Summary

Item Description	Cost
Project costs eligible for BCWMC reimbursement:	
Pond dredging and general work	\$ 536,000
Other pond improvements	\$ 47,000
Native buffer	\$ 17,000
Existing drainage corrections	\$ 23,000
Goose management	\$ 0 ¹
Total estimated construction costs	\$ 623,000
Contingency (+15%)	\$ 93,000
Engineering costs	\$ 82,500
Total construction and engineering costs	\$ 798,500
Other project costs that the city requests the BCV	WMC consider for reimbursement:
Easement acquisition costs (engineering and legal services only)	\$ 3,000 ²

¹ Work already performed by city staff

² Costs include easement development and recording, but not purchasing of easement.

The total estimated construction and engineering costs (\$798,500) shown above are less than the 50% design estimated costs (\$830,000). However, the pond dredging costs are 75% of the total estimated construction cost. A small increase in the unit price (cost per cubic yard of pond dredging) will have a significant impact on total project cost. If the low bidder construction cost results in the total project cost being over budget the pond depth could be reduced to lower the total project cost accordingly.

The detailed cost estimate is also attached.

Per the cooperative agreement between the city and the BCWMC, the BCWMC's total reimbursement for this project may not exceed \$1,000,000, less Commission expenses. The current balance (as of May 9, 2018) in the CIP budget for this project is \$938,930.75. The total estimated construction and engineering costs (\$798,500), plus easement acquisition costs (engineering and legal services only) are well within the reimbursable costs allowed for this project.

Approvals/permit requirements

In addition to BCWMC approval of the plans, other permits/approvals will be required for this project.

Permit applications have been submitted for the following:

 MDNR public waters work permit. Winnetka Pond is a MDNR Public Water (#27062900P) and the MDNR requires a Public Waters Work Permit for any work below the ordinary high water level (OHWL). Winnetka Pond East was created in about 1968 as part of the Winnetka Village Apartments development. Because the project pre-dates permitting, MDNR and United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) permits were not required. Typically, removal of accumulated sediment is permitted with some documentation, such as the available original construction drawings for the site. Deepening the pond to 6 feet involves additional permitting considerations because it requires excavating into native material in a MDNR public water wetland, which is also under jurisdiction of the USACE. Barr contacted the MDNR area hydrologist after we submitted the permit application and he indicated that the sediment removal and trapping that would be achieved by the 6-foot excavation are sufficient justification for the project. We anticipate MDNR permit approval around mid-August 2018.

- Wetland Conservation Act (WCA) permit. There is a narrow fringe of WCA wetland above the MDNR OHWL at the southeastern and eastern sides of the pond. Site access through this area is needed during construction, which will cause temporary wetland impacts within the WCA wetland (approximately 350 square feet). This will be considered a no-loss under MN Rules 8420.0415 H, as long as the disturbed areas are restored back to original elevation, and vegetation is restored within six months of the start of activity. The project will also result in permanent wetland impacts (approximately 130 square feet) due to the fill required to allow for routine maintenance access within this portion of WCA wetland; the area of permanent wetland fill is within the allowable de minimis exemption amount (<400 square feet). We submitted a joint application form requesting approval of both the WCA no-loss and de minimis exemption.
- USACE joint permit application (Section 404 permit and Section 401 Certification), although the
 permit is not required. Submitting this application formally documents that the project will follow
 the rules for work in a USACE jurisdictional wetland. This is the same application as required for
 the WCA permit. The USACE may consider the pond a "previously-authorized structure," which
 will simplify permitting. As long as there is no re-grading of the pond bottom, the USACE does
 not consider it a wetland impact and therefore the USACE does not regulate the activity.

A Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) Construction Stormwater General Permit is required for construction activity if land disturbance outside of the pond dredging is greater than 1 acre. However, the permit does not consider disturbance of less than 5 acres for the purpose of routine maintenance as construction activity. Managing or improving the existing vegetation around the pond falls under routine maintenance. The remaining construction activity that does not fall under routine maintenance is less than 1 acre, therefore a permit (along with a SWPPP) is not required. Although a SWPPP is not required, the plans include erosion and sediment control measures as needed.

Recommendations

We recommend that the city request 1) BCWMC approval of the 90% drawings, 2) BCWMC authorization for the city to proceed with final plans, contract documents, and permitting, and 3) BCWMC consideration of reimbursement for easement development and acquisition costs.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 952-832-2813 or kchandler@barr.com.

Sincerely,

Karen L. Chandler

Karen L. Chandler, P.E. Vice President

CITY OF CRYSTAL WINNETKA POND DREDGING PROJECT ENGINEERS OPINION OF COST

DATED JUNE 13, 2018

			ESTIMATED					
M&P Item	ITEM DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	U	NIT PRICE	E	XTENSION	
	POND DREDGING AND GENERAL WORK							
1 06 A		15	1	\$	31,000,00	\$	31,000,00	
1.06.R		15	1	\$	20,000,00	\$	20,000,00	
1.06.0		FACH	1	\$	2 500 00	¢ \$	2 500 00	
1.06 D		15	1	\$	2,000,00	\$ \$	2,000,00	
1.00.D		FACH	6	\$	200.00	\$ \$	1 200 00	
1.06.E	SILT FENCE	L, (CIT	850	\$	2 50	\$ \$	2 1 2 5 0 0	
1 06 G	REMOVE STORM SEWER PIPE 42" RCP	L F	28	\$	35.00	\$	980.00	
1.06.H	REMOVE EXISTING WEIR AND TRASH RACK	LS	1	\$	1.000.00	\$	1.000.00	
1.06.I	REMOVE TREE	EACH	1	\$	500.00	\$	500.00	
1.06.J	REMOVE FALLEN TREES AND DEBRIS	LS	1	\$	5.000.00	\$	5.000.00	
1.06.K	COMMON EXCAVATION	CY	600	\$	12.00	\$	7,200.00	
2100111	POND DREDGING OF MPCA DREDGED MATERIAL LEVEL 1			÷	12.00	¥	.,	
1.06.L	REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL	CY	18,500	\$	25.00	\$	462,500.00	
					SUBTOTAL	\$	536,005.00	
	OTHER POND IMPROVEMENTS							
1.06 M	STORM SEWER PIPE 42" RCP CLASS 3	IF	28	\$	220.00	\$	6 1 6 0 0 0	
1.06.0		FACH	20	\$	300.00	\$ \$	600.00	
1.00.0 1.06 P		TON	76	φ \$	65.00	¢	4 940 00	
1.06.0	CONCRETE WEIR	101	1	\$	3 000 00	\$	3,000,00	
1.00.0		15	1	\$	9,000.00	\$	9,000.00	
1.00.5 1.06 T		CY	170	\$	12.00	\$ \$	2 040 00	
1.06.1		СҮ	100	\$	30.00	\$	3,000,00	
1.00.0 1.06 V		15	1	\$	10 000 00	\$	10,000,00	
1.06 W		CY	100	\$	60.00	\$	6.000.00	
1 06 Y	SEEDING LOW MAINTENANCE TURE	ACRE	0.4	\$	1,500,00	\$	600.00	
1.06 BB		15	1	\$	2,000,00	\$	2,000,00	
				SUBTOTAL		\$	47,340.00	
	NATIVE RUFFER							
1.06 X	POND BUFFER VEGETATION REMOVAL	ACRE	0.5	\$	4 500 00	\$	2 250 00	
1.00.X		ACRE	11	\$	8 800 00	\$ \$	9,680,00	
1.06.44	DISC-AHCHORED STRAW MILLCH	ACRE	1.1	\$	2 000 00	\$	2 200 00	
1.06.00	ONE YEAR SEEDING WARRANTY AND ESTABLISHMENT		1	\$	3,000,00	\$ \$	3,000,00	
1.00.00			Ŧ	Ψ	SUBTOTAI	¢	17 130 00	
						Ψ	17,150.00	
1.00.0			1	¢	200.00	¢	200.00	
1.06.G		LS	1	\$	200.00	\$	200.00	
1.06.1		EACH	1	\$	500.00	\$ ¢	500.00	
1.06.M		LS	152	\$ ¢	300.00	\$ ¢	300.00	
1.00.IVI			202	\$ ¢	2 000 00	¢	4,590.00	
1.00.N		EACH	3	¢	2,000.00	¢		
1.00.1			2	\$ \$	2,500.00	¢	1 600 00	
1.00.0			2	\$ \$	65.00	¢ \$	1,000.00	
1.00.P			0 2	¢	1 000 00	¢ ¢	2 000 00	
1.00.K		EACH		\$ \$	1,000.00	¢ ¢	3,000.00	
1.00.2		LJ	L	Ą	SUBTOTAL	۹ ۲	22 710 00	
	CONTINGENCY (+15%)							
			ENGINE	ERI	NG TOTAL	\$	82,500.00	
			PR	Ol	ECT TOTAL	\$	798,685.00	

WINNETKA POND DREDGING PROJECT CITY OF CRYSTAL CRYSTAL, MN



ENGINEER: BARR ENGINEERING CO.

OWNER: CITY OF CRYSTAL

A300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55435 PH: 952-842-3593 FAX: 952-832-2601 WWW.BARR.COM CONTACT: PATRICK BROCKAMP

CITY of CRYSTAL

COORDINATE SYSTEM: HENNEPIN COUNTY

PROJECT LOCATION -



VICINITY MAP



	SHEET INDEX						
NO.	SHEET NAME						
G-01	TITLE SHEET AND SITE LOCATION MAP						
G-02	EXISTING CONDITIONS, REMOVALS, & EROSION CONTROL PLAN						
G-03	EROSION CONTROL DETAILS						
C-01	GRADING PLAN						
C-02	GRADING SECTIONS						
C-03	STORM SEWER PLAN, PROFILES, AND DETAILS						
C-04	NATIVE BUFFER AND RESTORATION PLAN						
C-05	MISCELLANEOUS DETAILS						
S-01	OUTLET STRUCTURE SECTIONS AND DETAILS						

	<i>90% DRAFT FOR REVIEW</i>			
WINNETKA POND DREDGING PROJECT	BARR PROJECT No. 23/27-1622.00 CLIENT PROJECT No.			
TITLE SHEET AND SITE LOCATION MAP	2018-04 DWG. No. G-01	REV. No. B		















FE3 SIZE (IN)	RIFRAF LENGTH (FT)	RIPRAP CLASS	RIFRAF DEFTH (IN)	RIFRAF QTT (CT)
12	8		18	5
42	18	=	18	19
48x84 (4'x7') RCPB	12	Ш	18	15
OUTLET STRUCTURE	4	Ш	18	12

- NOTES: 1. GEOTEXTILE FABRIC SHOULD COVER THE AREA OF THE RIPRAP AND EXTEND UNDER THE PIPE END APRON 3 FEET MINIMUM. 2. DIMENSIONS AND QUANTITIES REFERENCE MINIDOT STANDARD PLATES 3133D AND 3134D.







NOT TO SCALE

C-01

`____` ⊲___

EXISTING SIDEWALK

NEENAH R4342 RIM ELEVATION 0.2' LOWER THAN EXISTING CURB CUT

SIDE VIEW





ш ¥						I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATION, OR	CLIENT	03/08/18 06/1:	8/18		—			Project Office:	Scale	AS SHOWN	
2						REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED	BID					·		BARR ENGINEERING CO	Date	06/13/2018	1
PAT						PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE	CONSTRUCTION					·	DADI	4300 MARKETPOINTE DRIVE	Drawn	CMH2	CITY OF CRYSTAL
ΧL						STATE OF MINNESOTA.			_				DAR	Suite 200		CIVING	
s L	B CM	13 PEB	PEB	06/13/2018	90% DRAFT FOR REVIEW	PRINTED NAME PATRICK BROCKAMP			_			·		MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55435	Checked	PEB	CRYSTAL MINNESOTA
e C	A CM	13 PEB	PEB	03/08/2018	50% DRAFT FOR REVIEW	SIGNATURE		A E	3 C	0	1	2 3	Corporate Headquarters:	Ph: 1-800-632-2277	Designed	BARR	
8	ю. в`	снк	. APP.	DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	DATELICENSE #	TO/FOR		DATE	RELE/	ASED		Ph: 1-800-632-2277	Fax: (952) 832-2601 www.barr.com	Approved		

2% MIN SLOPE AWAY FROM CURB

1 NETLON ATS TO BE INSTALLED AT A DENSITY OF ONE BALE PER FIVE (5) CY OF FILTRATION SOIL, OR APPROVED EQUAL.

12" FILTRATION SOIL WITH NETLON ADVANCED TURF SYSTEM FIBERS MIXED INTO SOIL, OR APPROVED EQUAL.

DETAIL: POLYMER TURF REINFORCEMENT - MAINTENANCE ACCESS

	<i>90% DRAFT FOR REVIEW</i>				
WINNETKA POND DREDGING PROJECT	BARR PROJECT №. 23/27-1622.00 CLIENT PROJECT №.				
MISCELLANEOUS DETAILS	2018-04 DWG. No. C-05	REV. No. B			



THE MANUFACTURE OR FABRICATION OF ANY ITEMS PRIOR TO WRITTEN REVIEW OF REQUIRED SUBMITTALS WILL BE ENTIRELY AT THE RISK OF THE CONTRACTOR.

1. SUBMIT CONCRETE MIX DESIGN FOR REVIEW COMPLYING WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THESE SPECIFICATIONS.

7. ADMIXTURES: CHLORIDE FREE WATER REDUCING ADMIXTURE AND SUPERPLASTICIZER AS IN ACCORDANCE WITH

8. CURING MATERIALS: WATER PER ASTM C1602, MEMBRANE CURING PER ASTM C309 OR ASTM C1315, OR WATERPROOF SHEETS PER ASTM C171

10. HYDROPHILIC WATERSTOP: SIKA SWELLSTOP II (3/8" x 3/4") HYDROPHILIC WATER STOP COMPRISED OF BENTONITE

1. DESIGNED AND MANUFACTURED BY HAALA INDUSTRIES TO THE DIMENSION REQUIREMENTS SHOWN IN THE DRAWINGS

2. SUBMIT FABRICATION DRAWINGS FOR REVIEW DETAILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE THIRTEENTH EDITION OF THE AISC (AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION) "STEEL CONSTRUCTION MANUAL". ALL STEEL CONSTRUCTION SHALL COMPLY WITH THE AISC SPECIFICATION FOR STRUCTURAL STEEL BUILDINGS DATED MARCH 9, 2005 [AISC 360-05]

5 STRUCTURAL WELDING: AWS D1.1 STRUCTURAL WELDING CODE. ALL WELDERS SHALL HAVE EVIDENCE OF PASSING THE AMERICAN WELDING SOCIETY STANDARD QUALIFICATIONS TESTS AS DETAILED IN AWS D1.1.

90% DRAFT FOR REVIEW				
WINNETKA POND OUTLET STRUCTURE DREDGING PROJECT	BARR PROJECT NO. 23/27 - 1622 CLIENT PROJECT NO.	- 1622.00 ECT NO.		
OUTLET STRUCTURE & TRASH RACK PLANS, SECTIONS AND DETAILS	2018-04 DWG NO. S-01	REV NO. B		